



# EGI-InSPIRE

## UMD QUALITY CRITERIA v4 DRAFT 2

---

Document identifier:	EGI-ALL-QC-V4.doc
Date:	<b>30/07/2012</b>
Document Link:	<a href="https://documents.egi.eu/document/1153">https://documents.egi.eu/document/1153</a>

---

### Abstract

This document describes the Quality Criteria that all software of the UMD distribution must meet.



### Copyright notice

Copyright © Members of the EGI-InSPIRE Collaboration, 2010. See [www.egi.eu](http://www.egi.eu) for details of the EGI-InSPIRE project and the collaboration. EGI-InSPIRE (“European Grid Initiative: Integrated Sustainable Pan-European Infrastructure for Researchers in Europe”) is a project co-funded by the European Commission as an Integrated Infrastructure Initiative within the 7th Framework Programme. EGI-InSPIRE began in May 2010 and will run for 4 years. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 3.0 License. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/> or send a letter to Creative Commons, 171 Second Street, Suite 300, San Francisco, California, 94105, and USA. The work must be attributed by attaching the following reference to the copied elements: “Copyright © Members of the EGI-InSPIRE Collaboration, 2010. See [www.egi.eu](http://www.egi.eu) for details of the EGI-InSPIRE project and the collaboration”. Using this document in a way and/or for purposes not foreseen in the license, requires the prior written permission of the copyright holders. The information contained in this document represents the views of the copyright holders as of the date such views are published.

### Document Log

Issue	Date	Comment	Author/Partner
v0.1	02/11/2010	First draft	Enol Fernández
v1.0	03/11/2010	Changed Management, Traceability and Monitoring section	Enol Fernández
v1.1	03/11/2010	Added Probe description in GEN_MON_1	Enol Fernández
v1.2	11/11/2010	Some formatting update	Enol Fernández
v1.3	31/01/2011	Better test specification	Enol Fernández
1.4	09/02/2011	Review of criteria	Enol Fernández
2 DRAFT 1	24/06/2011	Preparation of new release	Enol Fernández
2	02/08/2011	Reorganisation, added new criteria.	Enol Fernández
3 DRAFT 1	13/10/2011	First draft of release 3	Enol Fernández
3 DRAFT 2	24/01/2012	Second draft of release 3	Enol Fernández
4 DRAFT 1	21/05/2012	First public draft of release 4	Enol Fernández
4 DRAFT 2	23/07/2012	Second public draft of release 4	Enol Fernández



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>1</b>	<b>Criteria Template</b> .....	<b>10</b>
	GENERIC_TEMPLATE .....	10
<b>2</b>	<b>Documentation</b> .....	<b>11</b>
	GENERIC_DOC_1.....	11
	GENERIC_DOC_2.....	12
	GENERIC_DOC_3.....	13
	GENERIC_DOC_4.....	14
	GENERIC_DOC_5.....	15
	GENERIC_DOC_6.....	16
	GENERIC_DOC_7.....	17
	GENERIC_DOC_8.....	18
	GENERIC_DOC_9.....	19
	GENERIC_DOC_10.....	20
	GENERIC_DOC_11.....	21
<b>3</b>	<b>Software Distribution</b> .....	<b>22</b>
	GENERIC_DIST_1.....	22
	GENERIC_DIST_3.....	23
<b>4</b>	<b>Software Features</b> .....	<b>24</b>
	GENERIC_SOFT_1.....	24
	GENERIC_SOFT_2.....	25
<b>5</b>	<b>Service Criteria</b> .....	<b>26</b>
<b>5.1</b>	<b>Service Management</b> .....	<b>26</b>
	GENERIC_SERVICE_1 .....	26
<b>5.2</b>	<b>Service logs</b> .....	<b>28</b>
	GENERIC_SERVICE_2 .....	28
<b>5.3</b>	<b>Service Monitoring</b> .....	<b>28</b>
<b>5.4</b>	<b>Service Accounting</b> .....	<b>28</b>
<b>5.5</b>	<b>Availability, Reliability and Scalability</b> .....	<b>29</b>
	GENERIC_SERVICE_3 .....	29
	GENERIC_SERVICE_4 .....	30
<b>5.6</b>	<b>Service Configuration</b> .....	<b>31</b>
	GENERIC_SERVICE_5 .....	31
	GENERIC_SERVICE_6 .....	32
	GENERIC_SERVICE_7 .....	33
<b>6</b>	<b>Security</b> .....	<b>34</b>
	GENERIC_SEC_1.....	34
	GENERIC_SEC_2.....	35
	GENERIC_SEC_3.....	36
<b>7</b>	<b>Miscellaneous</b> .....	<b>37</b>
	GENERIC_MISC_1 .....	37
<b>8</b>	<b>Authentication</b> .....	<b>38</b>
<b>8.1</b>	<b>Authentication Credentials</b> .....	<b>38</b>
	AUTHN_CRED_1 .....	38
	AUTHN_CRED_2 .....	39
	AUTHN_CRED_3 .....	40
<b>8.2</b>	<b>Authentication Protocols</b> .....	<b>41</b>

AUTHN_PROTO_1 .....	41
<b>8.3 Delegation Interface.....</b>	<b>42</b>
AUTHN_DELEG_1.....	42
<b>8.4 CAs root certificates Distribution.....</b>	<b>43</b>
AUTHN_CA_1 .....	43
AUTHN_CA_2 .....	44
AUTHN_CA_3 .....	45
<b>9 Attribute Authority.....</b>	<b>46</b>
<b>9.1 Attribute Authority Interface.....</b>	<b>46</b>
ATTAUTH_IFACE_1.....	46
ATTAUTH_IFACE_2.....	47
ATTAUTH_IFACE_3.....	48
ATTAUTH_IFACE_4.....	49
<b>9.2 VO management .....</b>	<b>50</b>
ATTAUTH_MGMT_1.....	50
ATTAUTH_MGMT_2.....	51
ATTAUTH_MGMT_3.....	52
ATTAUTH_MGMT_4.....	54
ATTAUTH_MGMT_5.....	55
ATTAUTH_MGMT_6.....	56
<b>9.3 VO Management Web Interface (VOMS-Admin).....</b>	<b>57</b>
ATTAUTH_WEB_1 .....	57
ATTAUTH_WEB_2.....	58
ATTAUTH_WEB_3 .....	59
ATTAUTH_WEB_4 .....	60
ATTAUTH_WEB_5 .....	61
<b>10 Authorisation.....</b>	<b>62</b>
<b>10.1 Policy Management.....</b>	<b>62</b>
AUTHZ_MGMT_1.....	62
AUTHZ_MGMT_2.....	63
<b>10.2 Policy Definition .....</b>	<b>65</b>
10.2.1 Central policy management (Argus).....	65
AUTHZ_PCYDEF_1.....	65
AUTHZ_PCYDEF_2.....	66
10.2.2 Service Based Authorisation (Not Using Argus).....	67
AUTHZ_PCYDEF_3.....	67
AUTHZ_PCYDEF_4.....	68
<b>10.3 Policy Enforcement.....</b>	<b>69</b>
AUTHZ_PEP_2 .....	69
<b>11 Credential Management.....</b>	<b>70</b>
<b>11.1 Credential Management Interface .....</b>	<b>70</b>
CREDMGMT_IFACE_1.....	70
CREDMGMT_IFACE_2.....	71
CREDMGMT_IFACE_3.....	72
<b>11.2 Institutional Authentication Systems Linking.....</b>	<b>73</b>
CREDMGMT_LINK_1.....	73
<b>12 Job Execution .....</b>	<b>74</b>
<b>12.1 Job Execution Interface .....</b>	<b>74</b>
JOBEXEC_IFACE_1 .....	74
<b>12.2 Job Submission tests.....</b>	<b>75</b>

JOBEXEC_JOB_1 .....	75
JOBEXEC_JOB_2 .....	76
JOBEXEC_JOB_3 .....	77
<b>12.3 Execution Manager Support.....</b>	<b>78</b>
JOBEXEC_EXECMNGR_1.....	78
JOBEXEC_EXECMNGR_2.....	79
JOBEXEC_EXECMNGR_3.....	80
<b>12.4 Availability/Scalability.....</b>	<b>81</b>
JOBEXEC_AVAIL_1 .....	81
JOBEXEC_AVAIL_2 .....	82
JOBEXEC_AVAIL_4.....	83
<b>13 Parallel Job .....</b>	<b>84</b>
<b>13.1 Submission of parallel jobs.....</b>	<b>84</b>
PARALLEL_JOB_1.....	84
PARALLEL_JOB_2.....	85
PARALLEL_JOB_3.....	86
<b>13.2 MPI support.....</b>	<b>87</b>
PARALLEL_MPI_1 .....	87
PARALLEL_MPI_2 .....	88
<b>13.3 OpenMP support.....</b>	<b>89</b>
PARALLEL_OMP_1 .....	89
PARALLEL_OMP_2 .....	90
<b>14 Interactive Job Management .....</b>	<b>91</b>
INTERACTIVE_JOB_1.....	91
INTERACTIVE_JOB_2.....	92
INTERACTIVE_JOB_3.....	93
INTERACTIVE_JOB_4.....	94
<b>15 Job Scheduling.....</b>	<b>95</b>
<b>15.1 Job Scheduling Interface .....</b>	<b>95</b>
JOBSCH_IFACE_1 .....	95
<b>15.2 Job Execution Capability Support .....</b>	<b>96</b>
JOBSCH_EXEC_1.....	96
JOBSCH_EXEC_2.....	98
<b>15.3 End-to-end job submission tests .....</b>	<b>99</b>
JOBSCH_JOB_1 .....	99
JOBSCH_JOB_2 .....	100
JOBSCH_JOB_3.....	101
JOBSCH_JOB_4 .....	102
JOBSCH_JOB_5 .....	103
JOBSCH_JOB_6.....	104
JOBSCH_JOB_7 .....	105
JOBSCH_JOB_8.....	106
<b>15.4 gLite WMS.....</b>	<b>107</b>
JOBSCH_WMS_1.....	107
JOBSCH_WMS_2.....	108
JOBSCH_WMS_3.....	109
15.4.1 Security Advisories.....	110
JOBSCH_WMS_SEC_1 .....	110
15.4.2 Bugs.....	111
JOBSCH_WMS_BUG_1 .....	111
JOBSCH_WMS_BUG_2.....	112

<b>15.5 Service availability, monitoring and error handling</b>	<b>113</b>
JOBSCH_SERVICE_1	113
JOBSCH_SERVICE_2	114
JOBSCH_SERVICE_3	115
JOBSCH_SERVICE_4	116
JOBSCH_SERVICE_5	117
<b>16 Information Model</b>	<b>118</b>
<b>16.1 Information Model Schema</b>	<b>118</b>
INFOMODEL_SCHEMA_1	118
INFOMODEL_SCHEMA_2	119
<b>17 Information Discovery</b>	<b>120</b>
<b>17.1 Information Discovery Interface</b>	<b>120</b>
INFODISC_IFACE_1	120
<b>17.2 Information Discovery Functionality</b>	<b>121</b>
17.2.1 Information Aggregation	121
INFODISC_AGG_1	121
INFODISC_AGG_2	122
INFODISC_AGG_3	123
17.2.2 Availability/Scalability	124
INFODISC_AVAIL_1	124
<b>18 Messaging</b>	<b>125</b>
MSG_IFACE_1	125
<b>19 Data Access</b>	<b>126</b>
<b>19.1 WS-DAI Interface</b>	<b>126</b>
DATAACCESS_API_1	126
<b>19.2 OGSA-DAI Criteria</b>	<b>127</b>
DATAACCESS_OGSADAI_1	127
DATAACCESS_OGSADAI_2	128
DATAACCESS_OGSADAI_3	129
DATAACCESS_OGSADAI_4	130
<b>20 Metadata Catalogue</b>	<b>131</b>
<b>20.1 LFC Implementation</b>	<b>131</b>
20.1.1 LFC API	131
METADATA_LFC_API_1	131
20.1.2 LFC Functionality	132
METADATA_LFC_FUNC_1	132
METADATA_LFC_FUNC_2	133
METADATA_LFC_FUNC_3	134
METADATA_LFC_FUNC_4	135
METADATA_LFC_FUNC_5	137
<b>20.2 AMGA Implementation</b>	<b>138</b>
20.2.1 AMGA Interface	138
METADATA_AMGA_API_1	138
METADATA_AMGA_API_2	139
20.2.2 AMGA Functionality	140
METADATA_AMGA_FUNC_1	140
METADATA_AMGA_FUNC_2	141
METADATA_AMGA_FUNC_3	142
METADATA_AMGA_FUNC_4	143



METADATA_AMGA_FUNC_5 .....	144
<b>21 File Encryption/Decryption.....</b>	<b>145</b>
<b>21.1 Key Management .....</b>	<b>145</b>
FILECRYPT_KEY_1 .....	145
FILECRYPT_KEY_2 .....	147
FILECRYPT_KEY_3 .....	148
<b>21.2 File Encryption/Decryption.....</b>	<b>149</b>
FILECRYPT_FILE_1.....	149
FILECRYPT_FILE_2.....	150
<b>22 File Access.....</b>	<b>151</b>
<b>22.1 File Access Interface .....</b>	<b>151</b>
FILEACC_API_1 .....	151
FILEACC_API_2 .....	152
<b>23 File Transfer.....</b>	<b>153</b>
<b>23.1 File Transfer Interfaces.....</b>	<b>153</b>
FILETRANS_API_1 .....	153
FILETRANS_API_2 .....	154
FILETRANS_API_3 .....	155
<b>24 File Transfer Scheduling.....</b>	<b>156</b>
<b>24.1 File Transfer Channel Management.....</b>	<b>156</b>
FILETRANSFSCH_CHANNEL_1 .....	156
FILETRANSFSCH_CHANNEL_2 .....	157
<b>24.2 File Transfer Management.....</b>	<b>158</b>
FILETRANSFSCH_MGMT_1.....	158
FILETRANSFSCH_MGMT_2.....	159
<b>25 Storage Management .....</b>	<b>160</b>
<b>25.1 SRM Interface.....</b>	<b>160</b>
STORAGE_API_1 .....	160
STORAGE_API_2 .....	161
<b>25.2 Storage Device Support .....</b>	<b>162</b>
STORAGE_DEVICE_1.....	162
STORAGE_DEVICE_2.....	163
STORAGE_DEVICE_3.....	164
STORAGE_DEVICE_4.....	165
<b>26 Remote Instrumentation .....</b>	<b>166</b>
INSTRUMENT_IE_1 .....	166
INSTRUMENT_IE_2 .....	167
INSTRUMENT_IE_3 .....	168
INSTRUMENT_IE_4 .....	169
<b>27 Monitoring Capability.....</b>	<b>170</b>
<b>27.1 Nagios Configuration Generation.....</b>	<b>170</b>
MON_NCG_1 .....	170
MON_NCG_2 .....	171
<b>27.2 Visualization Portal (MyEGI).....</b>	<b>172</b>
MON_PORTAL_1 .....	172
MON_PORTAL_2 .....	173
MON_PORTAL_3.....	174
MON_PORTAL_4.....	175

MON_PORTAL_5 .....	176
MON_PORTAL_6 .....	177
<b>27.3 Database .....</b>	<b>178</b>
MON_DB_1 .....	178
MON_DB_2 .....	179
<b>28 Monitoring Probes .....</b>	<b>180</b>
MON_PROBE_1 .....	180
<b>28.1 Service Probes .....</b>	<b>181</b>
MON_PROBE_GENERIC_1 .....	181
MON_PROBE_GENERIC_2 .....	182
28.1.1 Job Execution Capability Probes .....	183
MON_PROBE_JOBEXEC_1 .....	183
MON_PROBE_JOBEXEC_2 .....	184
MON_PROBE_JOBEXEC_3 .....	185
28.1.2 Compute Job Scheduling Probes .....	186
MON_PROBE_JOBSCH_1 .....	186
28.1.3 File Access Capability Probes .....	187
MON_PROBE_STORAGE_1 .....	187
28.1.4 Metadata Catalogue Capability Probes .....	188
MON_PROBE_METADATA_1 .....	188
<b>29 Accounting Capability .....</b>	<b>189</b>
<b>29.1 Generation of Accounting Records .....</b>	<b>189</b>
ACC_JOBEXEC_1 .....	189
<b>29.2 Accounting Store and Transmission for Job Execution Appliances. ....</b>	<b>190</b>
ACC_STORE_1 .....	190
ACC_STORE_2 .....	192
ACC_CRON_1 .....	193
ACC_CRON_2 .....	194
<b>29.3 Visualization Portal .....</b>	<b>195</b>
ACC_PORTAL_1 .....	195
ACC_PORTAL_2 .....	196
ACC_PORTAL_3 .....	197
ACC_PORTAL_4 .....	198
ACC_PORTAL_5 .....	199
ACC_PORTAL_6 .....	200
<b>30 Client Tools .....</b>	<b>201</b>
<b>30.1 Generic client tools criteria .....</b>	<b>201</b>
CLIENT_TOOLS_1 .....	201
CLIENT_TOOLS_2 .....	202
<b>31 Client API .....</b>	<b>203</b>
CLIENT_API_1 .....	203
CLIENT_API_2 .....	204
<b>31.1 Specific SAGA Bindings .....</b>	<b>205</b>
31.1.1 BES .....	205
CLIENT_API_BES_1 .....	205
31.1.2 Globus .....	206
CLIENT_API_GLOBUS_1 .....	206
CLIENT_API_GLOBUS_2 .....	207
31.1.3 SSH .....	208
CLIENT_API_SSH_1 .....	208





<b>32 Virtual Machine Management.....</b>	<b>209</b>
<b>32.1 Virtual Machine Management API .....</b>	<b>209</b>
VIRT_MGMT_API_1 .....	209
<b>32.2 Virtual Machine Management Operations .....</b>	<b>210</b>
VIRT_MGMT_OPS_1.....	210
VIRT_MGMT_OPS_2.....	211
VIRT_MGMT_OPS_3.....	212
VIRT_MGMT_OPS_4.....	213
<b>33 Virtual Machine Image Format.....</b>	<b>214</b>
VIRT_IMG_1 .....	214
<b>34 Image Distribution Capability.....</b>	<b>215</b>
<b>34.1 StratusLab MarketPlace .....</b>	<b>215</b>
VIRT_IMGDIST_1.....	215
VIRT_IMGDIST_2.....	216
VIRT_IMGDIST_3.....	217
VIRT_IMGDIST_4.....	218
<b>35 References .....</b>	<b>219</b>

## 1 CRITERIA TEMPLATE

<b>Criterion Name</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>GENERIC_TEMPLATE</b>
<b>Description</b>	Provide a description of the criterion captured in this template.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES/NO
<b>Applicability</b>	Specify which appliances/products must meet this criterion.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Describe here what is expected from the TP to fulfil the criterion
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Describe here the preconditions of the test</p> <p><b>Test</b> Describe in this field what the actions should the test perform</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Describe the expected outcome of the test execution, including any outputs.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Criteria that will determine whether it passes or not verification.
<b>Related Information</b>	Resources found elsewhere (e.g. web pages, Wiki entries, publications and papers) which help to describe the requirement in further detail.
<b>Revision Log</b>	Give the history of the changes in the criterion.

## 2 DOCUMENTATION

Services in UMD must include a comprehensive documentation written in a uniform and clear style. All Quality Criteria described below may be met by a single document that contains all the requested sections.

<b>Functional Description</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>GENERIC_DOC_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	All products must provide a document with a brief functional description of the product.
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	All products
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Document (or link) with a general description of the product that includes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Purpose of the product</li><li>• Capabilities meet by the product</li></ul>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	The document should exist and contain the requested information.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	V2: clarified the required documentation

<b>Release Notes</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>GENERIC_DOC_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	All products must provide a document with the release notes.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	All products
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Document (or link) with release notes of the product. They must include major the changes in the product: bug fixes, new features.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	The document should exist and contain the requested information.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>User Documentation</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>GENERIC_DOC_3</b>
<b>Description</b>	All products must provide a document describing how to use it.
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	All products with end-user tools and services.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Document (or link) with user guide describing the functionality of the software and how to use it.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	The document should exist and contain the requested information.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>Online help (man pages)</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>GENERIC_DOC_4</b>
<b>Description</b>	All products with end user command line tools must include man pages or online help.
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	All products with command line tools.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Man pages with information about the usage of commands. If man pages are not available, comprehensive help options must be included with the command with information about the usage (i.e. -h/--help option)
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Online help should be available (man pages or command line help). Command line help should give meaningful cues (i.e., only a list of single-letter options is not sufficient) If both command line help (-h option) and man pages are provided they <b>must</b> be mutually consistent (describe the same set of options and their meaning).
<b>Related Information</b>	GGUS ticket # 73214
<b>Revision Log</b>	V3: Tighten wording to avoid situations as described in GGUS #73214

<b>API Documentation</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>GENERIC_DOC_5</b>
<b>Description</b>	Public API of product/appliances must be documented.
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	All products with public API.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Documentation (or link) of the API of the product. The documentation <i>should</i> cover all the existing public functionality of the API.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	The document should exist and contain the API documentation. If the product implements a well-known or standard API, any missing functionality must be documented.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	V2: review of the description

<b>Administrator Documentation</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>GENERIC_DOC_6</b>
<b>Description</b>	Products must provide an administrator guide describing installation, configuration and operation of the system.
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	All products managed by an administrator.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Documentation (or link) with requested documentation.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	The document should exist and contain the requested information.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	



<b>Service Reference Card</b>																			
<b>ID</b>	<b>GENERIC_DOC_7</b>																		
<b>Description</b>	For each of the services that a product runs, document its characteristics with a reference card.																		
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO																		
<b>Applicability</b>	All products that need services for operation.																		
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Documentation (or link) with requested documentation.																		
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	<p>The document must exist and contain the following information for each service:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2"><b>ServiceName</b></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Description</td> <td>Description of the service</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Init scripts</td> <td>List of init scripts for the service, expected run levels</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Daemons</td> <td>List of daemons needed for the service</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Configuration</td> <td>List of configuration files used by the service</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Logs</td> <td>List of log files used by the service</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Open ports</td> <td>List of ports the service uses</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Cron</td> <td>List of crons used by the service</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Other information</td> <td>Any other relevant information about the service.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	<b>ServiceName</b>		Description	Description of the service	Init scripts	List of init scripts for the service, expected run levels	Daemons	List of daemons needed for the service	Configuration	List of configuration files used by the service	Logs	List of log files used by the service	Open ports	List of ports the service uses	Cron	List of crons used by the service	Other information	Any other relevant information about the service.
<b>ServiceName</b>																			
Description	Description of the service																		
Init scripts	List of init scripts for the service, expected run levels																		
Daemons	List of daemons needed for the service																		
Configuration	List of configuration files used by the service																		
Logs	List of log files used by the service																		
Open ports	List of ports the service uses																		
Cron	List of crons used by the service																		
Other information	Any other relevant information about the service.																		
<b>Related Information</b>																			
<b>Revision Log</b>																			

<b>Software License</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>GENERIC_DOC_8</b>
<b>Description</b>	Products must have a compatible license for using them in the EGI Infrastructure
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	All products.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Product License (link or document).
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	<p>Pass: if the license is available and is compatible with the EGI infrastructure.</p> <p>For Open Source products, compatible licenses are those accepted by the Open Source Initiative and categorized as “Popular and widely used or with strong communities”:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Apache License, 2.0 (Apache-2.0)</li> <li>- BSD 3-Clause "New" or "Revised" license (BSD-3-Clause)</li> <li>- BSD 3-Clause "Simplified" or "FreeBSD" license (BSD-2-Clause)</li> <li>- GNU General Public License (GPL)</li> <li>- GNU Library or "Lesser" General Public License (LGPL)</li> <li>- MIT license (MIT)</li> <li>- Mozilla Public License 1.1 (MPL-1.1)</li> <li>- Common Development and Distribution License (CDDL-1.0)</li> <li>- Eclipse Public License (EPL-1.0)</li> </ul> <p>Other licenses accepted by the Open Source Initiative and listed as “Special Purpose” are compatible with the infrastructure (when applicable):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Educational Community License</li> <li>- IPA Font License (IPA)</li> <li>- NASA Open Source Agreement 1.3 (NASA-1.3)</li> <li>- Open Font License 1.1 (OFL-1.1)</li> </ul> <p>Any other license, and non Open Source products will be evaluated by the verification team in coordination with the Operations Community.</p>
<b>Related Information</b>	Open Source Initiative Licenses by Category: <a href="http://www.opensource.org/licenses/category">http://www.opensource.org/licenses/category</a>
<b>Revision Log</b>	V2: Moved from Software Release to documentation.

<b>Release changes testing</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>GENERIC_DOC_9</b>
<b>Description</b>	Changes in a release of a product must be tested.
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	All Products.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Tests (or documentation for the test results) for relevant changes described in the product release notes, including bug fixes and any new features.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	<p>Pass if the TP provides documentation of the tests performed to certify the release quality. The documentation <i>should</i> describe tests (and tests results) for all the changes included, especially bug fixes.</p> <p>The granularity of the testing documentation will be determined per release basis. In the case of missing tests, the verifier will decide if the provided information is enough to trust quality of the changes introduced in the software.</p>
<b>Related Information</b>	MS503: Software Provisioning Process
<b>Revision Log</b>	<p>V2: Better specification of the pass/fail criteria. Moved to documentation criteria</p> <p>V3: improvement of the pass/fail criteria.</p> <p>V4: better wording after IGE review, turned into NOT mandatory.</p>

<b>Database Schema Documentation</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>GENERIC_DOC_10</b>
<b>Description</b>	Database schemas changes must be documented.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	All Products that make use of a database backends.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Documentation (or link) with description of the database schema used by the product. If there are schema changes between releases (minor or major upgradeable from previous major), also include documentation of those changes and scripts for migration to the new schema.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if any database schema changes are documented and a migration path is provided via a script or with detailed instructions. The database schema documentation should be also available.
<b>Related Information</b>	VOMS mass user suspension (RT #3585)
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>Policy changes</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>GENERIC_DOC_11</b>
<b>Description</b>	Documentation of changes that may affect underlying policies.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	All Products that implement EGI policies
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Documentation (or link) of any changes introduced in the product that may affect any underlying policies (e.g. procedure for VOMS membership renewal) implemented by the service.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	If a new release of a product introduces changes in its configuration options, management interfaces or any other feature that affects the implementation of underlying policies, those changes and their effects <b>must</b> be documented.
<b>Related Information</b>	VOMS mass user suspension (RT #3585)
<b>Revision Log</b>	

### 3 SOFTWARE DISTRIBUTION

Source Code Availability	
<b>ID</b>	<b>GENERIC_DIST_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	Open Source Products should provide their source code.
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	All Open Source Products.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Source code repository or source distribution of product with building documentation.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Open source products <b>must</b> publicly offer their source code and the license with the binaries. Build documentation (or link to it) should be available. Ideally, automatic or continuous build procedures exist.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	V2: Changed ID (previously GENERIC_REL_2) V4: Merged GENERIC_DIST_1 and GENERIC_DIST_2 & Turned into not mandatory

<b>Binary Distribution</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>GENERIC_DIST_3</b>
<b>Description</b>	Products must be available in the native packaging format of the supported platform.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	All Products.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Binary distribution of product in the native packaging format of the supported platform (RPM, DEB, ...)
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Binary packages using the standard packaging format of the OS (i.e. RPM, DEB...) must be provided for all the supported OS and/or architectures.</li> <li>- Packages <b>must</b> be signed by the TP</li> <li>- Packages <i>should</i> follow OS packaging policies (e.g. names of packages, <u>use of filesystem hierarchy</u>, init scripts). Any deviance from the policies must be documented.</li> <li>- Second level dependencies (i.e. software not provided by the TP in their repository) <b>must</b> be provided by the OS distribution or standard OS repositories (EPEL in SL5 &amp; SL6). In the case of needing a different version for a specific package or packages from other repositories, the verifier will decide whether to accept or not the packages depending on the reason given for such dependencies on external packages.</li> </ul>
<b>Related Information</b>	Verification reports from EMI release 1. #1357: Middleware use standard file locations GGUS #82417: <a href="https://ggus.eu/ws/ticket_info.php?ticket=82417">https://ggus.eu/ws/ticket_info.php?ticket=82417</a>
<b>Revision Log</b>	V2: Turn to mandatory, better description to avoid problems found in verification. Changed ID (previously GENERIC_REL_5) V4: Added requirement for signed packages.

## 4 SOFTWARE FEATURES

Backwards Compatibility	
<b>ID</b>	<b>GENERIC_SOFT_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	Minor/Revision releases of a product must be backwards compatible.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	All Products.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Products must maintain backwards compatibility between releases of the same major version. Ideally, TP provides tests to assure the backwards compatibility of the product.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	All the changes in a minor or revision release <i>must</i> be backward compatible (test should be done with previous releases of clients within the same major version). Any new features should not introduce changes in the previous features.
<b>Related Information</b>	MS503: Software Provisioning Process IGE QC
<b>Revision Log</b>	



<b>New features testing</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>GENERIC_SOFT_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	Verification should cover testing of new features and bug fixes.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	All Products.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Release notes with changes in the software. The verifier will review each of the changes and check its correctness (whenever possible)
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	New features and bug fixes specified in the release notes work as documented. Some new features may not be tested if they are not relevant to the main capability of the product.
<b>Related Information</b>	MS503: Software Provisioning Process IGE QC
<b>Revision Log</b>	

## 5 SERVICE CRITERIA

### 5.1 Service Management

UMD products should have mechanisms for managing them, monitoring their status and tracing actions they perform on the system. Ideally, these should be also available remotely, allowing operators to react timely to problems in the infrastructure. This generic criteria for services is the minimum set of service related

Service control and status	
<b>ID</b>	<b>GENERIC_SERVICE_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	Services run by the product must provide a mechanism for starting, stopping and querying the status of the services.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	All products that use services for operations.

<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Start/stop mechanism for each of the services following OS conventions. Ideally, provide a test suite for the mechanism as described below.
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Service is started <b>Test</b> Start service <b>Expected Outcome</b> No action taken, show a message stating the service is already started.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Service is stopped <b>Test</b> Start service <b>Expected Outcome</b> Service is started, show a message when it is started.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Service is started <b>Test</b> Stop service <b>Expected Outcome</b> Service is stopped, show a message stating the service is stopped.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Service is stopped <b>Test</b> Stop service <b>Expected Outcome</b> No action taken, show a message stating the service is already stopped.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Service is stopped <b>Test</b> Check service status <b>Expected Outcome</b> Show a message stating the service is stopped.

<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Service is started</p> <p><b>Test</b> Check service status</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Show a message stating the service is started.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	<p>Services run by the product must provide a mechanism for starting, stopping and querying the status of the services following the OS init scripts conventions (e.g. for Linux Distributions, check <a href="http://refspecs.freestandards.org/LSB_3.1.0/LSB-Core-generic/LSB-Core-generic/inisrptact.html">http://refspecs.freestandards.org/LSB_3.1.0/LSB-Core-generic/LSB-Core-generic/inisrptact.html</a>). They must work properly in <b>all</b> the cases described above.</p> <p>If the OS provides tools for configuring the services (chkconfig in RH based distros), these <i>should</i> work out of the box with the init scripts of the services</p>
<b>Related Information</b>	<p>#2274: Service under RH following SystemV init system</p> <p>#1201: Homogeneity in service control.</p>
<b>Revision Log</b>	<p>V3: Added related information, fix test conditions.</p>

## 5.2 Service logs

Log Files	
<b>ID</b>	<b>GENERIC_SERVICE_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	All services should create log files where the service administrator can trace most relevant actions taken.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	All products that use services for operations.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	List of logs generated by the service (the reference card of service should already include them)
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	List of logs is provided. They should follow the OS conventions for location and format so they can be treated with the standard tools of the OS (log rotation, collection with syslog, ...)
<b>Related Information</b>	This criterion may be further specialized in the specific criteria for each product/capability determining which information must be logged or number/types of logs. #1357: Middleware use standard file locations
<b>Revision Log</b>	V2: Review of the criteria. V4: Added related information

## 5.3 Service Monitoring

All services in the EGI Infrastructure should provide monitoring probes that can be executed automatically by the EGI monitoring framework (based in Nagios). The probes should check the service responsiveness and correctness (good replies for typical requests).

Particular monitoring probes are defined at the Specific Quality Criteria document for Operations tools. The probes that apply to all capabilities (generic probes) are identified as MON\_PROBE\_GENERIC\_xx. For specific capabilities there might exist other probes that are described in the same document.

## 5.4 Service Accounting

All services in the EGI Infrastructure should provide ways of recording the use of resources within the infrastructure. The Accounting Capability described in the Operations Capabilities Criteria document specifies the criteria for the different appliances.

### 5.5 Availability, Reliability and Scalability.

The EGI Infrastructure depends on the uninterrupted performance of the installed software. All products should provide a reliable operation and should be able to handle growing amounts of work in a graceful manner. Specific criteria for the availability, reliability or scalability of appliances may be also defined in the criteria documents for each of the capabilities.

<b>Service Reliability</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>GENERIC_SERVICE_3</b>
<b>Description</b>	Services must maintain a good performance and reliability over long periods of time with normal operation.
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	All products that use services for operations.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Long running unattended operation test measuring performance of the product.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Product is properly configured.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Start service and measure performance during operations.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> No significant performance degradation is observed in the system.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	<p>Service must not show performance degradation during a 3-day period. The most important parameters to check are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• stable memory usage</li> <li>• throughput and/or response times remain stable during the period of activity (they should be as good or better than at the beginning of the test for similar requests)</li> </ul>
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	V2: detailed pass/fail criteria

<b>Service Robustness</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>GENERIC_SERVICE_4</b>
<b>Description</b>	Services should not produce unexpected results or become uncontrollable when taxed beyond normal capacity.
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	All products that use services for operations.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Assure that the services taxed beyond normal capacity do not produce unexpected results or become uncontrollable.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Services taxed beyond normal capacity: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• should not become unresponsive to normal start/stop operations</li> <li>• must be able to start after a forceful stop</li> <li>• must not expose (potentially sensitive) memory contents to other processes</li> <li>• must not leave sensitive data in world-readable files</li> <li>• must not accept connections that would be refused under normal operating conditions</li> </ul>
<b>Related Information</b>	TST_2 from IGE Quality Assurance.
<b>Revision Log</b>	

## 5.6 Service Configuration

<b>Automatic Configuration</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>GENERIC_SERVICE_5</b>
<b>Description</b>	Products that provide tools for configuration (yaim) that covers typical deployments must assure tools work as documented.
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	Products with automatic configuration tools
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Tests of the automatic configuration tool (yaim) in typical deployment scenario.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the product can be configured as documented with the provided tool. Resulting configuration must prepare the product for operation without extra manual configuration steps (unless clearly documented).
<b>Related Information</b>	Yaim: <a href="https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/EGEE/YAIM">https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/EGEE/YAIM</a> UMD 1.0.0 Verification Reports.
<b>Revision Log</b>	V3: Removed the requirement for keeping manual configurations.

<b>Default Password Configuration</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>GENERIC_SERVICE_6</b>
<b>Description</b>	Products should not use default passwords. If the service needs a password, it must be generated randomly or force the admin to introduce one.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	All products with passwords.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Configuration should never have default passwords. If there is an automated configuration generator (e.g. yaim) it must request the user to set one or generate a random one.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	No default passwords are used for configuration of services.
<b>Related Information</b>	SVG Advisory 1414: <a href="https://wiki.egi.eu/wiki/SVG:Advisory-SVG-2011-1414">https://wiki.egi.eu/wiki/SVG:Advisory-SVG-2011-1414</a>
<b>Revision Log</b>	



<b>Default Configuration</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>GENERIC_SERVICE_7</b>
<b>Description</b>	Default configuration of the service should be <i>usable</i> .
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	All Products.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Documentation on the default values of any optional configuration parameters. Default values for those values reasonable for the normal operation of the service in a standard installation.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the documentation of the default values of the optional configuration parameters is available and the service runs with those default values (in a standard installation).
<b>Related Information</b>	VOMS mass user suspension (RT #3585)
<b>Revision Log</b>	

## 6 SECURITY

World Writable Files	
<b>ID</b>	<b>GENERIC_SEC_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	Products must not create world-writable files or directories.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	All products.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	World-writable files and directories are dangerous since they allows anyone to modify them, several vulnerabilities in recent years have been due to world writable files and directories being present when they should not be. Technology Provider must assure that they software do not produce world writable files in order to prevent new vulnerabilities being introduced in the future. Ideally a test that checks that those files do not exist should be provided.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Service correctly configured and started</p> <p><b>Test</b> Check the existence of world writable or unowned files in the system.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> No world writable or unowned files exist.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	The product should not create world-writable files or directories. If any world-writable files are needed for the normal operation of the service, these should be documented. Logs and config files <b>must</b> not be world-writable.
<b>Related Information</b>	Proposed by the EGI SVG RAT to prevent new vulnerabilities in the future.
<b>Revision Log</b>	V1.3 Changed test description. V4: improved pass/fail criteria.

<b>Directory Traversal Attacks testing</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>GENERIC_SEC_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	Products should assure that directory traversal exploits are not possible using their interfaces. Special care must be taken to products exposing part of the file system (e.g. file access capabilities) and web services.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	All products with previous known Directory Traversal exploits (See list at related information), any other product <i>should</i> also include this kind of testing.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	A directory traversal (or path traversal) consists in exploiting insufficient security validation/sanitization of user-supplied input file names, so that characters representing "traverse to parent directory" are passed through to the file APIs. The Technology Provider should test that directory traversal attacks are not possible using the product interface. Products that need to run as root user, must have special care in this case of attacks, since they may give access to whole file system.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Service correctly configured and started</p> <p><b>Test</b> Try to exploit directory traversal in product</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> No directory traversal succeeds.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Test for directory traversal exploiting do not successfully access the file system.
<b>Related Information</b>	Advisory-SVG-2011-1569 ( <a href="https://wiki.egi.eu/wiki/SVG:Advisory-SVG-2011-1569">https://wiki.egi.eu/wiki/SVG:Advisory-SVG-2011-1569</a> )
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>Passwords in world readable files</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>GENERIC_SEC_3</b>
<b>Description</b>	Service password must not be stored in world readable files.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	All products with passwords.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	If the product uses passwords stored in files, those files must not be world readable.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	No passwords are stored in world readable files.
<b>Related Information</b>	SVG Advisory 1414: <a href="https://wiki.egi.eu/wiki/SVG:Advisory-SVG-2011-1414">https://wiki.egi.eu/wiki/SVG:Advisory-SVG-2011-1414</a>
<b>Revision Log</b>	

## 7 MISCELLANEOUS

<b>Bug Tracking System</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>GENERIC_MISC_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	TP must enrol as 3 <sup>rd</sup> level support in the EGI Helpdesk.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	All Products.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Technology Providers must enrol in GGUS as 3 <sup>rd</sup> level support for the products verified by the Quality Assurance team of EGI. Any further integration with TP-specific bug tracking software is entirely up to the Technology Provider.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if Technology Provider enlisted as 3 <sup>rd</sup> level support in GGUS.
<b>Related Information</b>	IGE QC
<b>Revision Log</b>	

## 8 AUTHENTICATION

An authentication token that is strongly bound to an individual must be applied consistently across the software used within the production infrastructure. The authentication system should be capable of supporting a delegation model.

### 8.1 Authentication Credentials

X.509 Certificate support	
<b>ID</b>	<b>AUTHN_CRED_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	Primary authentication token within the infrastructure is the X.509 certificate and its proxy derivatives.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Authentication Appliances.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for X.509 certificate (and proxy derivatives) as credential token for authentication.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the appliance is able to use X.509 certificates as authentication token. The appliance <i>should</i> also support proxy derivatives.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>SHA-2 Certificate support</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>AUTHN_CRED_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	SHA-2 certificates should be accepted by middleware.
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	Authentication Appliances.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for certificates and proxies with SHA-2 cryptographic hash functions.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the appliance is able to use SHA-2 certificates as authentication token. Information on how to get and test with SHA-2 certificates is available at [R 2]
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1] Support for SHA2 proxies RT #3078
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>RFC Proxy support</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>AUTHN_CRED_3</b>
<b>Description</b>	RFC proxies should be accepted by middleware.
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	Authentication Appliances that
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for RFC proxies as credential tokens for authentication.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the appliance is able to use RFC proxies as authentication token. Information on how to create RFC proxies is available at [R 2]
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1]
<b>Revision Log</b>	



## 8.2 Authentication Protocols

TLS/SSLv3 Support	
<b>ID</b>	<b>AUTHN_PROTO_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	TLS/SSLv3/v2 with client-side authentication must be supported.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Authentication Appliances.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for accessing resources through protocols that are secured using SSL or TLS (e.g. plain socket, or https connections). If the component exposes a Webservice that requires authentication, it should use the X.509 certificates/proxies with the https protocol.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the product uses SSL or TLS for accessing it. For the current releases of UMD, products still using GSI authentication (with httpg for Webservices) may be accepted, <u>this exception may be dropped</u> in future releases of the criterion.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1]
<b>Revision Log</b>	V2: Added GSI (httpg) exception for products that have not yet transitioned V4: changed from AUTH_IFACE_1 to AUTH_PROTO_1.

### 8.3 Delegation Interface

Delegation Interface	
<b>ID</b>	<b>AUTHN_DELEG_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	Delegation of credentials must be provided using one of the supported delegation interfaces: GridSite or Globus 4.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Authentication Appliances that provide (require) delegation.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Delegation implementation that includes all functionality of the GridSite or Globus 4 interfaces. Correct handling for erroneous input.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the delegation interface is tested and works as expected. Appliances must support at least <b>one</b> of the following interfaces: GridSite delegation or Globus 4 delegation.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1] GridSite Delegation [R 32] Globus Delegation [R 33]
<b>Revision Log</b>	V2: Merged AUTHN_DELEG_1 & 2.

#### 8.4 CAs root certificates Distribution

These QC deal with the distribution of the EuGridPMA [R 34] root certificates.

CA Checksum	
<b>ID</b>	<b>AUTHN_CA_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	The CA distribution must assure that the distributed CA certificates are correct.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Trust Anchor Distribution
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Checksum test of each of the root certificates distributed.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> None</p> <p><b>Test</b> Test checksum of the CA certificates.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> All checksums are correct.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	All CA certificates have correct checksum.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>CA valid dates</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>AUTHN_CA_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	Dates of the distributed CA certificates are valid for the current date.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Trust Anchor Distribution
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Data validity test of each of the root certificates distributed.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> None</p> <p><b>Test</b> Check the current date is in the range of the valid dates of the certificate.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> All dates are valid.</p> <p><b>Sample Test</b></p> <pre>#!/bin/sh check_dates() {   certfile=\$1   start=`openssl x509 -in \$certfile -noout -startdate   cut -f2 -d"="`   if [ \$? -ne 0 ] ; then     echo "Error while processing \$certfile"     return 1   fi   now=`date +%s`   start_sec=`date +%s -d"\$start"`   if [ \$now -lt \$start_sec ] ; then     echo "\$start is before now in \$certfile!"     return 1   fi   end=`openssl x509 -in \$certfile -noout -enddate   cut -f2 -d"="`   if [ \$? -ne 0 ] ; then     echo "Error while processing \$certfile"     return 1   fi   end_sec=`date +%s -d"\$end"`   if [ \$end_sec -lt \$now ] ; then     echo "\$end is after now in \$certfile!"     return 1   fi   return 0 }</pre>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	All CA certificates have correct dates.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	

CA CRL check	
<b>ID</b>	<b>AUTHN_CA_3</b>
<b>Description</b>	The CRL of the CAs must be available for download and must be valid.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Trust Anchor Distribution
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Test that the CRL of the CA is available for download and it's valid.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> List of URLs for each CRL is available.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Download CRL and load it.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> All CRLs can be downloaded and loaded correctly.</p> <p><b>Sample Test</b></p> <pre>#!/bin/sh  check_crl() {     url_file=\$1     url=`cat \$url_file`     crl=`mktemp`     wget -q \$url -O \$crl     if [ \$? -ne 0 ]; then         echo "Unable to download crl from \$url"         rm \$crl         return 1     fi     openssl crl -in \$crl -noout &gt; /dev/null     if [ \$? -ne 0 ]; then         # try in other format         openssl crl -inform der -in \$crl -noout &gt; /dev/null         if [ \$? -ne 0 ]; then             echo "Unable to load crl"             rm \$crl             return 1         fi     fi     rm \$crl     return 0 }</pre>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	All CRLs can be downloaded and loaded.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	

## 9 ATTRIBUTE AUTHORITY

### 9.1 Attribute Authority Interface

Proxy Issue	
<b>ID</b>	ATTAUTH_IFACE_1
<b>Description</b>	Users must be able to get proxies with VO related information.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Attribute Authority Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for the creation of proxies for different users, roles and groups. Test for error situations (not registered user, unknown VO, non existing role/group, unreachable server)
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user certificate, user registered in VO <b>Test</b> Create proxy for user in the given VO. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Valid proxy created.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user certificate, user registered in VO, user in a given group/role <b>Test</b> Create proxy for user in the given VO and group/role <b>Expected Outcome</b> Valid proxy created with correct group/role information.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user certificate, user not registered in VO <b>Test</b> Create proxy for user in the given VO. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Issue a error message stating that the user is unknown to the VO.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Tests for the creation of proxies work as expected. Groups/Roles/Attributes can be included in the created proxy.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>Proxy Information</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>ATTAUTH_IFACE_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	Users must be able to get information about their proxies.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Attribute Authority Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Tools for getting proxy information.
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user proxy <b>Test</b> Get information from proxy. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Return proxy information.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Non existent user proxy <b>Test</b> Get information from proxy <b>Expected Outcome</b> No information returned and error message issued.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Proxy information can be obtained. Complete Groups/Roles/Attributes is also shown.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>Proxy Destroy</b>							
<b>ID</b>	<b>ATTAUTH_IFACE_3</b>						
<b>Description</b>	Users must be able to destroy a previously created proxy.						
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES						
<b>Applicability</b>	Attribute Authority Appliances						
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for proxy destroy.						
<b>Test Description</b>	<table border="0"> <tr> <td><b>Pre-condition</b></td> <td>Valid user proxy</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Test</b></td> <td>Destroy user proxy.</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Expected Outcome</b></td> <td>Proxy is destroyed.</td> </tr> </table>	<b>Pre-condition</b>	Valid user proxy	<b>Test</b>	Destroy user proxy.	<b>Expected Outcome</b>	Proxy is destroyed.
<b>Pre-condition</b>	Valid user proxy						
<b>Test</b>	Destroy user proxy.						
<b>Expected Outcome</b>	Proxy is destroyed.						
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Proxy is destroyed, no operations requiring a proxy can be done with it.						
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1]						
<b>Revision Log</b>							



<b>SAML Assertion Support</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>ATTAUTH_IFACE_4</b>
<b>Description</b>	Users should be able to obtain SAML assertions with the VO information.
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	Attribute Authority Appliances with SAML support.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for generation of SAML assertions for different users, roles and groups. Correct handling of error situations (not registered user, unknown VO, non existing role/group, unreachable server)
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user, user registered in VO/group/role. <b>Test</b> SAML attribute query for user for the VO/group/role <b>Expected Outcome</b> Valid SAML assertion returned with VO information
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user, user not registered in VO <b>Test</b> SAML attribute query for user in the given VO. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Issue a error message stating that the user is unknown to the VO.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Tests for the creation of SAML assertions work as expected. Groups/Roles/Attributes can be included in assertions.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

## 9.2 VO management

<b>VO Creation</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>ATTAUTH_MGMT_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	The service administrator must be able to create new VOs in the service.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Attribute Authority Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for the creation of VOs, correct handling of incorrect input.
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Administrator privileges in VO service. Configured service. <b>Test</b> Create a new VO <b>Expected Outcome</b> New database is created and initialized.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Administrator privileges in VO service. Configured service. Existent VO name <b>Test</b> Create a VO with already existent name. <b>Expected Outcome</b> No action performed, warning message issued.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the administrator is able to create VOs for all the supported underlying databases.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>VO Administrators</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>ATTAUTH_MGMT_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	The service administrator must be able to define who has VO administrator privileges.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Attribute Authority Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for adding VO administrators, managing incorrect input.
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Administrator privileges in VO service. Configured service. User certificate of new admin. <b>Test</b> Define VO administrator with user certificate. <b>Expected Outcome</b> User is added as VO administrator.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Administrator privileges in VO service. Configured service. User certificate of already existent admin. <b>Test</b> Define VO administrator with user certificate. <b>Expected Outcome</b> No action performed, warning message is issued.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Administrator privileges in VO service. Configured service. User certificate of new admin. <b>Test</b> Define VO administrator with user certificate for a nonexistent VO. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Error message stating that the VO is not existent.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the administrator is able to assign administrator privileges to other users.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>VO Role/Group/Attribute Management</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>ATTAUTH_MGMT_3</b>
<b>Description</b>	Authorized users must be able to define roles, groups and attributes and manage the users with those assigned.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Attribute Authority Appliances

<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for creation of roles, groups, attributes and the assignment and de-assignment of users to those.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Authorized user to manage VO role/group/attribute. Role/Group/Attribute name.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Create a new role/group/attribute in the VO.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> New role/group/attribute is created in the VO</p>
	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Authorized user to manage VO role/group/attribute. Already existent Role/Group/Attribute name.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Create role/group/attribute in the VO.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> No action performed; issue warning message about the role/group/attribute already existing.</p>
	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Non-Authorized user to manage VO role/group/attribute. Role/Group/Attribute name.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Create a new role/group/attribute in the VO.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> No action performed, issue error message.</p>
	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Authorized user to manage VO role/group/attribute. Role/Group/Attribute name. VO User to add</p> <p><b>Test</b> Assign role/group/attribute to user.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> User has the role/group/attribute assigned.</p>
	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Non-Authorized user to manage VO role/group/attribute. Role/Group/Attribute name. VO User to add</p> <p><b>Test</b> Assign role/group/attribute to user.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> No action performed, issue error message.</p>
	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Authorized user to manage VO role/group/attribute. Role/Group/Attribute name. User to de-assign</p> <p><b>Test</b> De-assign role/group/attribute to user.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Role/Group/Attribute is de-assigned.</p>

	<p><b>Outcome</b></p> <p><b>Pre-condition</b> Authorized user to manage VO role/group/attribute. Role/Group/Attribute name. User to de-assign without assigned role/group/attribute</p> <p><b>Test</b> De-assign role/group/attribute to user.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> No action performed, warning message issued.</p>
	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Non-Authorized user to manage VO role/group/attribute. Role/Group/Attribute name. User to de-assign</p> <p><b>Test</b> De-assign role/group/attribute to user.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> No action performed, issue error message.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if authorized users are able to manage the role/groups/attributes for a given VO and the users that assigned to them.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>VO User Management</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>ATTAUTH_MGMT_4</b>
<b>Description</b>	Authorized users must be able to add and remove users to the VO
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Attribute Authority Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for adding/removing users to the VO.
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Authorized user to manage VO users. User to add to VO. <b>Test</b> Add user to VO <b>Expected Outcome</b> User is correctly added to the VO.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Non-Authorized user to manage VO users. User to add to VO. <b>Test</b> Add user to VO <b>Expected Outcome</b> No action performed, issue error message.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Authorized user to manage VO users. User to add to VO that already belongs to the VO. <b>Test</b> Add user to VO <b>Expected Outcome</b> No action performed, issue a warning message.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if authorized users are able to add/remove other users for a given VO.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>ACL Management</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>ATTAUTH_MGMT_5</b>
<b>Description</b>	Authorized users must be able to change the different ACLs of the VO.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Attribute Authority Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for changing ACLs of users of the VO.
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Authorized user to manage ACLs. <b>Test</b> Change ACL for a given user. <b>Expected Outcome</b> ACL is correctly changed.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Non-Authorized user to manage ACLs. <b>Test</b> Change ACL for a given user. <b>Expected Outcome</b> No action performed, error message issued.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if authorized users are able to manage the ACLs for other users for a given VO. The following list of ACLs is expected to be managed: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• browse users of VO</li> <li>• management of groups</li> <li>• management of roles</li> <li>• management of attributes</li> <li>• management of ACL</li> <li>• add/remove users</li> </ul>
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>User suspension notification</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>ATTAUTH_MGMT_6</b>
<b>Description</b>	Users must get a notification about the suspension of their membership prior to the suspension
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Attribute Authority Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	<p>The Attribute Authority appliance must send notifications to the users that are going to be suspended according to the EGI policies. This notification should be sent as an email warning about the membership expiration date and how to resign the VO AUP or any extra steps needed to successfully renew their membership.</p> <p>The notification must be sent in a configurable period before the expiration date (default value should be &gt; 24h, e.g. 2 weeks)</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li></ul>
<b>Related Information</b>	GGUS ticket #77913 RT ticket #3278
<b>Revision Log</b>	



### 9.3 VO Management Web Interface (VOMS-Admin)

<b>VO List View</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>ATTAUTH_WEB_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	Users connecting to the web interface should be able to list the VOs handled by the server.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Web Portal for Attribute Authority Appliances management
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Provide a web view with the list of VOs in the server.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> VO Web server running, authorized user</p> <p><b>Test</b> Access VO list page.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Web page with a list of all VOs in supported by the server and browsable by user.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	VO list view is provided and shows only VOs that are viewable by user.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>VO Membership Request</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>ATTAUTH_WEB_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	Users should be able to request membership to a VO from the web interface.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Web Portal for Attribute Authority Appliances management
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	<p>Provide a page for requesting VO membership and test its functionality. This page must ask for the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Full name</li> <li>• Institution</li> <li>• Contact details (phone, e-mail, address)</li> </ul> <p>Once the information is entered, users receive an email to confirm the membership request. Once confirmed, VO Admins should receive a notification of the new request.</p>
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> VO Web server running, valid credentials of user.</p> <p><b>Test</b> User requests membership from VO.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> User gets an email to confirm the membership request.</p>
	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> VO Web server running, valid credentials of user, membership confirmation link.</p> <p><b>Test</b> User accesses the membership confirmation link.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> VO admin(s) receive a notification of the new request.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the VO membership request page provides the requested functionality.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>VO Membership Authorisation</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>ATTAUTH_WEB_3</b>
<b>Description</b>	VO admins should be able to allow or deny pending membership request from the web interface.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Web Portal for Attribute Authority Appliances management
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Provide a web page for listing pending membership requests and allowing or denying them.
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> VO Web server running, valid admin credentials, membership request.
	<b>Test</b> Admin accepts the membership request.
	<b>Expected Outcome</b> User is added to the VO. Notification email is sent to user.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> VO Web server running, valid admin credentials, membership request.
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Test</b> Admin rejects the membership request.
	<b>Expected Outcome</b> User is not added to the VO.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the admin can accept/reject VO membership requests from users.
<b>Related Information</b>	

<b>VO Administration</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>ATTAUTH_WEB_4</b>
<b>Description</b>	Authorized users should be able to manage VO groups, roles, attributes and ACLs from the web interface.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Web Portal for Attribute Authority Appliances management
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Provide pages for managing the groups, roles, attributes and ACLs of the VO. They must allow the creation of new items, assigning and removing users for those items, deleting items.
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> VO Web server running, valid credentials. <b>Test</b> Create new group/role/attribute using web interface. <b>Expected Outcome</b> The new group/role/attribute is created.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> VO Web server running, valid credentials. <b>Test</b> Remove existing group/role/attribute using web interface. <b>Expected Outcome</b> The group/role/attribute is deleted.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> VO Web server running, valid credentials. <b>Test</b> Assign group/role/attribute to user using web interface. <b>Expected Outcome</b> The group/role/attribute is assigned to user.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> VO Web server running, valid credentials. <b>Test</b> Remove user from group/role/attribute using web interface. <b>Expected Outcome</b> User no longer has group/role/attribute assigned.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the admin can accept/reject VO membership requests from users.
<b>Related Information</b>	

<b>VO Browse</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>ATTAUTH_WEB_5</b>
<b>Description</b>	Authorized user should be able to browse the VO members, groups, roles or attributes.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Web Portal for Attribute Authority Appliances management
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Provide pages for listing the VO members, groups, roles and attributes for a given VO.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> VO Web server running, valid credentials.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Browse VO members by groups/roles/attributes.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Web pages with list of users for groups/roles/attributes is delivered.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the VO browsing pages are provided and members can be listed by groups, roles and, or attributes.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	

## 10 AUTHORISATION

### 10.1 Policy Management

Policy Listing	
<b>ID</b>	<b>AUTHZ_ MGMT_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	Administrators must be able to list the policies stored in the service.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Authorisation Appliances with PAP
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for policy listing
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Policy repository available.</p> <p><b>Test</b> List policies</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> List of stored policies.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the test suite passes
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1] Argus [R 35]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>Policy Repositories Management</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>AUTHZ_ MGMT_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	Administrators must be able to manage the remote Policy Repositories to be used by the service.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Authorisation Appliances with PAP

<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for the management of Policy Repositories that will be used in the service.
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Remote policy repository available. <b>Test</b> Add remote policy repository. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Remote repository added; remote policies retrieved.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Configured Remote policy repository. <b>Test</b> Remove remote policy repository. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Remote repository removed, policies no longer available.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Configured Remote policy repository <b>Test</b> Update remote policies. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Remote policies retrieved.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Enabled policy repository. <b>Test</b> Disable policy repository. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Policies from repository no longer used.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Disabled policy repository. <b>Test</b> Enable policy repository. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Policies from repository used.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Several policies repositories configured. <b>Test</b> Show policy repository order. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Policy repository order shown.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Several policies repositories configured. <b>Test</b> Set new policy repository order. <b>Expected Outcome</b> New policy repository is set.



<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the administrator is able to configure the use of (remote) policy repositories: disabling, enabling and establishing an order for them.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1] Argus [R 35]
<b>Revision Log</b>	



## 10.2 Policy Definition

### 10.2.1 Central policy management (Argus)

<b>(un) Banning Policies</b>		
<b>ID</b>	<b>AUTHZ_PCYDEF_1</b>	
<b>Description</b>	Administrators must be able to define policies that ban users or groups of users.	
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES	
<b>Applicability</b>	Authorisation Appliances with PAP	
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for banning different users (defined by a DN) or group of users defined by certain attributes (e.g. role/group attributes, FQANs); also support re-establishing already existing banning.	
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Policy repository available. Banning policy for user/group not defined <b>Test</b> Define ban policy for user/group <b>Expected Outcome</b> Ban policy for user/group stored in policy repository.	
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Policy repository available. Banning policy for user/group defined <b>Test</b> Unban policy for user/group <b>Expected Outcome</b> Ban policy for user/group no longer stored in policy repository.	
	<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the banning policies can be defined (and removed).
	<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1] Argus [R 35]
<b>Revision Log</b>	V4: Removed explicit FQAN references.	

Policy Definition from file	
<b>ID</b>	<b>AUTHZ_PCYDEF_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	Administrators must be able to manage the policies in the service, loading them from a file. File syntax could be XACML or a simplified equivalent.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Authorisation Appliances with PAP
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for policy definitions with different users (usually defined by a DN) or group of users defined by certain attributes (e.g. role/group attributes, FQANs); both <i>allow</i> and <i>deny</i> policies for different resources and actions.
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Policy repository available. Policy file with policies. <b>Test</b> Add policies from file. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Policies from file now stored in repository.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Policy repository available with a policy to update. Update description in policy file. <b>Test</b> Update policy from file. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Update policy stored in repository.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Policy repository available with a policy to remove. <b>Test</b> Remove policy. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Policy no longer stored in repository.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the administrator can add/update/remove policies for users and or groups of users.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1] Argus [R 35]
<b>Revision Log</b>	V4: Removed FQAN references.

### 10.2.2 Service Based Authorisation (Not Using Argus)

<b>Ban User/Group of users</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>AUTHZ_PCYDEF_3</b>
<b>Description</b>	Administrators must be able to define policies that ban users (black list).
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	Authorisation Appliances without PAP (Argus)
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for banning of single user (defined by a DNs) or by a set of users (defined by role/group attributes or FQANs).
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Configured system.
	<b>Test</b> Ban policy for user/group. Test access for user/group.
	<b>Expected Outcome</b> Ban policy is correctly enforced.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Configured system. Banning policy for user/group defined
<b>Test</b> Unban user/group. Test access for user/group.	
<b>Expected Outcome</b> User/group is allowed.	
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the banning policies can be defined and enforced at least for users, ideally support role/groups attributes for defining policies.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	V4: better wording, not mandatory since for some service only white list policies can be defined.

<b>Allowed users definition</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>AUTHZ_PCYDEF_4</b>
<b>Description</b>	Administrators must be determine which users/groups are allowed in the system
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Authorisation Appliances without PAP
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for allowing users/groups of users in the system. Support for defining allowed users (determined by DNs) or groups (defined by a set of role/group attributes or FQANs).
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Configured system.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Allow user/group access into system. Test access for user/group.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> User/group is allowed in the system.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the banning policies can be defined and enforced at least for individual users, ideally support role/groups attributes for defining policies.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	V2: Restricted policy definition to allowing access (full control of policy is expected in Argus like systems) V4: reviewed wording

### 10.3 Policy Enforcement

<b>User Mapping</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>AUTHZ_PEP_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	The authorisation capability should provide mapping of authorized users to local accounts.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Authorisation Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for mapping of users to local accounts; with/without VOMS attributes (or any other role/group attributes schema agreed), and with/without pool accounts. The preferred mapping mechanism is the gridmap dir using gridmapfiles for defining the mappings.
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Configured system. No previous mapping for user. <b>Test</b> Accepted authorisation. <b>Expected Outcome</b> GID/UID of the mapping returned. Primary group determined by role/group attributes if available. For gridmap based mapping, new entry in grid map is created.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Configured system. Previous mapping for user existing. <b>Test</b> Accepted authorisation. <b>Expected Outcome</b> GID/UID of the previous mapping returned.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the mapping is performed as defined in the AuthZ appliance (e.g according to a gridmapfile). The use of pool accounts is desirable, although the criteria can pass if not supported. The verifier may accept other mapping mechanisms after discussion within the verification team.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1] Argus [R 35]
<b>Revision Log</b>	V4: removed FQAN references, relaxed pool account support.

## 11 CREDENTIAL MANAGEMENT

### 11.1 Credential Management Interface

Credential Storage		
<b>ID</b>	<b>CREDMGMT_IFACE_1</b>	
<b>Description</b>	Credential Management Appliances must provide an interface for storing user credentials.	
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES	
<b>Applicability</b>	Credential Management Appliances	
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for storing user credentials in the service (with and without VOMS extensions). The service must support storing proxies.	
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials (X509 certificate/proxy), user allowed in the service. <b>Test</b> Store user credential in the service <b>Expected Outcome</b> Credential is stored in the system	
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials (X509 certificate/proxy), user not allowed in the service. <b>Test</b> Store user credential in the service <b>Expected Outcome</b> Error message is issued; no credentials are stored.	
	<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	User can successfully store the credentials in the appliance with and without VOMS extensions.
	<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	V4: added explicitly proxy testing.	

<b>Credential Retrieval</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>CREDMGMT_IFACE_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	Credential Management Appliances must provide an interface for retrieving user credentials in the service.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Credential Management Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for retrieving user credentials in the service (with and without VOMS extensions).
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials stored in service, user allowed in the service. <b>Test</b> Retrieve user credential <b>Expected Outcome</b> User credentials returned.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> No valid user credentials stored in the service. <b>Test</b> Retrieve user credential <b>Expected Outcome</b> Error message is issued; no credentials are returned.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	User can successfully retrieve previously store credentials from the appliance with and without VOMS extensions.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>Credential Renewal</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>CREDMGMT_IFACE_3</b>
<b>Description</b>	Credential Management Appliances must provide an interface for renewing user credentials in the service.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Credential Management Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for renewing user credentials in the service (with and without VOMS extensions).
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials stored in service, host allowed to renew credentials. <b>Test</b> Renew user credential <b>Expected Outcome</b> User credentials renewed.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials stored in service, host not allowed to renew credentials. <b>Test</b> Renew user credential <b>Expected Outcome</b> Error message is issued; no credentials are renewed.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> No valid user credentials stored in the service. <b>Test</b> Renew user credential <b>Expected Outcome</b> Error message is issued; no credentials are renewed.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Services/Users can successfully renew previously retrieved credentials from the appliance with and without VOMS extensions.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	



## 11.2 Institutional Authentication Systems Linking

Institutional Authentication Linking	
<b>ID</b>	<b>CREDMGMT_LINK_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	Users should be able to access grid resources using institutional authentication systems.
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	Credential Management Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for linking institutional authentication system with the Credential Management implementation
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Valid institutional user credentials, user allowed in the service.</p> <p><b>Test</b> User requests grid credentials using his/her institutional credentials</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Short-lived X.509 credential for used created.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Short-lived X.509 credentials are created for authorized users. Test should be executed for each of the authentication systems supported (e.g. Kerberos or Shibboleth)
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	

## 12 JOB EXECUTION

### 12.1 Job Execution Interface

Currently, there are different interfaces considered for the Job Execution Capability, although not interoperable several of them co-exist in the EGI Infrastructure. The implementations must support, at least, one of the interfaces listed.

Job Execution Interface	
<b>ID</b>	<b>JOBEXEC_IFACE_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	Job Execution Appliances must support (at least one of) the interfaces currently in production in the EGI Infrastructure or identified by the UMD Roadmap
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Job Execution Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Implementation of one of the Job Execution Interface as defined in the UMD Roadmap. Ideally, a complete test suite of the Job Execution interfaces supported by the appliance. The test suite must include tests for all the documented functions, and for all functions, check both correct and invalid input and with valid and invalid credentials.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Test all interface functionality, with correct/incorrect input and with valid and invalid credentials.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Log of all the operations performed. All the documented functions work as documented. Errors/exceptions should be generated as documented.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	<p>The Job Execution Appliance that claims to support an interface must pass complete tests for that interface (provided by the TP or by the verification team). If the API is not completely supported, this <b>must</b> be documented. The test suite must be executed without errors.</p> <p><b>At least one</b> of the following interfaces must be supported:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ARC-CE gridFTP [R 11]</li> <li>• CREAM [R 12]</li> <li>• EMI-ES [R 13]</li> <li>• Globus GRAM5 [R 14]</li> <li>• OGSA BES [R 16]</li> <li>• UNICORE UAS [R 17]</li> </ul>
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1]
<b>Revision Log</b>	V2: unification of several criteria regarding interfaces into this one. V3: removed DRMAA as possible interface.

## 12.2 Job Submission tests

The following tests propose example job descriptions using the gLite JDL format for the specification of jobs. These examples are just used for illustrative purposes. Each appliance should execute the tests using their native format.

<b>Simple Job</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>JOBEXEC_JOB_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	Execute a simple job in the appliance.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Job Execution Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for the submission of a job with no input or output files.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials (and delegation if needed in the system)</p> <p><b>Test</b> Job submission of simple job:                    Executable = /bin/sleep;                    Arguments = "120";</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Job finishes correctly. Unique Identifier for the submitted jobs, status log of the job.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the test passes correctly.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	V2: merged JOBEXEC_*_JOB_1 into this criterion.

<b>Simple Job with input/output files</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>JOBEXEC_JOB_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	Execute a simple job in the appliance that uses both input and output files.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Job Execution Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for the submission of a job with input or output files.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials (and delegation if needed in the system) Non-empty files “myfile”</p> <p><b>Test</b> Job submission for job with input and output files:            Executable = "/bin/ls";            Arguments = "-l";            StdOutput = "std.out";            StdError = "std.err";            InputSandbox = {"myfile"};            OutputSandbox = {"std.out", "std.err"};</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Job finishes correctly; output contains the listing of the directory including the input file with correct size. Unique Identifier for the submitted jobs, status log of the job.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the test passes correctly.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	V2: merged JOBEXEC_*_JOB_2 into this criterion.

<b>Cancel Job</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>JOBEXEC_JOB_3</b>
<b>Description</b>	Cancel a previously submitted job.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Job Execution Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for the cancellation of a job. Job cancelling must be possible for all different states that the job may be, e.g. cancel the job when it's running or cancel the job when it's already done.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials (and delegation if needed in the system)</p> <p><b>Test</b> Job Submission and then cancellation. Possible description for job:              Executable = "/bin/sleep";              Arguments = "20m";</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Job is submitted and then cancelled correctly. Unique Identifier for the submitted jobs, status log of the job. The job must be removed from the execution manager.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the appliance is able to cancel jobs for any previous state of the job. If the job is in the execution manager system, it should be completely removed, especially if it's running.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	V2: merged JOBEXEC_*_JOB_3 into this criterion. Added clarification

### 12.3 Execution Manager Support

These QC refer to the interaction of the Job Execution Capability with the underlying execution manager (usually a LRMS) for the work items submitted.

<b>Not Invasive Deployment</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>JOBEXEC_EXECMNGR_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	Job Execution Appliances should not introduce any modifications to the underlying execution manager or to the operations of the resources.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Job Execution Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Description of all needed, if any, modifications on the local resources in order to deploy the Job Execution Appliance.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Any modifications must be documented, especially invasive ones. Modifications to consider are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Installation of additional software at the WN is permitted as long as no extra services are run permanently at the WN.</li> <li>• Require the deployment of extra (shared) filesystems</li> <li>• Modification of the local submission mechanism of jobs (e.g. require the modification of prologue/epilogue scripts of the batch system)</li> <li>• Require the creation of extra user accounts or add special privileges to a specific account.</li> <li>• Require inbound or outbound connectivity</li> </ul>
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	V2: added inbound, outbound connectivity. Relax Pass/Fail criteria

<b>Job Management</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>JOBEXEC_EXECMNGR_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	Job Execution Appliances must support the creation and management of work items to an execution manager.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Job Execution Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	<p>Appliances must be able to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• create new jobs</li> <li>• retrieve the status of the jobs submitted by the appliance</li> <li>• cancel jobs</li> <li>• optionally, hold and resume jobs</li> </ul> <p>The Appliance may perform these operations for individual jobs or for set of jobs in order to improve its performance (e.g. for retrieving the status instead of querying each of the individual jobs, do a single query for all jobs submitted for the appliance)</p>
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Configured system</p> <p><b>Test</b> Create new job(s) in execution manager</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> New job(s) is created in the execution manager; id of job(s) returned</p>
	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Previously submitted job(s)</p> <p><b>Test</b> Cancel job(s) in execution manager</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Job(s) is cancelled successfully.</p>
	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Previously submitted job(s)</p> <p><b>Test</b> Query status of previously submitted job(s)</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Job (s) status is correctly fetched</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	<p>Pass if the Appliance correctly manages jobs in the underlying execution manager. Tests must be executed (and pass) for each of the execution managers the appliance supports. All appliances should provide support for, <b>at least one</b>, of the following systems:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Torque/PBS</li> <li>• LSF</li> <li>• SGE/OGE</li> <li>• Slurm</li> </ul> <p>Optionally, the appliance may support a <i>fork</i> execution manager (spawning processes in the appliance host)</p>
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	V2: Major rewrite of criterion specification.

<b>Information Retrieval</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>JOBEXEC_EXECMNGR_3</b>
<b>Description</b>	Job Execution Appliances must be able to collect information from the underlying execution manager.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Job Execution Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for the information retrieval from execution manager. Information should be returned as a valid GlueSchema representation.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Configured system</p> <p><b>Test</b> Get information from execution manager</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Representation of the current information from the execution manager is generated.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	<p>Pass if the Appliance produces information for each of the supported execution managers. The information must include all mandatory attributes of the Computing Element related entities in GlueSchema. All appliances should provide support for, <b>at least one</b>, of the following systems:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Torque/PBS</li> <li>• LSF</li> <li>• SGE/OGE</li> <li>• Slurm</li> </ul> <p>Optionally, the appliance may support a <i>fork</i> execution manager (spawning processes in the appliance host)</p>
<b>Related Information</b>	Information Capabilities QC
<b>Revision Log</b>	



## 12.4 Availability/Scalability

Service Redundancy	
<b>ID</b>	<b>JOBEXEC_AVAIL_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	More than one Job Execution Capability implementation should be able to access a single execution manager concurrently.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Job Execution Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Documentation on how to use more than one appliance instance accessing the same execution manager (if any special consideration must be taken into account) Test of concurrent access to same execution manager from at least two instances.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> More than one appliance instance configured to use the same execution manager</p> <p><b>Test</b> Submission of jobs to all configured appliances</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Jobs are executed without problems; they are not mixed up in any situation.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the documentation specifies the configuration steps for using more than one instance in the same execution manager. Tests passes correctly
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	V2: Required documentation, changed ID

<b>Self Disabling Mechanism</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>JOBEXEC_AVAIL_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	The Job Execution Capability should detect high load conditions and self-disable the job submission in order to maintain the quality of the service.
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	Job Execution Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Self-disable mechanism under high-load scenarios. Ideally, stress test for the service that triggers a self-disabling mechanism.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Correctly configured service.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Introduce high load into machine, submit job.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> High load situation is detected, job submission request is not allowed and message is sent to client.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the test executes as expected. The high load level should be configurable (e.g. CPU load > x, swap usage > y...)
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	Changed ID

<b>Timely Job Status Updates</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>JOBEXEC_AVAIL_4</b>
<b>Description</b>	Job Execution Appliances should be able to report the job status within a reasonable time frame since the events that originate those statuses even in situations of high load
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	Job Execution Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Appliance must be able to report the status of the submitted jobs without big delays from the event that originates the status change (e.g. mark the job as running/done once the job enters the running/done status in the local batch system). Ideally TP provides a test for the service that asserts that the appliance is able to report immediately the job statuses under high load conditions (big number of concurrent jobs changing status)
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the appliance reports the new status in a maximum of 10 minutes after the event that generated the status change.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	V4: improved Pass/Fails Criteria

## 13 PARALLEL JOB

### 13.1 Submission of parallel jobs

The following tests propose example job descriptions using the gLite JDL format for the specification of jobs. These examples are just used for illustrative purposes. Each appliance should provide the tests using their native format.

<b>Simple parallel job submission</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>PARALLEL_JOB_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	Job Execution Appliances that also provide the Parallel Job Capability must allow users to submit a job requesting more than one execution slot.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Job Execution Appliances with Parallel Job Capability.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for the submission of parallel job, requesting more than 1 slot.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials (and delegation if needed in the system)</p> <p><b>Test</b> Job submission:                    Executable = "/bin/sleep";                    CPUNumber = 4;                    Arguments = "20";</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Job finishes correctly. Unique Identifier for the submitted jobs, status log of the job. Correct number of slots are allocated</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Test is executed correctly. Mapping of slots to machines/cores not relevant for the test.
<b>Related Information</b>	#1391: Support for parallel jobs in JDL.
<b>Revision Log</b>	V2: Unified PARALLEL_JOB_1, 3 & 4 into this criterion.

<b>Single machine parallel job submission</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>PARALLEL_JOB_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	Job Execution Appliances that also provide the Parallel Job Capability should allow users to submit a job requesting more than one execution slot in a single machine.
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	Job Execution Appliances with Parallel Job Capability.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for the submission of parallel job, requesting more than 1 slot in a single machine and for a complete machine.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials (and delegation if needed in the system)</p> <p><b>Test</b> Job submission:            Executable = "/bin/sleep";            NodeNumber = 1;            SMPGranularity = 4;            Arguments = "20";</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Job finishes correctly. Unique Identifier for the submitted jobs, status log of the job. Correct number of slots are allocated in a single machine</p>
	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials (and delegation if needed in the system)</p> <p><b>Test</b> Job submission:            Executable = "/bin/sleep";            NodeNumber = 1;            SMPGranularity = 4;            WholeNode = True;            Arguments = "20";</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Job finishes correctly. Unique Identifier for the submitted jobs, status log of the job. Complete machine with the requested slots is allocated.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Test is executed correctly.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	V2: Unified PARALLEL_JOB_2 & 5.

<b>Fine grained mapping parallel job submission</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>PARALLEL_JOB_3</b>
<b>Description</b>	Job Execution Appliances that also provide the Parallel Job Capability should allow users to submit a job requesting a combination of slots per physical machine.
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	Job Execution Appliances with Parallel Job Capability.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for the submission of parallel job requesting specific configurations of slots in several machines.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials (and delegation if needed in the system)</p> <p><b>Test</b> Job submission:                    Executable = "/bin/sleep";                    NodeNumber = 5;                    SMPGranularity = 2;                    Arguments = "20";</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Job finishes correctly. Unique Identifier for the submitted jobs, status log of the job. Correct number of slots is allocated.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Test is executed correctly for different combinations (e.g.: N processes in N different hosts, N processes in a single host, N processes per host in K hosts, K number of complete hosts with at least N slots)
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	V2: Unified PARALLEL_JOB_2 & 5.

### 13.2 MPI support

Precompiled MPI job Execution	
<b>ID</b>	PARALLEL_MPI_1
<b>Description</b>	Parallel Job Appliances must support the execution of MPI jobs.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Parallel Job Appliances.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for the submission of a MPI job with pre-existing binary.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Valid User proxy and valid delegation in the service. MPI Binary</p> <p><b>Test</b> Submission of a MPI job requesting more than one execution slot with MPI Binary included in input sandbox of job or already installed in the system (description of job depending on Job Execution interface)</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Job is submitted and executed without errors; the requested slots are allocated. Unique Identifier for the submitted jobs, status log of the job.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the test is provided and passes for all the MPI implementations supported. Support for Open MPI and MPICH2 should be included
<b>Related Information</b>	User requirements: #672: MPI support
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>MPI job Execution from source.</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>PARALLEL_MPI_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	Parallel Job Appliances must support the execution of MPI jobs that are compiled at submission time.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Parallel Job Appliances.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for the submission of a MPI job compiled from source during its execution.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Valid User proxy and valid delegation in the service. Source code for MPI application.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Submission of a MPI job requesting more than one execution slot with MPI source code included in input sandbox of job (description of job depending on Job Execution interface). Prior to the execution of the application, the source must be compiled with the available compiler at the site.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Job is submitted, compiled and executed without errors; the requested slots are allocated. Unique Identifier for the submitted jobs, status log of the job.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the test is provided and passes for all the MPI implementations supported. Support for Open MPI and MPICH2 should be included
<b>Related Information</b>	User requirements: #672: MPI support
<b>Revision Log</b>	



### 13.3 OpenMP support

Precompiled OpenMP job Execution	
<b>ID</b>	PARALLEL_OMP_1
<b>Description</b>	Parallel Job Appliances must support the execution of OpenMP jobs.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Parallel Job Appliances.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for the submission of an OpenMP job with pre-existing binary.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Valid User proxy and valid delegation in the service. OpenMP Binary</p> <p><b>Test</b> Submission of an OpenMP job requesting more than one execution slot with OpenMP Binary included in input sandbox of job (description of job depending on Job Execution interface)</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Job is submitted and executed without errors; the requested slots are allocated. Unique Identifier for the submitted jobs, status log of the job.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the test is provided and passes for all the OpenMP implementations supported.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>OpenMP job Execution from source</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>PARALLEL_OMP_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	Parallel Job Appliances must support the execution of OpenMP jobs that are compiled at submission time.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Parallel Job Appliances.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for the submission of an OpenMP job that gets compiled at the remote site.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Valid User proxy and valid delegation in the service. Source code for OpenMP application.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Submission of an OpenMP job requesting more than one execution slot with OpenMP source code included in input sandbox of job (description of job depending on Job Execution interface). Prior to the execution of the application, the source must be compiled with the available compiler at the site.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Job is submitted, compiled and executed without errors; the requested slots are allocated. Unique Identifier for the submitted jobs, status log of the job.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the test is provided and passes for all the OpenMP implementations supported.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	

## 14 INTERACTIVE JOB MANAGEMENT

<b>Interactive login</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>INTERACTIVE_JOB_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	Login interactively to a remote site using grid credentials
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	Interactive Job Management (Interactive Login)
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Tool for providing interactive login to remote machine using any of the supported authn/authz in the UMD Roadmap.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials (and delegation if needed in the system)</p> <p><b>Test</b> Interactive login to remote site</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Login is performed and a shell is provided.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the tool is able to perform the remote logins correctly using the grid credentials
<b>Related Information</b>	gsissh, glogin UMD Roadmap Interactive Job Management [R 1]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>Interactive Job Perusal</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>INTERACTIVE_JOB_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	Provide a mechanism for getting files produced by a job running in a remote site.
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	Interactive Job Management (Interactive Job Steering)
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Mechanism that is able to retrieve the files produced by a job during its runtime. The provided service should be configurable to retrieve the files at periodic intervals of time. Files to retrieve <i>should</i> be configurable.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the provided service is able to retrieve at periodic intervals job output files during the job execution.
<b>Related Information</b>	WMS Job Perusal UMD Roadmap Interactive Job Management [R 1]
<b>Revision Log</b>	



<b>Interactive Job Monitoring</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>INTERACTIVE_JOB_3</b>
<b>Description</b>	Provide a mechanism for streaming files produced by a job running in a remote site.
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	Interactive Job Management (Interactive Job Steering)
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Mechanism that is able to stream the files produced by a job during its runtime. Ideally, the files to stream should be configurable. By default the standard output and error of the job should be used.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the provided service is able to stream the job output files during the job execution.
<b>Related Information</b>	globus-job-get-output, i2glogin UMD Roadmap Interactive Job Management [R 1] #1385: Interactive jobs monitoring
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>Interactive Job Steering</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>INTERACTIVE_JOB_4</b>
<b>Description</b>	Provide a mechanism for steering a job running in a remote site.
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	Interactive Job Management (Interactive Job Steering)
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Mechanism that is able to stream the files produced by a job during its runtime and to control the job execution (i.e. stream the job's standard input from the user location to the remote site).
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the provided service is able to control the job execution by creating a communication channel that forwards output/error and input streams between the user and the remote job
<b>Related Information</b>	i2glogin UMD Roadmap Interactive Job Management [R 1]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

## 15 JOB SCHEDULING

### 15.1 Job Scheduling Interface

The Job Scheduling Capabilities does not have a standard interface. Any implementation of this capability can support on of the Job Execution interfaces proposed by the OGF (DRMAA, BES) or proprietary interfaces (gLite WMS)

Job Scheduling Interface	
<b>ID</b>	<b>JOBSCH_IFACE_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	Job Scheduling Appliances must support one of the interfaces currently in use or identified by the UMD Roadmap
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Job Scheduling Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Implementation of one of the Job Scheduling Interfaces as defined in the UMD Roadmap. Ideally, a complete test suite of the Job Execution interfaces supported by the appliance. The test suite must include tests for all the documented functions, and for all functions, check both correct and invalid input and with valid and invalid credentials.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Test all interface functionality, with correct/incorrect input and with valid and invalid credentials.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Log of all the operations performed. All the documented functions work as documented.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	<p>The Job Scheduling Appliance that claims to support an interface must pass complete tests for that interface (provided by the TP or by the verification team). If the API is not completely supported, this <b>must</b> be documented. The test suite must be executed without errors.</p> <p><b>At least one</b> of the following interfaces must be provided:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• gLite WMS [R 18]</li> <li>• OGF DRMAA [R 15]</li> <li>• OGSA BES [R 16]</li> </ul>
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap Job Scheduling Capability
<b>Revision Log</b>	V2: Merged all the interface related criteria into this.

## 15.2 Job Execution Capability Support

Remote Job Management	
<b>ID</b>	JOBSCH_EXEC_1
<b>Description</b>	Job Scheduling Appliances must support the creation and management of work items to an Job Execution Appliance
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Job Scheduling Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	<p>Appliance must be able to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• create new jobs</li> <li>• retrieve the status of the jobs submitted by the appliance</li> <li>• cancel jobs</li> <li>• optionally, hold and resume jobs</li> </ul> <p>The Appliance may perform these operations for individually for each submitted job or for set of jobs in order to improve its performance (e.g. for retrieving the status instead of querying each of the individual jobs, do a single query for all jobs submitted at a given appliance)</p>
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Configured system</p> <p><b>Test</b> Create new job(s) in job execution appliance</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> New job(s) is created in the job execution appliance; id of job(s) returned</p>
	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Previously submitted job(s)</p> <p><b>Test</b> Cancel job(s) in job execution appliance.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Job(s) is cancelled successfully.</p>
	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Previously submitted job(s)</p> <p><b>Test</b> Query status of previously submitted job(s)</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Job (s) status is correctly fetched</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	<p>Pass if the Appliance correctly manages jobs in the job execution appliances. Tests must be executed (and pass) for each of the job execution appliances supported.</p> <p><b>At least one</b> of the following interfaces must be supported:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ARC-CE gridFTP [R 11]</li> <li>• CREAM [R 12]</li> <li>• EMI-ES [R 13]</li> <li>• Globus GRAM5 [R 14]</li> <li>• OGF DRMAA [R 15]</li> <li>• OGSA BES [R 16]</li> <li>• UNICORE UAS [R 17]</li> </ul>





<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap Job Execution QC
<b>Revision Log</b>	V2: Major rewrite of criterion specification.

<b>Remote Resource Information</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>JOBSCH_EXEC_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	Job Scheduling Appliances must be able to use the resource descriptions using the current Information Model and Information Discovery interfaces.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Job Scheduling Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Appliances must handle resources described with the current Information Model (GlueSchema1.3 and optionally GlueSchema2) and Information Discovery (LDAPv3) interfaces.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Configured system</p> <p><b>Test</b> Fetch information from Information Discovery Appliance.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Information is fetched correctly; resources described are added to the list of possible resources to use.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the Appliance correctly fetches information from Information Discovery appliances and is able to use the resources described by GlueSchema v1.3 and/or GlueSchema v2.
<b>Related Information</b>	Information Capabilities in the UMD Roadmap [R 1]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

### 15.3 End-to-end job submission tests

The following tests propose example job descriptions using the gLite JDL format for the specification of jobs. These examples are just used for illustrative purposes. Each appliance should execute the tests using their native format.

<b>Simple Job</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>JOBSCH_JOB_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	Execute a simple job.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Job Scheduling Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for the submission of a job with no input or output files.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials (and delegation if needed in the system)</p> <p><b>Test</b> Job submission of simple job:                    Executable = /bin/sleep;                    Arguments = "120";</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Job finishes correctly. Unique Identifier for the submitted jobs, status log of the job.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the test passes correctly.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	V2: moved specific WMS criteria to generic to all Job Scheduling

<b>Simple Job with input/output files</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>JOBSCH_JOB_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	Execute a simple job that uses both input and output files.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Job Scheduling Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for the submission of a job with input or output files.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials (and delegation if needed in the system) Non-empty file “myfile”</p> <p><b>Test</b> Job submission for job with input and output files:            Executable = “/bin/ls”;            Arguments = “-l”;            StdOutput = “std.out”;            StdError = “std.err”;            InputSandbox = {“myfile”};            OutputSandbox = {“std.out”, “std.err”};</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Job finishes correctly; output contains the listing of the directory including the input file with correct size. Unique Identifier for the submitted jobs, status log of the job.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the test passes correctly.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	V2: moved specific WMS criteria to generic.

<b>Cancel Job</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>JOBSCH_JOB_3</b>
<b>Description</b>	Cancel a previously submitted job.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Job Scheduling Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for the cancellation of a job. Job cancelling must be supported for the different states that the job may be, e.g. cancel the job when it's running or cancel the job when it's already done.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials (and delegation if needed in the system)</p> <p><b>Test</b> Job Submission and then cancellation. Possible description for job:                    Executable = "/bin/sleep";                    Arguments = "20m";</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Job is submitted and then cancelled correctly. Unique Identifier for the submitted jobs, status log of the job. Job is removed from remote Job Execution Appliance.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the appliance is able to cancel jobs for any previous state of the job. If the job is already submitted to a Job Execution Appliance, it should be completely removed from it, especially if it's running.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	V2: moved specific WMS criteria to generic to all Job Scheduling

<b>Parallel Job</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>JOBSCH_JOB_4</b>
<b>Description</b>	Execute a parallel job.
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	Job Scheduling Appliances with Parallel Job Support.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for the submission of a job with input or output files.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials (and delegation if needed in the system)</p> <p><b>Test</b> Job Submission or parallel job. Possible description for job:  <code>Executable = "/bin/sleep";</code>  <code>CPUNumber = 2;</code>  <code>Arguments = "20";</code></p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Job finishes correctly. Unique Identifier for the submitted jobs, status log of the job. Correct number of slots is allocated at the remote site.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the test passes correctly.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	V2: moved specific WMS criteria to generic to all Job Scheduling

<b>Job List Match</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>JOBSCH_JOB_5</b>
<b>Description</b>	List the available resources for a given job.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Job Scheduling Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for the list match of a job.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials and delegation in the service.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Job list match for job with requirements and rank expressions, for example:</p> <pre>Executable = "/bin/sleep"; Requirements = other.GlueCEStateStatus = "Production"; Rank = -other.GlueCEStateEstimatedResponseTime;</pre> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> List of available resources for execution (with correct rank) is returned.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	The Job Scheduling Appliance must return a list of available resources for the execution of any given job. Optionally, a <i>rank</i> defined by the user is returned by each of the resources.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	V2: moved specific WMS criteria to generic to all Job Scheduling

<b>Parametric Job Submission</b>		
<b>ID</b>	<b>JOBSCH_JOB_6</b>	
<b>Description</b>	Execute a parametric job.	
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO	
<b>Applicability</b>	Job Scheduling Appliances with support for parametric jobs.	
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for the submission of parametric jobs.	
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials (and delegation if needed in the system) <b>Test</b> Job submission of job with numeric parameters (e.g. Parameters = 10000;ParameterStart = 1000; ParameterStep = 10;). <b>Expected Outcome</b> Job is executed correctly. List of JobIds for the parametric jobs and each of the subjobs is obtained; all states of the jobs must be logged correctly.	
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials (and delegation if needed in the system) <b>Test</b> Job submission of job with a list of parameters (e.g. Parameters={A, B, C,...}). <b>Expected Outcome</b> Job is executed correctly. List of JobIds for the parametric jobs and each of the subjobs is obtained; all states of the jobs must be logged correctly.	
	<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the test passes correctly.
	<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	V2: moved specific WMS criteria to generic to all Job Scheduling	



<b>Job Collection Submission</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>JOBSCH_JOB_7</b>
<b>Description</b>	Execute a job collection
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	Job Scheduling Appliances with support for job collections.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for the submission of job collections.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials (and delegation if needed in the system)</p> <p><b>Test</b> Job submission for job collection.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Job is executed correctly. List of JobIds for the job collections and each of the subjobs is obtained; all states of the jobs must be logged correctly.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the test passes correctly.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	V2: moved specific WMS criteria to generic to all Job Scheduling

<b>DAG Submission</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>JOBSCH_JOB_8</b>
<b>Description</b>	Execute a DAG job.
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	Job Scheduling Appliances with support for DAGs.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for the submission of DAGs.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials and delegation in the service.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Job submission for DAG.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Job is executed correctly. List of JobIds for DAG and each of the subjobs is obtained; all states of the jobs must be logged correctly.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the test passes correctly. DAGs must be able to use any of the Job Execution Interfaces supported by the Job Scheduling Appliance. Explicit test this possibility.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	V2: moved specific WMS criteria to generic to all Job Scheduling

## 15.4 gLite WMS

This section includes criteria applicable to the gLite WMS system.

<b>Proxy Renewal</b>		
<b>ID</b>	<b>JOBSCH_WMS_1</b>	
<b>Description</b>	The WMS must manage the user credentials and renew them if necessary.	
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES	
<b>Applicability</b>	gLite WMS Job Scheduling Appliances.	
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for the proxy renewal mechanism for long running jobs.	
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials with short duration (e.g. 30 min) and delegation in the service. Credentials Renewal service available. <b>Test</b> Submit job that takes longer to complete than the credential lifetime (e.g. 1 hour) <b>Expected Outcome</b> Job executes successfully. The scheduling services should perform a proxy renewal and state it in the log messages (if there is an error, log it also). Output of the job, and status messages stating the renewal of the user credentials.	
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials with short duration, e.g. 30 min, no renewal service. <b>Test</b> Submit job that takes longer to complete than the credential lifetime (e.g. 1 hour) <b>Expected Outcome</b> Job does not complete successfully. Log of operations and status of the job updated with information about the error (no renewal possible)	
	<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Will Pass if the proxy renewal is done, or if there is an error logged stating the problem. Will fail if there is no clear information about the process.
	<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>		

<b>Job Resubmission</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>JOBSCH_WMS_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	Any job failures (due to resource malfunctioning or the job itself) must be resubmitted with a configurable amount of retries.
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	gLite WMS Job Scheduling Appliances.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for the resubmission mechanism of the WMS.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials and delegation in the service.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Job submission that fails due to simulated remote resource malfunctioning.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Job is resubmitted to other resource. Log of all failures and a complete trace of the job.</p>
	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials and delegation in the service.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Job submission for job that always fails (e.g. exit code 1)</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Job is resubmitted until resubmission attempts reach the configured limit. Log of all failures and a complete trace of the job.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Job failures due to resource malfunctioning and not to the job itself must be resubmitted to other resources, with a configurable amount of repetitions. In the case of job failures due to the job itself must be resubmitted with a configurable amount of repetitions. In both situations, status must reflect clearly what is the cause of resubmission, new resource selected and attempt number
<b>Related Information</b>	Requirements gathered in MS305 related to resubmission of jobs, and information provided in error messages.
<b>Revision Log</b>	V2: originally JOBEXEC_WMS_JOB_9

<b>JDL Acceptance Limits</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>JOBSCH_WMS_3</b>
<b>Description</b>	The service should accept JDLs without size restrictions
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	gLite WMS Job Scheduling Appliances.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	A test to submit a job and check if it is accepted or rejected, specially for big JDLs.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials and delegation in the service.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Submission of job descriptions (specially large)</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Normal job submission if everything is correct; an error message if any problem arises.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Will Pass if JDL is correct, and submits the job or if there is a report on a known syntax error in the jdl. Will Fail if a wrong Jdl is accepted or if it crashes
<b>Related Information</b>	Requirements gathered in MS305 related to resubmission of jobs, and information provided in error messages.
<b>Revision Log</b>	V2: originally JOBEXEC_WMS_JOB_10

### 15.4.1 Security Advisories

Security Advisory 1502	
<b>ID</b>	<b>JOBSCH_WMS_SEC_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	Steal of proxies is possible without leaving trace.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	gLite WMS Job Scheduling Appliances.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Test that assures the problem described in the SVG Advisory 1502 (proxy stealing) is fixed.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Fix for Advisory-SVG-2011-1502 is provided. A test that proves that the fix is provided should be also present.
<b>Related Information</b>	Advisory-SVG-2011-1502 ( <a href="https://wiki.egi.eu/wiki/SVG:Advisory-SVG-2011-1502">https://wiki.egi.eu/wiki/SVG:Advisory-SVG-2011-1502</a> )
<b>Revision Log</b>	

## 15.4.2 Bugs

<b>Long Proxy Chain Support</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>JOBSCH_WMS_BUG_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	Long proxy chains should be supported without no issues.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	gLite WMS Job Scheduling Appliances.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for long proxy chains such as the ones created when using myproxy (C=[...]/CN=proxy/CN=proxy/CN=proxy/CN=proxy)
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Valid authorized user credentials with long proxy chain.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Delegation of proxy into service.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Delegation is performed without issues.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	No authorization errors (for authorized users) given when using long proxy chains.
<b>Related Information</b>	GGUS Ticket: #73035
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>Multiple Role/Group Proxy Support</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>JOBSCH_WMS_BUG_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	Proxies of users belonging to multiple groups should be accepted.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	gLite WMS Job Scheduling Appliances.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for renewal of proxies with multiple groups must be allowed.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user proxy with multiple groups.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Delegation of proxy into service, renewal of the delegation.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Delegation and renewal are performed without issues.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass of the delegation and renewal are performed correctly for multiple group proxies.
<b>Related Information</b>	GGUS Ticket: #78892
<b>Revision Log</b>	



### 15.5 Service availability, monitoring and error handling.

Error Messages	
<b>ID</b>	<b>JOBSCH_SERVICE_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	Error messages provided by the service should be clear and facilitate the solution of those errors by users or service administrators
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	Job Scheduling Appliances.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Include in documentation, a list of possible errors and possible solution/cause for it. For errors that may reach the user, this list has to be exhaustive.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Will pass if the list of errors is documented and includes information about: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Error code</li> <li>• Error message (if applicable)</li> <li>• Error source (internal module or remote resource (specify it explicitly))</li> <li>• Cause of error (syntax error, module malfunctioning, configuration problem, network error, other (specify it explicit))</li> <li>• Type (critical, informative)</li> <li>• Possible solution</li> </ul>
<b>Related Information</b>	Requirements gathered in MS305 related to resubmission of jobs, and information provided in error messages.
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>Service Information</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>JOBSCH_SERVICE_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	Job Scheduling Appliances must be able to generate information about the provided service that can be used in a Information Discovery Appliance.
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	Job Scheduling Appliances.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for information generation about the service status.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Configured system, Information Discovery appliance available.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Generate service information and publish to Information Discovery Appliance. Access Info Discovery Appliance.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Information is produced and can be accessed through the Information Discovery Appliance.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Test is provided and executed as expected.
<b>Related Information</b>	Requirements gathered in MS305 related to resubmission of jobs, and information provided in error messages.
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>Self Disabling Mechanism</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>JOBSCH_SERVICE_3</b>
<b>Description</b>	The Job Scheduling Capability should detect high load conditions and self-disable the job submission in order to maintain the quality of the service.
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	Job Scheduling Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for self-disabling mechanism under high load conditions. Ideally, stress test for the service that triggers a self-disabling mechanism.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Correctly configured service.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Introduce high load into machine, submit job.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> High load situation is detected, job submission request is not allowed and message is sent to client.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the test executes as expected. The high load level should be configurable (e.g. CPU load > x, swap usage > y...)
<b>Related Information</b>	User requirements: #698: WMS stability and performance #702: Stability of UMD services and improvements
<b>Revision Log</b>	V2: Changed ID (from JOBSCH_SERVICE_4 to JOBSCH_SERVICE_3)

<b>Job Submission Peaks</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>JOBSCH_SERVICE_4</b>
<b>Description</b>	Job Scheduling Appliances should be able to handle high job submission rates of several hundreds jobs in short intervals.
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	Job Scheduling Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Appliance should be able to handle a high number of jobs submitted in a short time interval (e.g. 500 jobs / minute). Ideally, test the service to assert that this is provided
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Appliances should be able to handle job bursts of several hundreds of jobs in short intervals.
<b>Related Information</b>	User requirements: #698: WMS stability and performance
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>Timely Job Status Updates</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>JOBSCH_SERVICE_5</b>
<b>Description</b>	Job Scheduling Appliances should be able to report the job status within a reasonable time frame since the events that originate those statuses even in situations of high load
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	Job Execution Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Appliance must be able to report the status of the submitted jobs without big delays from the event that originates the status change (e.g. mark the job as running/done once the job enters the running/done status in the local batch system). Ideally TP provides a test for the service that asserts that the appliance is able to report immediately the job statuses under high load conditions (big number of concurrent jobs changing status)
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Appliances <i>should</i> be able to report the status immediately after the event that generated the status change.
<b>Related Information</b>	User requirements: #698: WMS stability and performance.
<b>Revision Log</b>	

## 16 INFORMATION MODEL

### 16.1 Information Model Schema

GlueSchema Support	
<b>ID</b>	<b>INFOMODEL_SCHEMA_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	Resource information exchanged in the EGI Infrastructure must conform to GlueSchema.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Information Model Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Resource information published by Information Discovery Appliances must conform to the GlueSchema v2.0 and v1.3 (optionally).
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> None.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Check that information published conforms to GlueSchema (v2.0 and 1.3). The suggested tool for testing the conformance is the GlueValidator [R 25]</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Information conforms to GlueSchema. <b>NEEDS SPECIFICATION OF EXCEPTIONS</b></p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Information published must be available in GlueSchema v1.3 and/or GlueSchema v2. (it is expected that all products transition to GlueSchema v2) Ideally the Technology Provider should assure this by a test suite of the appliances.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1] GlueSchema v1.3 [R 23] GlueSchema v2 [R 24] GlueValidator [R 25]
<b>Revision Log</b>	V2: Merged INFOMODEL_SCHEMA_* into this criterion. Rephrasing. V4: Added reference to Glue Validator

<b>Middleware Version Information</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>INFOMODEL_SCHEMA_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	The middleware version must be published in the resource information.
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	Information Model Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Resource information published by Information Discovery Appliances must include the version of the middleware.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Middleware version of service is published correctly by the service.
<b>Related Information</b>	Requirement #1378
<b>Revision Log</b>	

## 17 INFORMATION DISCOVERY

### 17.1 Information Discovery Interface

Information Discovery Interface	
<b>ID</b>	INFODISC_IFACE_1
<b>Description</b>	Information published by the appliance must be available through LDAPv3 protocol
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Information Discovery Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	LDAP interface for getting the available information.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Information Discovery Appliance is running</p> <p><b>Test</b> Fetch information from Discovery Appliance using LDAPv3.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Information is retrieved correctly from server.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Information published must be available through LDAPv3 protocol.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1]
<b>Revision Log</b>	



## 17.2 Information Discovery Functionality

### 17.2.1 Information Aggregation

The Information Discovery services aggregate information from lower level sources of information in a hierarchical way. Appliances providing the Information Discovery Capability must be able to aggregate lower level sources of information and apply filter to that information

Information Filtering	
<b>ID</b>	<b>INFODISC_AGG_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	The information discovery service must be able to filter some of the data coming from information sources (e.g. do not publish information of a compute capability for a given VO)
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	Information Discovery Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	The Appliances must allow the definition of information filters (e.g. do not publish information of a CE for a given VO).
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid sources of information are available. Valid filter. <b>Test</b> Filter sources according to filter. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Output filtered information
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid sources of information are available. Invalid filter. <b>Test</b> Filter sources according to filter. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Error message stating that the information cannot be filtered. Output unfiltered information.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	The administrator must be able to define filters for the information that gets published by the appliance. The appliance defines the format and syntax for the filters.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1]
<b>Revision Log</b>	V2: Rephrase, turned to non-mandatory.

<b>Information Aggregation</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>INFODISC_AGG_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	The information discovery service must be able to collect data from different sources and aggregate them in a single source of information.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Information Discovery Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for the aggregation of different sources of information into the appliance (e.g. aggregation of several site-BDII in the top-BDII)
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Set of valid information service sources available and correct. <b>Test</b> Aggregate information from sources <b>Expected Outcome</b> Output aggregated information
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Set of valid information service sources available, at least one incorrect (e.g. not GlueSchema compliant) <b>Test</b> Aggregate information from sources <b>Expected Outcome</b> Output aggregated information without incorrect source. Show a warning message.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Set of valid information service sources, at least one unreachable <b>Test</b> Aggregate information from sources <b>Expected Outcome</b> Output aggregated information without unreachable source. Show a warning message.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	The appliance must aggregate several sources of information. When one of them presents errors or is unreachable, others still must be published. Update interval for sources must be configurable.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>Dynamic Information publication</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>INFODISC_AGG_3</b>
<b>Description</b>	The information discovery service must be able to publish dynamic information at resource level.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Information Discovery Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for the collection of dynamic information defined as mandatory in the GlueSchema. The update interval should be configurable.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	The appliance must publish dynamic information defined in the GlueSchema (at least the mandatory attributes). The update interval must be configurable
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1]
<b>Revision Log</b>	V4: general improvement of criterion.

### 17.2.2 Availability/Scalability

Top Information System Size	
<b>ID</b>	<b>INFODISC_AVAIL_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	Central Information Discovery appliances must be able to handle information about the whole EGI.eu infrastructure (which may contain several hundred sites)
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Information Discovery Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Limit of size of the data handled by the service should be enough to cover the whole EGI.eu Infrastructure. Documentation on how to tune the service in order support large data sizes.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Correctly configured service.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Add information from all EGI.eu Infrastructure.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Appliance is able to aggregate all the information and responds to clients.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the appliance is able to handle the global EGI.eu Infrastructure information.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1]
<b>Revision Log</b>	V2: major rephrasing V3: better wording

## 18 MESSAGING

Messaging Interface	
<b>ID</b>	<b>MSG_IFACE_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	Messaging Appliances must support (at least one of) the interfaces currently in production in the EGI Infrastructure or identified by the UMD Roadmap
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Messaging Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for at least one of the EGI requested messaging interfaces. Ideally, provide a test suite that assured the support of those interfaces, that checks for all functions, both correct and invalid input. Any deviation from the messaging interface specification must be documented.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Messaging Appliance configured</p> <p><b>Test</b> Test all interface functionality, with correct/incorrect input.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Log of all the operations performed. All the documented functions work as documented.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	<p>The Messaging Appliance that claims to support an interface must have support of that interface. Any deviation from the interface specification must be documented.</p> <p><b>At least one</b> of the following interfaces must be supported:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• JMS 1.1 [R 26]</li> <li>• AMQP [R 27]</li> </ul>
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1]
<b>Revision Log</b>	V3: rephrasing not to require tests.

## 19 DATA ACCESS

Criteria for the Data Access Capability are based on OGSA-DAI and WS-DAI interface as reference.

### 19.1 WS-DAI Interface

WS-DAIR API	
<b>ID</b>	<b>DATAACCESS_API_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	Data Access Appliances must implement (at least one of) the WS-DAI realizations and support all the functionality included in the interface.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Data Access Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	WS-DAI API support using the relational [R 3] or XML [R 4] realization. Ideally include a test-suite that covers all the documented functions in the WSDL.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Test all functionality of WS-DAI using the relational or XML realization, with correct/incorrect input and with valid and invalid credentials.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Log of all the operations performed. All the functions work as documented.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	WS-DAI API is provided for the supported realizations. Check both correct and invalid input. Invalid output should throw an exception as documented. Test also with valid and invalid credentials. Invalid credentials should throw security related exceptions.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1] WS-DAIR [R 3] WS-DAIX[R 4] #665: Data availability
<b>Revision Log</b>	V2: Merged DATAACCESS_API_* V3: changed wording

## 19.2 OGSA-DAI Criteria

Deployment of data resources	
<b>ID</b>	<b>DATAACCESS_OGSADAI_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	The OGSA-DAI implementation should allow the deployment of data resources with SQL, XML or files sources.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	OGSA-DAI Data Access Appliance.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for deployment of SQL, XML and file data resources.
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Existing SQL data resource. <b>Test</b> Deploy SQL data resource. Test queries against deployed resource. <b>Expected Outcome</b> SQL data resources is available, queries are executed correctly.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Existing XMLDB data resource. <b>Test</b> Deploy XMLDB data resource. Test queries against deployed resource. <b>Expected Outcome</b> XMLDB data resource is available, queries are executed correctly.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Existing file data resource. <b>Test</b> Deploy file data resource. Test queries against deployed resource. <b>Expected Outcome</b> File data resource is available, queries are executed correctly.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Existing remote resource. <b>Test</b> Deploy remote resource. Test queries against deployed resource. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Remote resource is available, queries are executed correctly.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Deployed data resource. <b>Test</b> Undeploy resource. Test queries against resource. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Remote resource is no longer available; queries are not executed correctly.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Data resources can be deployed/undeployed and queries against the resources are executed correctly.
<b>Related Information</b>	OGSA-DAI [R 5]
<b>Revision Log</b>	V3: changed wording

<b>Management of data resources access</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>DATAACCESS_OGSADAI_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	The OGSA-DAI implementation must allow the definition of which users are allowed to access the deployed resources
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	OGSA-DAI Data Access Appliance.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for user management of data resources.
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Existing data resource. Valid user credentials <b>Test</b> Allow access to user. Test the access. <b>Expected Outcome</b> User is allowed to access the data resource.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Existing data resource. Valid user credentials <b>Test</b> Deny access to user. Test the access. <b>Expected Outcome</b> User is not allowed to access the data resource.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Appliance must allow the admission/denial of users to data resources.
<b>Related Information</b>	OGSA-DAI [R 5]
<b>Revision Log</b>	V3: changed wording



<b>Deployment of activities at resource</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>DATAACCESS_OGSADAI_3</b>
<b>Description</b>	The OGSA-DAI implementation should allow the deployment of activities in server.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	OGSA-DAI Data Access Appliance.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for deployment of activities.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> OGSA-DAI server available; Activity classes available at server.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Deploy activity at server. Add activity to resource. Test execution of activity.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Activity is available and executed correctly.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Appliance must allow the deployment of activities and their execution.
<b>Related Information</b>	OGSA-DAI [R 5]
<b>Revision Log</b>	V3: changed wording

<b>Workflow creation and execution</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>DATAACCESS_OGSADAI_4</b>
<b>Description</b>	The OGSA-DAI implementation should allow the creation of workflows with activities
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	OGSA-DAI Data Access Appliance.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for the creation and execution of workflows.
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Existing OGSA-DAI server.
	<b>Test</b> Create simple workflow, synchronous execution in server.
	<b>Expected Outcome</b> Workflow is executed. Status and data results of workflow can be retrieved.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Existing OGSA-DAI server.
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Test</b> Create simple workflow, asynchronous execution in server.
	<b>Expected Outcome</b> Workflow is executed. Status and data results of workflow can be retrieved.
	<b>Expected Outcome</b> Workflow is executed. Status and data results of workflow can be retrieved.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Appliance must allow the creation of workflows and their execution in both synchronous and asynchronous mode.
<b>Related Information</b>	OGSA-DAI [R 5]
<b>Revision Log</b>	V3: changed wording

## 20 METADATA CATALOGUE

Criteria for the Metadata Catalogue Capability are based on gLite LFC [R 6] and gLite AMGA [R 7]

### 20.1 LFC Implementation

#### 20.1.1 LFC API

LFC API	
<b>ID</b>	<b>METADATA_LFC_API_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	LFC Metadata Catalogue Appliances must implement the LFC API.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	LFC Metadata Catalogue Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for the LFC API. Any deviation from the API should be documented. Ideally, provide a complete test suite that includes tests for all the documented functions.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Test all functionality of LFC API, with correct/incorrect input and with valid and invalid credentials.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Log of all the operations performed. All the documented functions work as documented.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the LFC API support is tested for all the available language bindings.
<b>Related Information</b>	gLite LFC [R 6]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

### 20.1.2 LFC Functionality

Directory Management	
<b>ID</b>	<b>METADATA_LFC_FUNC_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	LFC Metadata Catalogue Appliances must allow users to organize the files in directories.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	LFC Metadata Catalogue Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for directory management operations.
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials. Available Catalogue server. <b>Test</b> Create new directory. <b>Expected Outcome</b> New directory is created at server.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials. Available Catalogue server. Existing directory <b>Test</b> List contents of directory. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Contents of directory are returned.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials. Available Catalogue server. Existing empty directory <b>Test</b> Remove directory. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Directory is removed.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials. Available Catalogue server. Existing non-empty directory <b>Test</b> Remove directory. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Directory is not removed. Message is shown.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the Appliance provides support for managing directories.
<b>Related Information</b>	gLite LFC [R 6]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>ACL Operations</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>METADATA_LFC_FUNC_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	LFC Metadata Catalogue Appliances must allow users to set permissions on the entries.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	LFC Metadata Catalogue Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for ACL management of LFC.
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials. Available LFC server. Existing entry <b>Test</b> Show entry owner and permission <b>Expected Outcome</b> Entry owner and permission are returned.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials with administrator privileges. Available LFC server. Existing entry. <b>Test</b> Change owner of entry. Show entry owner. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Owner of entry is changed and returned.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials with administrator privileges. Available LFC server. Existing entry. <b>Test</b> Change group of entry. Show entry group. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Group of entry is changed and returned.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials. Available LFC server. Existing entry. <b>Test</b> Check the entry ACL is enforced. <b>Expected Outcome</b> The permissions of entry are correctly enforced.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the Appliance provides support for managing ACL on catalogue entries.
<b>Related Information</b>	gLite LFC [R 6]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

Entry Comments	
<b>ID</b>	<b>METADATA_LFC_FUNC_3</b>
<b>Description</b>	LFC Metadata Catalogue Appliances must allow users to set comments on the catalogue entries.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	LFC Metadata Catalogue Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for the comment management of LFC
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials. Available LFC server. Existing entry <b>Test</b> Set comment of an entry. Show comment to entry. <b>Expected Outcome</b> The comment is correctly set and shown.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials. Available LFC server. Existing entry with comment. <b>Test</b> Delete comment of an entry. Show comment to entry. <b>Expected Outcome</b> The comment is correctly removed and nothing is shown.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the Appliance provides support for managing comments on catalogue entries.
<b>Related Information</b>	gLite LFC [R 6]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>User/Group Map Management</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>METADATA_LFC_FUNC_4</b>
<b>Description</b>	LFC Metadata Catalogue Appliances must allow the definition and management of user and group maps.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	LFC Metadata Catalogue Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for the user/group management of LFC.
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid admin user credentials. Available LFC server. <b>Test</b> List all user/group mappings <b>Expected Outcome</b> List of all user/group mappings is shown.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid admin user credentials. Available LFC server. <b>Test</b> List user mappings for specific user DN. <b>Expected Outcome</b> List of user mappings is shown.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid admin user credentials. Available LFC server. <b>Test</b> List group mappings for specific group name. <b>Expected Outcome</b> List of group mapping is shown.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid admin user credentials. Available LFC server. Non existing user/group mapping. <b>Test</b> Set new user/group mapping. List the user/group mapping. <b>Expected Outcome</b> New mapping is set and shown accordingly.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid admin user credentials. Available LFC server. Existing user/group mapping. <b>Test</b> Set new user/group mapping for a user/group. List the user/group mapping. <b>Expected Outcome</b> New mapping is set and shown accordingly.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid admin user credentials. Available LFC server. Existing user/group mapping. <b>Test</b> Remove user/group mapping for a user/group. List the user/group mapping. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Mapping is removed and not shown.
<b>Pass/Fail</b>	Pass if the Appliance provides support for managing the mapping of users and



<b>Criteria</b>	groups.
<b>Related Information</b>	gLite LFC [R 6]
<b>Revision Log</b>	



<b>Entry Management</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>METADATA_LFC_FUNC_5</b>
<b>Description</b>	LFC Metadata Catalogue Appliances must allow users to create entries and to manage those entries.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	LFC Metadata Catalogue Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for the entry management operations.
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials. Available Catalogue server. Available SE with file to register. <b>Test</b> Create new entry (register file in server). <b>Expected Outcome</b> New entry is created at server. GUID is returned
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials. Available Catalogue server with existing entry. Available SE to register replica <b>Test</b> Register new replica of the file in a new SE <b>Expected Outcome</b> Entry is updated with the new replica
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials. Available Catalogue server. Existing entry <b>Test</b> List replicas of entry. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Replica list is returned.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials. Available Catalogue server. Existing entry. <b>Test</b> Remove one of the entry replicas <b>Expected Outcome</b> Replica is removed. If it was the last one, remove also the entry.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials. Available Catalogue server. Existing entry. <b>Test</b> Remove entry. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Entry is removed (with all replicas)
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the Appliance provides support for managing entries.
<b>Related Information</b>	gLite LFC [R 6]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

## 20.2 AMGA Implementation

### 20.2.1 AMGA Interface

AMGA Soap Interface	
<b>ID</b>	<b>METADATA_AMGA_API_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	AMGA Metadata Catalogue Appliances must implement the complete AMGA WSDL API [ <i>Error! No se encuentra el origen de la referencia.</i> ]
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	AMGA Metadata Catalogue Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for the AMGA SOAP API. Any deviation from the API should be documented. Ideally, provide a complete test suite that includes tests for all the functionality.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Test all functionality of AMGA WSDL, with correct/incorrect input and with valid and invalid credentials.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Log of all the operations performed. All the documented functions work as documented.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the AMGA WSDL API is tested and works as documented.
<b>Related Information</b>	gLite AMGA [R 7]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>AMGA Streaming Interface</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>METADATA_AMGA_API_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	AMGA Metadata Catalogue Appliances must implement the complete AMGA streaming API [ <b>Error! No se encuentra el origen de la referencia.</b> ]
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	AMGA Metadata Catalogue Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for the AMGA Streaming API. Any deviation from the API should be documented. Ideally, provide a complete test suite that includes tests for all the functionality.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Test all functionality of AMGA Stream protocol, with correct/incorrect input and with valid and invalid credentials.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Log of all the operations performed. All the documented functions work as documented.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the API is tested and working as documented for all the available language bindings.
<b>Related Information</b>	gLite AMGA [R 7]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

## 20.2.2 AMGA Functionality

AMGA Streaming Interface	
<b>ID</b>	<b>METADATA_AMGA_FUNC_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	AMGA Metadata Catalogue Appliances must allow users to organize the files in directories.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	AMGA Metadata Catalogue Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for the directory management operations of AMGA.
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials. Available AMGA server. <b>Test</b> Create new directory. <b>Expected Outcome</b> New directory is created at AMGA server.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials. Available AMGA server. Existing directory <b>Test</b> List contents of directory. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Contents of directory are returned.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials. Available AMGA server. Existing empty directory <b>Test</b> Remove directory. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Directory is removed.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials. Available AMGA server. Existing non-empty directory <b>Test</b> Remove directory. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Directory is not removed. Message is shown.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials. Available AMGA server. Existing directory (different to current) <b>Test</b> Change current directory to existing directory. Check current directory. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Current directory has changed
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if users can manage directories in the server.
<b>Related Information</b>	gLite AMGA [R 7]

<b>Revision Log</b>	
---------------------	--

<b>Entry Management</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>METADATA_AMGA_FUNC_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	AMGA Metadata Catalogue Appliances must allow users to manage the entries in the server.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	AMGA Metadata Catalogue Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for the entry management operations of AMGA.
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials. Available AMGA server. <b>Test</b> Create a new entry. List entry's attributes <b>Expected Outcome</b> Entry is created. The attributes are listed correctly.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials. Available AMGA server. <b>Test</b> Create a new set of entries. List entries' attributes <b>Expected Outcome</b> Entries are created. The attributes are listed correctly.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials. Available AMGA server. Existing entry. <b>Test</b> Remove existing entry. List entry's attributes <b>Expected Outcome</b> Entry is removed. The list command exits with an error.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if users can manage entries in the server.
<b>Related Information</b>	gLite AMGA [R 7]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>Attribute Management</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>METADATA_AMGA_FUNC_3</b>
<b>Description</b>	AMGA Metadata Catalogue Appliances must allow users to manage the attributes in the server.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	AMGA Metadata Catalogue Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for the attribute management operations of AMGA.
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials. Available AMGA server. <b>Test</b> Add new attribute to directory. List directory attributes <b>Expected Outcome</b> Attribute is added. List returns all attributes of directory.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials. Available AMGA server. Existing attributes for dir/entry <b>Test</b> Remove attribute to from dir/entry. List dir/entry attributes <b>Expected Outcome</b> Attribute is removed. List does not return removed attribute.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials. Available AMGA server. Existing attribute list for file <b>Test</b> Clear attribute list for a file. Get file's attributes. <b>Expected Outcome</b> All file's attributes are set to NULL. Attributes values are shown.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials. Available AMGA server. Entry with attribute list. <b>Test</b> Clear attribute list for a file. List file's attributes <b>Expected Outcome</b> Attribute list file. They are listed correctly.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if users can manage the attributes for the entries in the server.
<b>Related Information</b>	gLite AMGA [R 7]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>Metadata Queries</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>METADATA_AMGA_FUNC_4</b>
<b>Description</b>	AMGA Metadata Catalogue Appliances must allow users to find and update entries based on their metadata.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	AMGA Metadata Catalogue Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for the metadata queries in AMGA.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials. Available AMGA server.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Test the complete functionality (find, update, select) of the metadata queries in AMGA. Test available functions</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Queries work as expected.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the metadata queries are supported as documented.
<b>Related Information</b>	gLite AMGA [R 7] AMGA Metadata Queries [R 10]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>Attribute Management</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>METADATA_AMGA_FUNC_5</b>
<b>Description</b>	AMGA Metadata Catalogue Appliances must allow users to set permissions on the entries.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	AMGA Metadata Catalogue Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for ACL related operations of AMGA.
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials. Available AMGA server. <b>Test</b> Get current user. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Current user is returned.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials. Available AMGA server. Existing entry/dir <b>Test</b> Show entry/dir owner and permission <b>Expected Outcome</b> Entry/dir owner and permission are returned.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials. Available AMGA server. Existing entry/dir <b>Test</b> Change owner of entry/dir. Show entry/dir owner. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Owner of entry/dir is changed and returned.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials. Available AMGA server. Existing entry/dir <b>Test</b> Change entry/dir permissions. Check the permission is enforced. <b>Expected Outcome</b> The permissions of entry/dir are changed and correctly enforced.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if users can manage the ACLs of the entries in the server.
<b>Related Information</b>	gLite AMGA [R 7]
<b>Revision Log</b>	



## 21 FILE ENCRYPTION/DECRYPTION

Criteria for the File Encryption/Decryption Capability are based on gLite Hydra [R 37] as reference implementation. A key handling interface will be described in future versions of the roadmap following input from the EGI Community.

### 21.1 Key Management

Key Registration	
<b>ID</b>	<b>FILECRYPT_KEY_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	Hydra appliances must allow registering and unregistering keys.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Hydra File Encryption/Decryption Appliances.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for key registration/unregistration.
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Keystore running accepted user credentials. <b>Test</b> Register key in server <b>Expected Outcome</b> Key is successfully registered
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Keystore running accepted user credentials. <b>Test</b> Register key in server specifying cipher and key length. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Key is successfully registered
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Keystore running previously registered key, accepted user credentials. <b>Test</b> Register key in server <b>Expected Outcome</b> Warning issued, no action taken.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Keystore running previously registered key, accepted user credentials. <b>Test</b> Unregister key in server <b>Expected Outcome</b> Key is successfully unregistered
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Keystore running, non-registered key, accepted user credentials. <b>Test</b> Unregister key in server <b>Expected Outcome</b> Warning message issued, no action taken.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the registration and unregistration of keys in the appliance work as expected.



<b>Related Information</b>	Hydra [R 37]
<b>Revision Log</b>	V3: Improved wording.

<b>Key and Password Splitting and Recombination</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>FILECRYPT_KEY_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	Hydra appliances must provide functionality for generating, splitting and recombine keys and passwords.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Hydra File Encryption/Decryption Appliances.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for split and joining password and keys.
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Password/Key to split <b>Test</b> Split password/key. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Password is successfully splitted
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Whole set of Password/key splits <b>Test</b> Join splits <b>Expected Outcome</b> Password/key successfully joined.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Minimum number of Password/key splits needed for joining. <b>Test</b> Join splits <b>Expected Outcome</b> Password/key successfully joined.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the split/join of password and keys functionality is provided. The tests should include different combination of number of parts and minimum number of parts needed for recombinations.
<b>Related Information</b>	Hydra [R 37]
<b>Revision Log</b>	V3: Improved wording.

<b>Key ACL management</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>FILECRYPT_KEY_3</b>
<b>Description</b>	Hydra appliances must allow the management of ACLs for a file/key.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Hydra File Encryption/Decryption Appliances.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for ACL management of keys and keys set.
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Key registered in server, user allowed to list ACLs of key <b>Test</b> List key ACLs <b>Expected Outcome</b> ACLs of file correctly shown.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Key registered in server, user allowed to modify ACLs of key <b>Test</b> Set new ACL for key. <b>Expected Outcome</b> ACL changed correctly.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Key registered in server, ACL of key set. <b>Test</b> Try allowed actions for ACL. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Actions are performed correctly
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Key registered in server, ACL of key set. <b>Test</b> Try non-allowed actions for ACL. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Actions are not allowed.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the ACLs can be listed and set. They are correctly enforced for actions.
<b>Related Information</b>	Hydra [R 37]
<b>Revision Log</b>	V3: Improved wording.

## 21.2 File Encryption/Decryption

File Encryption/Decryption	
<b>ID</b>	FILECRYPT_FILE_1
<b>Description</b>	Hydra appliances must provide encryption and decryption of files functionality.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Hydra File Encryption/Decryption Appliances.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for file encryption and decryption.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Existing file, key registered.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Encrypt and decrypt existing file.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Result of the test is identical to original file.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the encryption/decryption of files functionality is provided.
<b>Related Information</b>	Hydra [R 37]
<b>Revision Log</b>	V3: Improved wording.

<b>File Encryption/Decryption into grid storage</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>FILECRYPT_FILE_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	Hydra appliances must allow storage of encrypted files into grid storage system and the retrieval and decryption of those files.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Hydra File Encryption/Decryption Appliances.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for file encryption and decryption into grid storage (SRM).
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Existing file, available grid storage.
	<b>Test</b> Encrypt and store file into grid storage, retrieval and decryption of file.
	<b>Expected Outcome</b> Result of the test is identical to original file. Grid storage contains encrypted file.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Encrypted file stored in grid storage. <b>Test</b> Retrieve file, decrypt file. <b>Expected Outcome</b> File is correctly retrieved and decrypted.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the encryption/decryption of files into grid storage functionality is provided.
<b>Related Information</b>	Hydra [R 37]
<b>Revision Log</b>	V3: Improved wording.

## 22 FILE ACCESS

Provides an abstraction that allows a file to be stored on or retrieved from a storage device (e.g. tape, disk, distributed file system, etc.) for use elsewhere in the infrastructure.

### 22.1 File Access Interface

POSIX Read file access	
<b>ID</b>	<b>FILEACC_API_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	Provide genuine POSIX read file access.
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	File Access Interface.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for the POSIX read file access: opening and reading files.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> POSIX access configured and available for user.</p> <p><b>Test</b> POSIX read file operations tests.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> POSIX file operations work as documented. Log of operations</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if POSIX access to files is provided.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1] #1386: EMI Data clients should be able to offer the file:// protocol to SRM
<b>Revision Log</b>	V2: changed to READ only access, and not mandatory.

<b>POSIX Write file access</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>FILEACC_API_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	Provide genuine POSIX write file access.
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	File Access Interface.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for the POSIX file access: open (creating files), and write/append operations on files.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> POSIX access configured and available for user.</p> <p><b>Test</b> POSIX file write operations tests.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> POSIX file operations work as documented. Log of operations</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if POSIX write access to files is provided.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1]
<b>Revision Log</b>	



## 23 FILE TRANSFER

### 23.1 File Transfer Interfaces

GridFTP File Access	
<b>ID</b>	FILETRANS_API_1
<b>Description</b>	Provide gridFTP access for reading data.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	GridFTP File Transfer Appliances.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for reading and writing data from the Storage Resource using gridFTP.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Valid credentials.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Transfer files via gridFTP protocol (both read and write operations)</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Files can be transferred. Log of operations</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if gridFTP access to files is provided.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>HTTPS File Access</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>FILETRANS_API_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	Provide HTTP(S) access for reading data.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	HTTPS File Transfer Appliances.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for reading data from the Storage Resource using http(s)
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Valid credentials.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Transfer files via HTTP(s) protocol.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Files can be transferred. Log of operations</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if HTTP(s) read access to files is provided.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>WebDAV File Access</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>FILETRANS_API_3</b>
<b>Description</b>	Provide WebDAV access for data.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	WebDAV File Transfer Appliances.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for reading and writing data from the Storage Resource using WebDAV.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Valid credentials.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Transfer files via WebDAV protocol (both read and write operations)</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Files can be transferred. Log of operations</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if WebDAV read access to files is provided.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

## 24 FILE TRANSFER SCHEDULING

These criteria are defined taking gLite FTS [R 38] as reference implementation.

### 24.1 File Transfer Channel Management

Channel Management Operations	
<b>ID</b>	FILETRANSFSCH_CHANNEL_1
<b>Description</b>	FTS must allow administrators to add, drop and list channels for file transfers.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	FTS File Transfer Scheduling Appliances.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for channel management operations: add, drop and list channels for various sites. Support for setting the channel configuration.
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid administrator credentials. Valid Site A and B. <b>Test</b> Add transfer channel from site A to site B <b>Expected Outcome</b> New transfer channel created.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid administrator credentials. Existing channel <b>Test</b> Drop channel. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Channel is dropped.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid administrator credentials. <b>Test</b> List available channels <b>Expected Outcome</b> List of available channels is shown.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid administrator credentials. Existing channel. <b>Test</b> Set channel configuration (bandwidth, transfer limit per VO, ...) <b>Expected Outcome</b> Channel configuration is effectively changed.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if administrator can manage the channels correctly.
<b>Related Information</b>	gLite FTS [R 38]
<b>Revision Log</b>	V3: Improved wording.

<b>Channel Manager Control</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>FILETRANSFSCH_CHANNEL_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	FTS must allow administrators to control who is allowed or not to manage a channel.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	FTS File Transfer Scheduling Appliances.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for channel manager control operations: add/remove channel managers and listing current channels.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Valid administrator credentials. Existing channel. Credentials of user to add as manager</p> <p><b>Test</b> Add user as manager of channel. Test privilege operations on channel with user.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Manager is added; privileged operations are performed correctly.</p>
	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Valid administrator credentials. Existing channel.</p> <p><b>Test</b> List channel managers</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> List of channel managers is returned</p>
	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Valid administrator credentials. Existing channel. Existing manager of channel</p> <p><b>Test</b> Remove channel manager. Test privilege operations on channel with user</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Manager is removed; privileged operations are not performed.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if administrator can list and change the channel managers. The manager access is correctly enforced.
<b>Related Information</b>	gLite FTS [R 38]
<b>Revision Log</b>	V3: Improved wording.

## 24.2 File Transfer Management

File Transfer Operation Management	
<b>ID</b>	FILETRANSFSCH_ MGMT _1
<b>Description</b>	FTS must allow users to create and manage file transfer operations.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	FTS File Transfer Scheduling Appliances.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for submission, query and cancelling file transfer operations.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> FTS Service available; source and destination available; list of files to transfer; valid user credentials</p> <p><b>Test</b> Create new file transfer job.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> New file transfer job created. ID of job returned.</p>
	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Transfer job ID of a previously submitted job; valid user credentials.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Check status of job.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Status of job returned.</p>
	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Transfer job ID of a previously submitted job; valid user credentials.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Cancel job.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Job is cancelled.</p>
	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Transfer job ID of a previously submitted job; valid user credentials.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Cancel job.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Job is cancelled.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if users can create and manage transfer jobs.
<b>Related Information</b>	gLite FTS [R 38]
<b>Revision Log</b>	V3: Improved wording.

<b>End to end file transfer operation</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>FILETRANSFSCH_ MGMT _2</b>
<b>Description</b>	FTS must execute correctly file transfer operations.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	FTS File Transfer Scheduling Appliances.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	End-to-end file transfer operation are performed correctly, if errors are found they are clearly indicated.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> FTS Service available; source and destination available; list of files to transfer; valid user credentials</p> <p><b>Test</b> Create new file transfer job.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> New file transfer job created and executed correctly.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if users can create jobs and the jobs are executed correctly.
<b>Related Information</b>	gLite FTS [R 38]
<b>Revision Log</b>	V3: Improved wording.

## 25 STORAGE MANAGEMENT

### 25.1 SRM Interface

SRM API Support	
<b>ID</b>	<b>STORAGE_API_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	Storage Management Appliances must provide support for SRM2.2 specification.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Storage Management Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Valid SRM v2.2 API implementation, any deviations from the API implementation should be documented. Ideally, also provide a complete test suite and results for the API support
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Test SRMv2.2 functionality, with correct/incorrect input and with valid and invalid credentials. Use S2 [R 40] test suite for reference.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Log of all the operations performed. All the documented functions work as documented.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if SRM v2.2 support is provided (as tested with S2 test suite). If the API is not completely supported, this should be documented.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1] SRM v2.2 [R 39]
<b>Revision Log</b>	V3: Improved wording



<b>LCG-UTILS test</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>STORAGE_API_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	Test Storage Management Appliances with the lcg-utils commands.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Storage Management Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for lcg-utils [R 42] commands, documentation of any possible incompatibilities with other Appliances.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Test lcg-utils commands, with correct/incorrect input and with valid and invalid credentials. An example test suite is available at [R 43]</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Log of all the operations performed. All the documented functions work as documented.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if lcg-utils commands can be executed correctly against the Storage Management Appliance. In the case of incompatibilities or collateral effects they must be documented.
<b>Related Information</b>	Although all Storage Management Appliances should use SRM [R 39] protocol, deficiencies in the protocol description had lead to different implementations and results. This tests intends to harmonize results at least when using lcg-utils, and until a complete and better description of SRM protocol and desired results is reached.
<b>Revision Log</b>	V3: Added reference

## 25.2 Storage Device Support

The Storage Management Capability provide an abstraction to a Storage Device, these QC refer to the interaction of the Storage Management Capability implementation with the underlying storage device. Storage Management Capabilities are expected to support the most common file systems and storage devices used in the current EGI infrastructure.

<b>Information retrieval</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>STORAGE_DEVICE_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	The Storage Management Capability must be able to provide information from the underlying storage and make it available to an Information Discovery Appliance.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Storage Management Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Information retrieval mechanisms that generate the Storage Element related entities of the current UMD Information Model Capability (GlueSchema 1.3/GlueSchema 2) using the actual information of the underlying available storage.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Configured system.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Retrieve current status from storage.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> All the mandatory Storage Element related entities of GlueSchema using the <b>actual</b> information are generated.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the information retrieval mechanisms are able to generate the requested information.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>Fine grained authorization</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>STORAGE_DEVICE_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	The Storage Management Capability must allow the implementation of a fine-grained authorization policy based on VO roles and enforce it (if defined).
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	Storage Management Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for fine-grained authorization policy based on VO roles. Such authorization policy can be configured and applied to the full directory tree of the storage area or just to a fraction of the storage area directory tree.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Configured system with a storage resource area directory tree with different authorization permissions along the directory tree for different VO roles.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Test I/O storage operations (write, copy, delete files) using SRM interface and LCG-UTILS in a storage space area directory using different VO roles in the FQAN.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Log of the operation is performed. A user with a valid credential and invoking an authorized VO role should be able to write/delete or read/copy files from a given storage area, according to the defined policies.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if a user can interact with the storage area tree in compliance with the defined fine-grained authorization policy based on the user VO roles.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>Space reservations</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>STORAGE_DEVICE_3</b>
<b>Description</b>	The Storage Management Capability must allow the implementation of (virtual or real) reserved space areas as storage space tokens
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	Storage Management Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for (virtual or real) storage space reservations enabled as storage space tokens. Interactions with the storage areas represented by a given space token must be enforced to respect the defined fine-grained authorization policy. The storage resource information system must reflect the existence of storage space tokens (if configured).
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Configured system with (virtual or real) storage space reservations enabled as storage space tokens.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Retrieve current status from the storage space token area.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> All the mandatory Storage Element related entities of GlueSchema using the <b>actual</b> information for the storage space token area are generated.</p>
	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Configured system with (virtual or real) storage space reservations enabled as storage space tokens.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Test I/O storage operations (write files, copy files, delete files) using SRM interface and LCG-UTILS in a storage space reservation area using a valid and invalid credential.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Log of the operation is performed. A user with a valid credential should be able to copy and retrieve files from the storage space token area.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if a user can interact with the storage space token area in compliance with the fine-grained authorization policies (STORAGE_DEVICE_2); if the storage space token area information is updated in the storage information system; and if all operations are properly logged.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>Checksum</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>STORAGE_DEVICE_4</b>
<b>Description</b>	The Storage Management Capability must support Adler32 checksum calculation and store the checksum value for a given file.
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	Storage Management Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for storing/retrieving/listing a file in a storage resource through the SRM interface or LCG-UTILS enabling the checksum computation.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Configured system with checksum computation option enabled.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Test storing/retrieving/listing a file in a storage resource through the SRM interface or LCG-UTILS enabling the checksum computation.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Files checksum values are computed while storing a file. The checksum values are computed and compared at source and destiny to detect file corruptions. The checksum value for a file is accessible via SRM interface or LCG-UTILS listing functions.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if a user is able to store/retrieve/list a file in a storage resource through SRM interface or LCG-UTILS, and that the checksum value for the file was corrected computed and delivered.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	

## 26 REMOTE INSTRUMENTATION

There are no standardised interfaces known for the Remote Instrumentation Capability. The QC in this document is based in the Instrument Element [R 21] proprietary implementation from DORII [R 22] project.

<b>Instrument Element API</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>INSTRUMENT_IE_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	Instrument Element appliances must support the Instrument Element API
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Instrument Element implementation of Remote Instrumentation Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for the Instrument Element API as described in WSDL. Any missing functionality/deviation from the WSDL must be documented. Ideally, provide a test suite that covers all documented functions.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Test all interface functionality, with correct/incorrect input and with valid and invalid credentials.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Log of all the operations performed. All the documented functions work as documented.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	The Instrument Element Appliance passes complete tests of its SOAP interface. The test suite must be executed without errors. For all functions, check both correct and invalid input. Invalid output should throw an exception as documented. Test also with valid and invalid credentials. Invalid credentials should throw security related exceptions.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1] Instrument Element [R 21]
<b>Revision Log</b>	V3: Improved wording.

<b>Instrument Element File Access</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>INSTRUMENT_IE_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	Instrument Element appliances should provide a file access transfer capability for moving data in and out of the instrument.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Instrument Element implementation of Remote Instrumentation Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	File access transfer capability for reading and writing data, preferably gridFTP.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	The Instrument Appliance must provide a file access capability for transferring data from and to the product.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1] Instrument Element [R 21] File Access QC
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>Instrument Element Messaging System</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>INSTRUMENT_IE_3</b>
<b>Description</b>	Instrument Element appliances should provide a messaging system for asynchronous monitoring of instrument variables and signalling alarms and events to the users.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Instrument Element implementation of Remote Instrumentation Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Messaging capability implementation for the asynchronous monitoring and notification of alarms and events to users, preferably JMS implementation.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	The Instrument Appliance must provide a messaging capability for asynchronous monitoring and notification of events.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1] Instrument Element [R 21] Messaging Capability QC
<b>Revision Log</b>	



<b>Instrument Manager Support</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>INSTRUMENT_IE_4</b>
<b>Description</b>	Instrument Element appliances must provide mechanisms for managing instruments.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Instrument Element implementation of Remote Instrumentation Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Implementation of the Instrument Manager (IM) framework as described in the Instrument Element documentation (XML description of the instrument and abstract classes for the implementation).
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	The Instrument Appliance must completely support the Instrument Manager framework as described in the Instrument Element documentations. The framework must provide a way to define attributes read from the instrument, configuration parameters for the instrument, the different commands the instrument may receive and the states and transitions of the instrument.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1] Instrument Element [R 21]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

## 27 MONITORING CAPABILITY

This section documents the Specific Quality Criteria for the monitoring system (NAGIOS) and the web portal to check the results.

### 27.1 Nagios Configuration Generation

Generation of Nagios Configuration Files	
<b>ID</b>	<b>MON_NCG_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	The NCG must be able to generate a correct configuration for Nagios that includes all the hosts and services to be monitored.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Nagios Configuration Generator (NCG) component.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for the automatic generator of configuration files for Nagios: /etc/nagios and /etc/nagios/wlwg.d/* files must be generated based on the information gathered from the information gathered from GOCDB.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Configured system.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Generate Nagios configuration files according to the information available in the databases.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Working Nagios configuration files.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the automatic generation of configuration files works.
<b>Related Information</b>	NCG [R 28]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>Generation of Failover Nagios Configuration</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>MON_NCG_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	The NCG must allow a redundant service configuration for Nagios that includes failover capability.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Nagios Configuration Generator (NCG) component.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for the automatic generation of configuration files for Nagios with redundant services: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Several WMS</li> <li>• Robot certificates</li> <li>• Several VOs and VOMSES</li> </ul>
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Configured system.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Generate Nagios configuration files according to the information available in the databases.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Working Nagios redundant configuration files using failover services.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the redundant services are configured and used correctly.
<b>Related Information</b>	NCG [R 28]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

## 27.2 Visualization Portal (MyEGI)

Resource Summary View	
<b>ID</b>	MON_PORTAL_1
<b>Description</b>	Provide a view of the summary status of resources.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	MyEGI monitoring visualization portal
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	<p>Summary view in visualization portal that provides the following basic information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Site of the resource</li> <li>• Resource name</li> <li>• Type of service</li> <li>• Current status</li> <li>• Link to detailed and historical views</li> <li>• Use colors to display the status of the resource.</li> </ul>
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Configured system.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Browse the summary view of resources.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> All requested information is provided</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the resource summary view is provided for any selected resource with all the information specified above.
<b>Related Information</b>	MyEGI Portal [R 29]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

Resource Detail View	
<b>ID</b>	MON_PORTAL_2
<b>Description</b>	Provide a view of the detailed status of resources.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	MyEGI monitoring visualization portal
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	<p>Detailed view of the current status for resources that shows the results of the last execution of all the probes. Include all information requested in the summary view plus:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• List of probes executed</li> <li>• Detailed results of probes</li> <li>• Last execution time for probe</li> <li>• Link to historical view</li> </ul>
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Configured system.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Browse the detailed view of resources.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> All requested information is provided</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the detailed view is provided for any selected resource with all the information specified above.
<b>Related Information</b>	MyEGI Portal [R 29]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>Resource Historical View</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>MON_PORTAL_3</b>
<b>Description</b>	Provide a view of the historical status of resources.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	MyEGI monitoring visualization portal
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Historical view of the probes executed at resources. Show graphically in a timeline the results for the probes. For any given probe show the detailed view fields when selected.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Configured system.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Browse the historical view of resources.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> All requested information is provided</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the historical view is provided for any selected resource with all the information specified above.
<b>Related Information</b>	MyEGI Portal [R 29]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>Resource Filters</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>MON_PORTAL_4</b>
<b>Description</b>	Provide ways to filter the information shown in the web interface for all the possible views of the portal.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	MyEGI monitoring visualization portal
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	<p>Provide ways to filter the information shown in the web interface for all the possible views of the portal. At least, the displayed resources should be filtered by the following constrains:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• status of resource (select just one status or several)</li> <li>• type of service</li> <li>• supported VO</li> <li>• site which the resource belongs to</li> <li>• specific name of resource</li> </ul> <p>for historical view, range of dates which will be used for the information.</p>
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Configured system.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Test the resource filters available.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Resrouces are shown according to the filters tested.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the resource filters are provided and they work as expected.
<b>Related Information</b>	MyEGI Portal [R 29]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>Responsiveness</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>MON_PORTAL_5</b>
<b>Description</b>	Visualization portal should provide fast response to user requests.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	MyEGI monitoring visualization portal
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Information should be displayed as soon as possible. If too much information is to be shown, the portal should use a paginated interface or dynamically load the content and provide as soon as possible a first set of results.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Configured system.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Browse complex page (e.g. lots of resources)</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Page responsiveness is fast enough for navigation. Information is loaded dynamically or shown in a paged interface.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the complex pages are responsive for navigation (no more than 15 seconds for showing the first set of results)
<b>Related Information</b>	MyEGI Portal [R 29]
<b>Revision Log</b>	



<b>Linkable Views</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>MON_PORTAL_6</b>
<b>Description</b>	Views should have unique URLs that are independent to the user session
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	MyEGI monitoring visualization portal
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Views should have unique URLs that are independent to the user session. These links should work for different users.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Configured system.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Generate view link with user A, try it with user B</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Both users A and B get the same view results.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Views links must work for different users and/or sessions.
<b>Related Information</b>	MyEGI Portal [R 29]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

### 27.3 Database

Metric List Fetching	
<b>ID</b>	<b>MON_DB_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	The list of metrics to use in each of the services must be fetch at regular intervals from a given central location.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Metrics Database
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Test of the metric fetch mechanism.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Configured system.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Fetch metrics from central metric database. Generate list of updates for the current local metric database.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Metrics are fetched correctly. A list of updates is generated.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Test must exist and execute correctly.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>Resource List Fetching</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>MON_DB_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	The list of resources to be tested should be dynamically discovered
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Metrics Database
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	<p>The list of resources to be tested should be dynamically discovered using the various information systems available. The list of sites to be tested meet the following requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• listed in the BDII</li> <li>• listed in the GOCDB</li> <li>• status in the GOCDB is Certified</li> </ul>
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Configured system.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Fetch resources by quering BDII and GOCDB. List of updates to perform to the local resource DB.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Resources are fetched correctly. A list of updates is generated.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Resource list is generates correctly according to the requirements.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	

## 28 MONITORING PROBES

The Monitoring Capability executes a set of probes defined by the operations community. These probes *should* be provided by the TP for each product.

Probe Template	
<b>ID</b>	<b>MON_PROBE_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	A template and documentation for the creation of new probes that can be integrated in the monitoring framework must exist.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Monitoring Capability
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Template for probes and documentation for the creation and integration of probes into the framework (or link to those documents)
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	The QC will pass if the template and documentation is available for external developers and is usable for creating new probes.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	

## 28.1 Service Probes

<b>Certificate Lifetime Probe</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>MON_PROBE_GENERIC_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	Provide a monitoring probe that assures that the host certificate lifetime for the service is valid.
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	All products that use host certificates
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Certificate Validity Probe. The probe should only use the public interface of the service and run integrated in the monitoring infrastructure of EGI
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	The QC will pass if the TP provides with the service a probe for checking the certificate lifetime. This probe may be provided also indirectly as part of other probes.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	V1.1 Added probe description. V2: Simplified description

<b>Service Probe</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>MON_PROBE_GENERIC_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	Provide monitoring probes that test the functionality of the service
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	All Services
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Monitoring probe that tests that the service provides the expected functionality. The probe should only use the public interface of the service and run integrated in the monitoring infrastructure of EGI. The exact tests to perform for each service are determined by the operations community. For the current probes specification check the SAM documentation [R 30]
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Probes must exist, they must be integrated with the EMI monitoring infrastructure and provide the expected functionality.
<b>Related Information</b>	SAM documentation [R 30]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

The criteria described in the next sections make reference to probes that are used by the EGI Operations community to monitor the Infrastructure. The specific appliances must support the execution of these probes.

### 28.1.1 Job Execution Capability Probes

Job Execution Probe	
<b>ID</b>	MON_PROBE_JOBEXEC_1
<b>Description</b>	Provide monitoring probes that test the functionality of Job Execution Capability
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Job Execution Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	CE probes as described at: <a href="https://tomtools.cern.ch/confluence/display/SAM/CE">https://tomtools.cern.ch/confluence/display/SAM/CE</a>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Probes must exist and behave as expected in the probe documentation.
<b>Related Information</b>	SAM documentation [R 30]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>CREAM Job Execution Probe</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>MON_PROBE_JOBEXEC_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	Provide monitoring probes that test the functionality of CREAM
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	CREAM Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	CREAM CE probes as described at: <a href="https://tomtools.cern.ch/confluence/display/SAM/CREAMCE-DJS">https://tomtools.cern.ch/confluence/display/SAM/CREAMCE-DJS</a>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Probes must exist and behave as expected in the probe documentation.
<b>Related Information</b>	SAM documentation [R 30]
<b>Revision Log</b>	



<b>WN Probes</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>MON_PROBE_JOBEXEC_3</b>
<b>Description</b>	Provide monitoring probes that test the correct function of Worker Nodes
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Worker Node.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	WN probes as described at: <a href="https://tomtools.cern.ch/confluence/display/SAM/WN">https://tomtools.cern.ch/confluence/display/SAM/WN</a> .
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Probes must exist and behave as expected in the probe documentation.
<b>Related Information</b>	SAM documentation [R 30]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

### 28.1.2 Compute Job Scheduling Probes

WMS Probes	
<b>ID</b>	<b>MON_PROBE_JOBSCH_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	Provide monitoring probes that test the functionality of WMS.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	WMS Job Scheduling Appliances.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	WMS probes as described at: <a href="https://tomtools.cern.ch/confluence/display/SAM/WMS">https://tomtools.cern.ch/confluence/display/SAM/WMS</a> .
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Probes must exist and behave as expected in the probe documentation.
<b>Related Information</b>	SAM documentation [R 30]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

### 28.1.3 File Access Capability Probes

SRM Probes	
<b>ID</b>	MON_PROBE_STORAGE_1
<b>Description</b>	Provide monitoring probes that test the functionality of SRM.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Storage Management Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	SRM probes as described at: <a href="https://tomtools.cern.ch/confluence/display/SAM/SRM">https://tomtools.cern.ch/confluence/display/SAM/SRM</a> .
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Probes must exist and behave as expected in the probe documentation.
<b>Related Information</b>	SAM documentation [R 30]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

#### 28.1.4 Metadata Catalogue Capability Probes

LFC Probes	
<b>ID</b>	<b>MON_PROBE_METADATA_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	Provide monitoring probes that test the functionality of LFC.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	LFC Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	LFC probes as described at: <a href="https://tomtools.cern.ch/confluence/display/SAM/LFC">https://tomtools.cern.ch/confluence/display/SAM/LFC</a> .
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Probes must exist and behave as expected in the probe documentation.
<b>Related Information</b>	SAM documentation [R 30]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

## 29 ACCOUNTING CAPABILITY

The use of resources within the e-Infrastructure must be recorded for understanding usage patterns by different user communities and by individuals within their communities.

### 29.1 Generation of Accounting Records

Job Execution Appliances Accounting	
<b>ID</b>	<b>ACC_JOBEXEC_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	Job Execution Appliances must generate accounting records for all the actions of the users into the local resources.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Accounting Appliances for Job Execution Capability (APEL)
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	<p>The Job Execution Capability must generate accounting records for the actions of the users into the local resources (jobs submitted to the underlying execution manager). These records must include, at least, the following information for all the jobs submitted to the system:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• User DN</li> <li>• VO</li> <li>• Job start execution time</li> <li>• Job end execution time</li> <li>• SPECint information</li> <li>• CPU &amp; Wall Time</li> <li>• Number of slots/CPU's used by the job</li> </ul> <p>The generation of accounting records must be available for the execution managers supported by the Job Execution Capability implementations.</p>
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Configured system.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Creation of accounting records</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Accounting records for the jobs submitted to the execution manager through the Capability.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the accounting records are generated correctly for all execution managers supported. The generation of the records should not compromise the availability and reliability of the system.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	V4: Minor rephrasing

## 29.2 Accounting Store and Transmission for Job Execution Appliances.

The accounting information should be stored in a local database and transmitted in regular intervals to a central registry where information of the whole EGI infrastructure is stored.

Local Accounting Store	
<b>ID</b>	<b>ACC_STORE_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	APEL must be able to store the information collected from the execution manager in a site database.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Accounting Appliances

<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	<p>The accounting appliance must store the information collected from the execution manager in a site level database, where information about all the jobs executed at the site is stored.</p> <p>The appliance must include information for all the jobs submitted via the grid interface. The information collected for each job <i>should</i> contain the fields recommended by OGF:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ExecutingSite: Site name (example: RAL-LCG2 )</li> <li>• LocalJobID: Local job name (example: 12311.lcgce02.gridpp.rl.ac.uk )</li> <li>• LCGJobID: <i>Optional</i> (default value: NULL)</li> <li>• LocalUserID: Local user name (example: alicesgm 001)</li> <li>• LCGUserID: User DN (example:/C=IT/O=INFN/OU=Personal Certificate ..)</li> <li>• LCGUserVO: Local user group (example: alice)</li> <li>• ElapsedTime: Job Wall duration (example: P8H24M47S )</li> <li>• BaseCpuTime: Job CPU duration (example: P8H21M34S )</li> <li>• ElapsedTimeSeconds: Job Wall duration in seconds (example: 3500)</li> <li>• BaseCpuTimeSeconds: Job CPU time duration in seconds (example: 3000)</li> <li>• StartTime: Job start time (example: 2010-03-14T11:06:08Z )</li> <li>• StopTime: Job stop time (example: 2010-03-14T19:30:55Z )</li> <li>• StartTimeUTC: Job start UTC time (example: 2010-03-14T11:06:08Z )</li> <li>• StopTimeUTC: Jobs stop UTC time (example: 2010-03-14T19:30:55Z )</li> <li>• StartTimeEpoch: Job start time epoch (example: 1079262368 )</li> <li>• StopTimeEpoch: Job stop time epoch (example: 1079292655 )</li> <li>• ExecutingCE: Submit Host (example: lcgce02.gridpp.rl.ac.uk )</li> <li>• MemoryReal: Used real memory (example: 769548 )</li> <li>• MemoryVirtual: Used virtual memory (example: 1244948 )</li> <li>• SpecInt2000: SpecInt2000 value (example: 40322)</li> <li>• SpecFloat2000: SpecFloat2000 value (example: 30234)</li> <li>• EventDate: Event record date (example: 2010-03-14 )</li> <li>• EventTime: Event record time (example: 19:30:55 )</li> </ul>
---------------------------------------	---

<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Configured system. Accounting records are correctly generated. <b>Test</b> Store accounting records into site registry. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Accounting records are stored in the site registry. Log of operations is available.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the accounting records are stored correctly. The information contained in the records should cover the fields recommended by OGF. If any fields are missing, the verifier will decide if the information is enough or not to pass. Storage of the records should not compromise the availability and reliability of the system.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	V4: general review of criterion

<b>Accounting Records Transmission</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>ACC_STORE_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	APEL must be able to send the records stored in the site registry to a central registry database by using a messaging system.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	APEL Accounting Appliances.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Test for the transmission of records to the central registry using ActiveMQ.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Configured system. Accounting records are correctly generated and stored in local registry.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Send new records to the central registry using ActiveMQ.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Only new records are sent to central registry by default but site administrators are able also to republish accounting records in a specific interval using accounting configuration files. They are stored correctly there. Log of operations is generated.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the test is provided and passes. The transmission of the records should not compromise the availability and reliability of the system.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	



<b>Periodic Local Registry Store</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>ACC_CRON_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	The accounting appliance must periodically submit new accounting records to the local (site level) registry
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	APEL Accounting Appliances.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	The accounting appliance must periodically submit new accounting records to the local registry. This action should be executed daily to check new executed jobs.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Configured system.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Send new records to the local registry each day.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Only new records are sent to local registry. They are stored correctly there. Accounting logs are generated locally.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the periodic update mechanism (e.g. cron) is provided and works as expected.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	V3: removed most cron references.

<b>Periodic Central Registry Update.</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>ACC_CRON_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	The accounting appliance must periodically submit new accounting records to the global (central) registry
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	APEL Accounting Appliances.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Local registry must be able to submit new accounting records to global accounting registry using a message system. This action should be executed daily to check new executed jobs.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Configured system.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Send new records to the global registry each day.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Only new records are sent to global registry by default but site administrators are able also to republish accounting records in a specific interval using accounting configuration files. They are stored correctly there. Logs about the update are generated locally.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the periodic update mechanism (e.g. cron) is provided and works as expected.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	V3: removed most cron references.

### 29.3 Visualization Portal

Accounting Portal Summary View	
<b>ID</b>	ACC_PORTAL_1
<b>Description</b>	Accounting portal must provide a front-end view of published CPU resources.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Accounting Portal Implementation
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Accounting portal provides a front-end view of published CPU resources that have been aggregated into summaries. These summaries may view per: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Site</li> <li>• Countries</li> <li>• VO</li> <li>• NGI</li> <li>• Tier1 / Tier2</li> </ul>
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Configured accounting portal.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Browse summaries.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Summary views are shown with correct data for all the possible levels.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the summary view is provided and is correctly generated for all possible levels
<b>Related Information</b>	EGI Accounting Portal [R 31]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>Accounting Portal Access Policy</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>ACC_PORTAL_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	Sensitive information about VO usage and Users DNs must be encrypted and only accessible to their VO managers via X.509 certificate.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Accounting Portal Implementation
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Portal must include access policies for VO managers that restricts the information that can be accessed.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Configured accounting portal. Valid VO manager certificate.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Browse VO view with VO usage and user DNs.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Information is displayed correctly.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the access policy is applied correctly.
<b>Related Information</b>	EGI Accounting Portal [R 31]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>Accounting Portal Global View</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>ACC_PORTAL_3</b>
<b>Description</b>	Accounting Portal views must include a production global view
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Accounting Portal Implementation
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	<p>Accounting Portal views must include a production global view. This view must include a custom view where users can select how display desired accounting data, users can select these options:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Data to graph: Users can select Norm. Sum CPU in kSI2000-hours, or HEPSPEC-2006 number of jobs, Norm Sum elapsed time in kSI-2000 hours and HEPSPEC-2006 hours or CPU efficiency.</li> <li>• Data period to view.</li> <li>• Show data for Region, Date or VO and as function of Region, Date, VO or Country.</li> <li>• Group results by VO, Region or Date</li> <li>• Chart type: Accumulative bar, group bar or lines.</li> <li>• Scale: Linear or logarithmic.</li> <li>• A button to exclude operations VOs like dteam and ops.</li> </ul> <p>This general view must include also a list of certified sites which are not publishing accounting data since last 3 months. Accounting Portal views must include different charts and graphs for ease of use.</p>
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Configured System.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Visualize data with charts</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Charts are correctly generated for the accounting data available based on users selection.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the charts are correctly generated for all the accounting data available and for all the chart models.
<b>Related Information</b>	EGI Accounting Portal [R 31]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>Accounting Portal VO Manager View</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>ACC_PORTAL_4</b>
<b>Description</b>	Accounting Portal views must include a production VO manager view.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Accounting Portal Implementation
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	<p>Accounting Portal views must include a production VO manager view. This view must include a custom view where only VO managers can select and display desired accounting data, available options are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• VO to query including Group and Role.</li> <li>• NGI/Country to display.</li> <li>• Order by: Number of jobs, Norm. sum CPU, sum CPU, Norm sum time elapsed and sum. Time elapsed.</li> <li>• Data period to display</li> </ul> <p>This view generates a list with desired accounting information (including CPU efficiency for each VO group), a percentage pie chart and a bar chart for selected period of time.</p>
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Configured System.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Visualize data with charts</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Charts are correctly generated for the accounting data available based on VO managers selection.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the charts are correctly generated for all the accounting data available and for all the chart models.
<b>Related Information</b>	EGI Accounting Portal [R 31]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>Accounting Portal VO Member View</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>ACC_PORTAL_5</b>
<b>Description</b>	Accounting Portal views must include a production VO member view.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Accounting Portal Implementation
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	<p>Accounting Portal views must include a production VO member view. This view must include a custom view where only VO members can select and display desired accounting data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• VO including Group and Role.</li> <li>• Order by: Number of jobs, Norm. sum CPU, sum CPU, Norm sum time elapsed and sum. Time elapsed.</li> <li>• Data period to display.</li> </ul> <p>This view generates a list with desired accounting information (including CPU efficiency for each VO group), a percentage pie chart and a bar chart for selected period of time.</p>
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Configured System.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Visualize data with charts</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Charts are correctly generated for the accounting data available based on VO members selection.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the charts are correctly generated for all the accounting data available and for all the chart models.
<b>Related Information</b>	EGI Accounting Portal [R 31]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>Accounting Portal Site Admin View</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>ACC_PORTAL_6</b>
<b>Description</b>	Accounting Portal views must include a site admin view.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Accounting Portal Implementation
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	<p>Accounting Portal views must include a production Site Admin view. This view must include a custom view where only site administrators can select and display desired accounting data for their sites, site administrator can select:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Site to display accounting data.</li> <li>• Order by: Number of jobs, Norm. sum CPU, sum CPU, Norm sum time elapsed and sum. Time elapsed.</li> <li>• Data period to display.</li> </ul> <p>This view generates a list with desired accounting information (including CPU efficiency for each VO group), a percentage pie chart and a bar chart for selected period of time.</p>
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Configured System.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Visualize data with charts</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Charts are correctly generated for the accounting data available based on site administrators selection.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the charts are correctly generated for all the accounting data available and for all the chart models.
<b>Related Information</b>	EGI Accounting Portal [R 31]
<b>Revision Log</b>	



## 30 CLIENT TOOLS

### 30.1 Generic client tools criteria

Command line options coherency	
<b>ID</b>	<b>CLIENT_TOOLS_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	Client commands for the same product should have a coherent set of options.
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	Client Tools
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Client command tools for a given product with coherent options between them (e.g. configuration file is always specified with <code>-c</code> option, vo with <code>-vo</code> option) Ideally, coherency with other product command line clients.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	All the command tools for a given product must have a coherent command line options. Semantically common options for two commands must have the same syntax.
<b>Related Information</b>	Requirement #1780
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>Error Messages</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>CLIENT_TOOLS_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	Error messages provided by the service should be clear and facilitate the solution of those errors by users or service administrators
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	Client tools.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Any error in the client tools must produce a clear error message. A possible solution/cause for it should be given.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	<p>Pass if the errors provided by the client tools always produce a descriptive message. Errors without any message (unless a quiet option is specified) will make the criterion to fail.</p> <p>Ideally the following info is also documented/shown for all errors:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Error code</li> <li>• Error source (internal module or remote resource (specify it explicitly))</li> <li>• Cause of error (syntax error, module malfunctioning, configuration problem, network error, other (specify it explicit))</li> <li>• Type (critical, informative)</li> <li>• Possible solution</li> </ul>
<b>Related Information</b>	Requirements gathered in MS305 related to resubmission of jobs, and information provided in error messages.
<b>Revision Log</b>	

### 31 CLIENT API

<b>SAGA API Support</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>CLIENT_API_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	Client Appliances should be “SAGA compliant” implementations of the SAGA API
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Client API Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	A Client API Capability implementations that follows the SAGA API specification, and the language binding(s) for its respective programming language(s), both syntactically and semantically.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	The Client API Appliance provides “SAGA compliant” implementations or “partially SAGA compliant” implementations as defined in the SAGA API specification.
<b>Related Information</b>	SAGA API [R 19][R 20]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>Middleware Bindings</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>CLIENT_API_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	Technology Providers provide middleware bindings for accessing their products through SAGA
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	Client API Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	SAGA-adaptor for accessing the middleware products provided by the TP. A test-suite that assures that the SAGA-adaptor works as expected should be provided.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	The SAGA-adaptor allows the access to the TP middleware through the SAGA API.
<b>Related Information</b>	SAGA API [R 19][R 20]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

### 31.1 Specific SAGA Bindings

#### 31.1.1 BES

BES Bindings	
<b>ID</b>	<b>CLIENT_API_BES_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	SAGA bindings should provide remote execution using BES.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Client API Appliances with BES bindings
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	SAGA-adaptor for accessing BES resources (various URL schemes) that provides job abstraction, using
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	The SAGA-adaptor allows: - Running and managing jobs at remote resources (via BES) using
<b>Related Information</b>	SAGA API [R 19][R 20]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

### 31.1.2 Globus

<b>Globus GRAM Bindings</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>CLIENT_API_GLOBUS_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	Globus bindings should provide remote files access using Globus.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Client API Appliances with Globus bindings
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	SAGA-adaptor for accessing Globus resources via gram (URL scheme gram://) that provides job abstraction.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	The SAGA-adaptor allows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Use of X.509 context</li><li>- Running and managing jobs at remote resources (via gram)</li></ul>
<b>Related Information</b>	SAGA API [R 19][R 20]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>Globus GridFTP Bindings</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>CLIENT_API_GLOBUS_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	Globus bindings should provide remote file access using GridFTP
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Client API Appliances with Globus bindings
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	SAGA-adaptor for accessing files resources via GridFTP (URL scheme gsiftp://, gsiscp://) that provides file abstraction.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	The SAGA-adaptor allows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Use of X.509 context</li> <li>- File operations: reading, writing, copying and modifying remote files and directories using GridFTP.</li> </ul>
<b>Related Information</b>	SAGA API [R 19][R 20]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

### 31.1.3 SSH

SSH Bindings	
<b>ID</b>	<b>CLIENT_API_SSH_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	SSH bindings should provide remote execution and file access using SSH.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Client API Appliances with SSH bindings
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	SAGA-adaptor for accessing SSH resources (URL scheme ssh://) that provides job and file abstraction.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	The SAGA-adaptor allows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Running jobs at remote resources (via ssh)</li><li>- File operations: reading, writing, copying and modifying remote files and directories using ssh.</li></ul>
<b>Related Information</b>	SAGA API [R 19][R 20]
<b>Revision Log</b>	



## 32 VIRTUAL MACHINE MANAGEMENT

### 32.1 Virtual Machine Management API

OCCI RESTful HTTP Rendering Support	
<b>ID</b>	<b>VIRT_MGMT_API_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	Virtual Machine Management Appliances should support the OCCI RESTful HTTP rendering.
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	Virtual Machine Management Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Valid OCCI RESTful HTTP API implementation, any deviations from the API implementation should be documented. Ideally, also provide a complete test suite and results for the API support
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if OCCI RESTful HTTP support is provided. If the API is not completely supported, this should be documented.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1] OCCI API [R 44]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

## 32.2 Virtual Machine Management Operations

Management of images	
<b>ID</b>	VIRT_MGMT_OPS_1
<b>Description</b>	Virtual Machine Management Appliances must provide support for management of images.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Virtual Machine Management Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for managing the images that can be instantiated: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Upload new image.</li><li>- List available images</li><li>- List/Update metadata of an image.</li><li>- Create new image from running instance.</li><li>- Delete image.</li></ul>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the volume management operations are supported.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>Management of Virtual Machine Instances</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>VIRT_MGMT_OPS_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	Virtual Machine Management Appliances must provide support for starting, stopping and listing instances.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Virtual Machine Management Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	<p>Support for Virtual Machine Instance management operations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Start an instance from a given image</li> <li>- Query the status of an instance</li> <li>- Pause and resume a given instance (optional)</li> <li>- List the current existing instances</li> <li>- Stop/Delete an instance.</li> </ul> <p>When starting the instance, an optional key may be specified with for ssh access. Support for additional instance metadata should be provided.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the management operations are supported. Ideally provide support for specifying image metadata.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>Management of network addresses</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>VIRT_MGMT_OPS_3</b>
<b>Description</b>	Virtual Machine Management Appliances must provide support for requesting and assigning network addresses to instances.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Virtual Machine Management Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for managing the network addresses of instances: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- List network addresses for a given instance.</li><li>- Allocate a new network address for a given instance.</li><li>- Remove network address for a given instance.</li></ul>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the network address management operations are supported.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>Management of volumes</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>VIRT_MGMT_OPS_4</b>
<b>Description</b>	Virtual Machine Management Appliances must provide support for creating, attaching, detaching and delete volumes (block level storage)
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Virtual Machine Management Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for managing the volumes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Create new volumes.</li><li>- Attach/Detach volume to running instance.</li><li>- Delete existing volume.</li></ul>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the volume management operations are supported.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

### 33 VIRTUAL MACHINE IMAGE FORMAT

<b>OVF Image Format Support</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>VIRT_IMG_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	OVF Image Format support.
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	Virtual Machine Image Format Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for the OVF (Open Virtualisation Format) to deploy images on the virtualisation platforms.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if OVF images can be deployed.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1] OVF [R 45]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

## 34 IMAGE DISTRIBUTION CAPABILITY

The Image Distribution Capability Criteria is based on the StratusLab MarketPlace [R 46].

### 34.1 StratusLab MarketPlace

The StratusLab MarketPlace is a server for virtual image metadata. It does not provide storage for the images, which must be supported by other services.

<b>Image Metadata Registration</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>VIRT_IMGDIST_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	Support for registration of virtual machine images metadata.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Image Distribution Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for registration of new virtual machine metadata. The metadata must follow the schema of the StratusLab MarketPlace as described in the technical documentation and the compliance with that schema must be checked during the registration procedure. Metadata must be signed in order to avoid possible alterations of metadata. Any addition to the server must be confirmed by email.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if metadata registration is possible.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>Fetch Image Metadata</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>VIRT_IMGDIST_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	Support for fetching image metadata.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Image Distribution Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for fetching all metadata of an image by using its unique identifier
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if fetching image metadata is possible.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1]
<b>Revision Log</b>	



<b>Image Metadata Query</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>VIRT_IMGDIST_3</b>
<b>Description</b>	Support for queries of virtual machine images metadata.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Image Distribution Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for querying the metadata stored in the server. The server must show a list of image identifiers and selected fields for all the images in the server. A paginated interface may be used.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if metadata queries are possible in the server showing all the images registered.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>Image Metadata Search</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>VIRT_IMGDIST_4</b>
<b>Description</b>	Support for searches of virtual machine images metadata.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Image Distribution Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for searching the metadata stored in the server by specifying constraints on the metadata values. Any metadata field may be used for searching. The query language is dependent on the server implementation.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if searches can be performed on the metadata stored in the server.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

## 35 REFERENCES

<b>R 1</b>	UMD roadmap: <a href="https://documents.egi.eu/public/ShowDocument?docid=100">https://documents.egi.eu/public/ShowDocument?docid=100</a>
<b>R 2</b>	QC Test Notes: <a href="https://wiki.egi.eu/w/index.php?title=EGI_Quality_Criteria_Testing">https://wiki.egi.eu/w/index.php?title=EGI_Quality_Criteria_Testing</a>
<b>R 3</b>	Web Services Data Access and Integration – The Relational Realisation (WS-DAIR) Specification, Version 1.0
<b>R 4</b>	Web Services Data Access and Integration – The XML Realization (WS-DAIX) Specification, Version 1.0
<b>R 5</b>	OGSA-DAI: <a href="http://www.ogsadai.org.uk/">http://www.ogsadai.org.uk/</a>
<b>R 6</b>	gLite LFC: <a href="https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/EGEE/GliteLFC">https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/EGEE/GliteLFC</a>
<b>R 7</b>	AMGA: <a href="http://amga.web.cern.ch/amga/">http://amga.web.cern.ch/amga/</a>
<b>R 8</b>	AMGA WSDL: <a href="http://amga.web.cern.ch/amga/soap_wsair.html">http://amga.web.cern.ch/amga/soap_wsair.html</a>
<b>R 9</b>	AMGA streaming API: <a href="http://amga.web.cern.ch/amga/protocol.html">http://amga.web.cern.ch/amga/protocol.html</a>
<b>R 10</b>	AMGA Metadata Queries: <a href="http://amga.web.cern.ch/amga/queries.html">http://amga.web.cern.ch/amga/queries.html</a>
<b>R 11</b>	A. Konstantinov, ARC Computational Job Management Component – A-REX, NORDUGRID-TECH-14
<b>R 12</b>	CREAM: <a href="http://grid.pd.infn.it/cream/">http://grid.pd.infn.it/cream/</a>
<b>R 13</b>	EMI-ES: <a href="https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/EMI/EmiExecutionService">https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/EMI/EmiExecutionService</a>
<b>R 14</b>	GRAM5: <a href="http://www.globus.org/toolkit/docs/latest-stable/execution/gram5/">http://www.globus.org/toolkit/docs/latest-stable/execution/gram5/</a>
<b>R 15</b>	OGF DRMAA: <a href="http://www.drmaa.org/">http://www.drmaa.org/</a>
<b>R 16</b>	OGSA Basic Execution Service v1.0: <a href="http://www.ogf.org/documents/GFD.108.pdf">http://www.ogf.org/documents/GFD.108.pdf</a>
<b>R 17</b>	UNICORE UAS: <a href="http://www.unicore.eu/unicore/architecture/service-layer.php#anchor_uas">http://www.unicore.eu/unicore/architecture/service-layer.php#anchor_uas</a>
<b>R 18</b>	gLite WMS: <a href="http://web.infn.it/gLiteWMS/">http://web.infn.it/gLiteWMS/</a>
<b>R 19</b>	SAGA-CORE-WG: A Simple API for Grid Applications (SAGA) v1.0 (GFD.90)
<b>R 20</b>	SAGA (A Simple API for Grid Applications): <a href="http://saga.cct.lsu.edu/">http://saga.cct.lsu.edu/</a>
<b>R 21</b>	Instrument Element: <a href="http://www.dorii.eu/resources/adaptation:middleware:IE">http://www.dorii.eu/resources/adaptation:middleware:IE</a>
<b>R 22</b>	DORII (Deployment of Remote Instrumentation Infrastructure) Project: <a href="http://www.dorii.eu/">http://www.dorii.eu/</a>

<b>R 23</b>	GlueSchema Specification v1.3: <a href="http://glueschema.forge.cnaf.infn.it/Spec/V13">http://glueschema.forge.cnaf.infn.it/Spec/V13</a>
<b>R 24</b>	GlueSchema Specification v2.0: <a href="http://www.ogf.org/documents/GFD.147.pdf">http://www.ogf.org/documents/GFD.147.pdf</a>
<b>R 25</b>	Glue Validator: <a href="https://tomtools.cern.ch/confluence/display/IS/GLUEValidator">https://tomtools.cern.ch/confluence/display/IS/GLUEValidator</a>
<b>R 26</b>	JMS (Java Message Service Specification) 1.1: <a href="http://www.oracle.com/technetwork/java/jms/index.html">http://www.oracle.com/technetwork/java/jms/index.html</a>
<b>R 27</b>	AMQP (Advanced Message Queuing Protocol): <a href="http://www.amqp.org/confluence/display/AMQP/Advanced+Message+Queuing+Protocol">http://www.amqp.org/confluence/display/AMQP/Advanced+Message+Queuing+Protocol</a>
<b>R 28</b>	Nagios Config Generator: <a href="https://tomtools.cern.ch/confluence/display/SAM/NGC">https://tomtools.cern.ch/confluence/display/SAM/NGC</a>
<b>R 29</b>	My EGI portal: <a href="https://tomtools.cern.ch/confluence/display/SAM/MyEGI">https://tomtools.cern.ch/confluence/display/SAM/MyEGI</a>
<b>R 30</b>	SAM Probes Documentation: <a href="https://tomtools.cern.ch/confluence/display/SAM/Probes">https://tomtools.cern.ch/confluence/display/SAM/Probes</a>
<b>R 31</b>	Accounting Portal: <a href="http://accounting.egi.eu/">http://accounting.egi.eu/</a>
<b>R 32</b>	GridSite Delegation Protocol: <a href="http://www.gridsite.org/wiki/Delegation_protocol">http://www.gridsite.org/wiki/Delegation_protocol</a>
<b>R 33</b>	Globus Delegation Service: <a href="http://www.globus.org/toolkit/docs/4.0/security/delegation/">http://www.globus.org/toolkit/docs/4.0/security/delegation/</a>
<b>R 34</b>	European Policy Management Authority for Grid Authentication (EuGridPMA): <a href="http://www.eugridpma.org/">http://www.eugridpma.org/</a>
<b>R 35</b>	ARGUS Authorization Service: <a href="https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/EGEE/AuthorizationFramework">https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/EGEE/AuthorizationFramework</a>
<b>R 36</b>	XACML: <a href="http://docs.oasis-open.org/xacml/2.0/access_control-xacml-2.0-core-spec-os.pdf">http://docs.oasis-open.org/xacml/2.0/access_control-xacml-2.0-core-spec-os.pdf</a>
<b>R 37</b>	Hydra encrypted file storage: <a href="https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/EGEE/DMEDS">https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/EGEE/DMEDS</a>
<b>R 38</b>	gLite FTS: <a href="https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/EGEE/GLiteFTS">https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/EGEE/GLiteFTS</a>
<b>R 39</b>	SRM v2.2: <a href="http://www.ggf.org/documents/GFD.129.pdf">http://www.ggf.org/documents/GFD.129.pdf</a>
<b>R 40</b>	S2 Test: <a href="http://s-2.sourceforge.net/">http://s-2.sourceforge.net/</a>
<b>R 41</b>	SRM-Tester: <a href="https://sdm.lbl.gov/twiki/bin/view/Software/SRMTester/WebHome">https://sdm.lbl.gov/twiki/bin/view/Software/SRMTester/WebHome</a>
<b>R 42</b>	Lcg-utils: <a href="http://grid-deployment.web.cern.ch/grid-deployment/documentation/LFC_DPM/lcg_util/">http://grid-deployment.web.cern.ch/grid-deployment/documentation/LFC_DPM/lcg_util/</a>
<b>R 43</b>	Lcg-utils test suite: <a href="http://glite.cvs.cern.ch/cgi-bin/glite.cgi/org.glite.testsuites.ctb/UI/tests/test-lcg-utils.sh?view=markup">http://glite.cvs.cern.ch/cgi-bin/glite.cgi/org.glite.testsuites.ctb/UI/tests/test-lcg-utils.sh?view=markup</a>
<b>R 44</b>	Open Cloud Computing Interface WG, OGF, <a href="http://www.ggf.org/gf/group_info/view.php?group=occi-wg">http://www.ggf.org/gf/group_info/view.php?group=occi-wg</a>



<b>R 45</b>	Virtualization Management (VMAN), DMTF <a href="http://www.dmtf.org/standards/vman">http://www.dmtf.org/standards/vman</a>
<b>R 46</b>	StratusLab <a href="http://stratuslab.eu/">http://stratuslab.eu/</a>
<b>R 47</b>	StratusLab MarketPlace Technical Note TN-Marketplace (V3.0)