



# EGI-InSPIRE

## UMD QUALITY CRITERIA SECURITY CAPABILITIES v4

---

Document identifier:	EGI-SECURITY-QC-v4.doc
Date:	<b>15/10/2012</b>
Document Link:	<a href="https://documents.egi.eu/document/1153">https://documents.egi.eu/document/1153</a>

---

### Abstract

This document describes the Quality Criteria that all software of the UMD distribution must meet.



### Copyright notice

Copyright © Members of the EGI-InSPIRE Collaboration, 2010. See [www.egi.eu](http://www.egi.eu) for details of the EGI-InSPIRE project and the collaboration. EGI-InSPIRE (“European Grid Initiative: Integrated Sustainable Pan-European Infrastructure for Researchers in Europe”) is a project co-funded by the European Commission as an Integrated Infrastructure Initiative within the 7th Framework Programme. EGI-InSPIRE began in May 2010 and will run for 4 years. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 3.0 License. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/> or send a letter to Creative Commons, 171 Second Street, Suite 300, San Francisco, California, 94105, and USA. The work must be attributed by attaching the following reference to the copied elements: “Copyright © Members of the EGI-InSPIRE Collaboration, 2010. See [www.egi.eu](http://www.egi.eu) for details of the EGI-InSPIRE project and the collaboration”. Using this document in a way and/or for purposes not foreseen in the license, requires the prior written permission of the copyright holders. The information contained in this document represents the views of the copyright holders as of the date such views are published.

### Document Log

Issue	Date	Comment	Author/Partner
v0.1	02/11/2010	First draft	Enol Fernández
v1.0	03/11/2010	Changed Management, Traceability and Monitoring section	Enol Fernández
v1.1	03/11/2010	Added Probe description in GEN_MON_1	Enol Fernández
v1.2	11/11/2010	Some formatting update	Enol Fernández
v1.3	31/01/2011	Better test specification	Enol Fernández
1.4	09/02/2011	Review of criteria	Enol Fernández
2 DRAFT 1	24/06/2011	Preparation of new release	Enol Fernández
2	02/08/2011	Reorganisation, added new criteria.	Enol Fernández
3 DRAFT 1	13/10/2011	First draft of release 3	Enol Fernández
3 DRAFT 2	24/01/2012	Second draft of release 3	Enol Fernández
4 DRAFT 1	21/05/2012	First public draft of release 4	Enol Fernández
4 DRAFT 2	23/07/2012	Second public draft of release 4	Enol Fernández

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>1</b>	<b>Authentication</b>	<b>5</b>
1.1	<b>Authentication Credentials</b>	<b>5</b>
	AUTHN_CRED_1	5
	AUTHN_CRED_2	6
	AUTHN_CRED_3	7
1.2	<b>Authentication Protocols</b>	<b>8</b>
	AUTHN_PROTO_1	8
1.3	<b>Delegation Interface</b>	<b>9</b>
	AUTHN_DELEG_1	9
1.4	<b>CAs root certificates Distribution</b>	<b>10</b>
	AUTHN_CA_1	10
	AUTHN_CA_2	11
	AUTHN_CA_3	12
<b>2</b>	<b>Attribute Authority</b>	<b>13</b>
2.1	<b>Attribute Authority Interface</b>	<b>13</b>
	ATTAUTH_IFACE_1	13
	ATTAUTH_IFACE_2	14
	ATTAUTH_IFACE_3	15
	ATTAUTH_IFACE_4	16
2.2	<b>VO management</b>	<b>17</b>
	ATTAUTH_MGMT_1	17
	ATTAUTH_MGMT_2	18
	ATTAUTH_MGMT_3	19
	ATTAUTH_MGMT_4	21
	ATTAUTH_MGMT_5	22
	ATTAUTH_MGMT_6	23
2.3	<b>VO Management Web Interface (VOMS-Admin)</b>	<b>24</b>
	ATTAUTH_WEB_1	24
	ATTAUTH_WEB_2	25
	ATTAUTH_WEB_3	26
	ATTAUTH_WEB_4	27
	ATTAUTH_WEB_5	28
<b>3</b>	<b>Authorisation</b>	<b>29</b>
3.1	<b>Policy Management</b>	<b>29</b>
	AUTHZ_MGMT_1	29
	AUTHZ_MGMT_2	30
3.2	<b>Policy Definition</b>	<b>32</b>
3.2.1	Central policy management (Argus)	32
	AUTHZ_PCYDEF_1	32
	AUTHZ_PCYDEF_2	33
3.2.2	Service Based Authorisation (Not Using Argus)	34
	AUTHZ_PCYDEF_3	34
	AUTHZ_PCYDEF_4	35
3.3	<b>Policy Enforcement</b>	<b>36</b>
	AUTHZ_PEP_2	36
<b>4</b>	<b>Credential Management</b>	<b>37</b>
4.1	<b>Credential Management Interface</b>	<b>37</b>
	CREDMGMT_IFACE_1	37



CREDMGMT_IFACE_2.....	38
CREDMGMT_IFACE_3.....	39
<b>4.2 Institutional Authentication Systems Linking .....</b>	<b>40</b>
CREDMGMT_LINK_1.....	40
<b>5 References .....</b>	<b>41</b>

## 1 AUTHENTICATION

An authentication token that is strongly bound to an individual must be applied consistently across the software used within the production infrastructure. The authentication system should be capable of supporting a delegation model.

### 1.1 Authentication Credentials

<b>X.509 Certificate support</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>AUTHN_CRED_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	Primary authentication token within the infrastructure is the X.509 certificate and its proxy derivatives.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Authentication Appliances.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for X.509 certificate (and proxy derivatives) as credential token for authentication.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the appliance is able to use X.509 certificates as authentication token. The appliance <i>should</i> also support proxy derivatives.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>SHA-2 Certificate support</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>AUTHN_CRED_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	SHA-2 certificates should be accepted by middleware.
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	Authentication Appliances.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for certificates and proxies with SHA-2 cryptographic hash functions.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the appliance is able to use SHA-2 certificates as authentication token. Information on how to get and test with SHA-2 certificates is available at [R 2]
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1] Support for SHA2 proxies RT #3078
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>RFC Proxy support</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>AUTHN_CRED_3</b>
<b>Description</b>	RFC proxies should be accepted by middleware.
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	Authentication Appliances that
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for RFC proxies as credential tokens for authentication.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the appliance is able to use RFC proxies as authentication token. Information on how to create RFC proxies is available at [R 2]
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

## 1.2 Authentication Protocols

TLS/SSLv3 Support	
<b>ID</b>	<b>AUTHN_PROTO_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	TLS/SSLv3/v2 with client-side authentication must be supported.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Authentication Appliances.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for accessing resources through protocols that are secured using SSL or TLS (e.g. plain socket, or https connections). If the component exposes a WebService that requires authentication, it should use the X.509 certificates/proxies with the https protocol.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the product uses SSL or TLS for accessing it. For the current releases of UMD, products still using GSI authentication (with httpg for WebServices) may be accepted, <u>this exception may be dropped</u> in future releases of the criterion.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1]
<b>Revision Log</b>	V2: Added GSI (httpg) exception for products that have not yet transitioned V4: changed from AUTH_IFACE_1 to AUTH_PROTO_1.



### 1.3 Delegation Interface

Delegation Interface	
<b>ID</b>	<b>AUTHN_DELEG_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	Delegation of credentials must be provided using one of the supported delegation interfaces: GridSite or Globus 4.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Authentication Appliances that provide (require) delegation.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Delegation implementation that includes all functionality of the GridSite or Globus 4 interfaces. Correct handling for erroneous input.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the delegation interface is tested and works as expected. Appliances must support at least <b>one</b> of the following interfaces: GridSite delegation or Globus 4 delegation.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1] GridSite Delegation [R 32] Globus Delegation [R 33]
<b>Revision Log</b>	V2: Merged AUTHN_DELEG_1 & 2.

## 1.4 CAs root certificates Distribution

These QC deal with the distribution of the EuGridPMA [R 34] root certificates.

CA Checksum	
<b>ID</b>	<b>AUTHN_CA_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	The CA distribution must assure that the distributed CA certificates are correct.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Trust Anchor Distribution
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Checksum test of each of the root certificates distributed.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> None</p> <p><b>Test</b> Test checksum of the CA certificates.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> All checksums are correct.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	All CA certificates have correct checksum.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>CA valid dates</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>AUTHN_CA_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	Dates of the distributed CA certificates are valid for the current date.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Trust Anchor Distribution
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Data validity test of each of the root certificates distributed.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> None</p> <p><b>Test</b> Check the current date is in the range of the valid dates of the certificate.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> All dates are valid.</p> <p><b>Sample Test</b></p> <pre>#!/bin/sh check_dates() {   certfile=\$1   start=`openssl x509 -in \$certfile -noout -startdate   cut -f2 -d"="`   if [ \$? -ne 0 ] ; then     echo "Error while processing \$certfile"     return 1   fi   now=`date +%s`   start_sec=`date +%s -d"\$start"`   if [ \$now -lt \$start_sec ] ; then     echo "\$start is before now in \$certfile!"     return 1   fi   end=`openssl x509 -in \$certfile -noout -enddate   cut -f2 -d"="`   if [ \$? -ne 0 ] ; then     echo "Error while processing \$certfile"     return 1   fi   end_sec=`date +%s -d"\$end"`   if [ \$end_sec -lt \$now ] ; then     echo "\$end is after now in \$certfile!"     return 1   fi   return 0 }</pre>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	All CA certificates have correct dates.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	

CA CRL check	
<b>ID</b>	<b>AUTHN_CA_3</b>
<b>Description</b>	The CRL of the CAs must be available for download and must be valid.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Trust Anchor Distribution
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Test that the CRL of the CA is available for download and it's valid.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> List of URLs for each CRL is available.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Download CRL and load it.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> All CRLs can be downloaded and loaded correctly.</p> <p><b>Sample Test</b></p> <pre>#!/bin/sh  check_crl() {     url_file=\$1     url=`cat \$url_file`     crl=`mktemp`     wget -q \$url -O \$crl     if [ \$? -ne 0 ]; then         echo "Unable to download crl from \$url"         rm \$crl         return 1     fi     openssl crl -in \$crl -noout &amp;&gt; /dev/null     if [ \$? -ne 0 ]; then         # try in other format         openssl crl -inform der -in \$crl -noout &amp;&gt; /dev/null         if [ \$? -ne 0 ]; then             echo "Unable to load crl"             rm \$crl             return 1         fi     fi     rm \$crl     return 0 }</pre>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	All CRLs can be downloaded and loaded.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	

## 2 ATTRIBUTE AUTHORITY

### 2.1 Attribute Authority Interface

Proxy Issue	
<b>ID</b>	ATTAUTH_IFACE_1
<b>Description</b>	Users must be able to get proxies with VO related information.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Attribute Authority Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for the creation of proxies for different users, roles and groups. Test for error situations (not registered user, unknown VO, non existing role/group, unreachable server)
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user certificate, user registered in VO <b>Test</b> Create proxy for user in the given VO. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Valid proxy created.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user certificate, user registered in VO, user in a given group/role <b>Test</b> Create proxy for user in the given VO and group/role <b>Expected Outcome</b> Valid proxy created with correct group/role information.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user certificate, user not registered in VO <b>Test</b> Create proxy for user in the given VO. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Issue a error message stating that the user is unknown to the VO.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Tests for the creation of proxies work as expected. Groups/Roles/Attributes can be included in the created proxy.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>Proxy Information</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>ATTAUTH_IFACE_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	Users must be able to get information about their proxies.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Attribute Authority Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Tools for getting proxy information.
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user proxy <b>Test</b> Get information from proxy. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Return proxy information.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Non existent user proxy <b>Test</b> Get information from proxy <b>Expected Outcome</b> No information returned and error message issued.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Proxy information can be obtained. Complete Groups/Roles/Attributes is also shown.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>Proxy Destroy</b>							
<b>ID</b>	<b>ATTAUTH_IFACE_3</b>						
<b>Description</b>	Users must be able to destroy a previously created proxy.						
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES						
<b>Applicability</b>	Attribute Authority Appliances						
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for proxy destroy.						
<b>Test Description</b>	<table border="0"> <tr> <td><b>Pre-condition</b></td> <td>Valid user proxy</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Test</b></td> <td>Destroy user proxy.</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Expected Outcome</b></td> <td>Proxy is destroyed.</td> </tr> </table>	<b>Pre-condition</b>	Valid user proxy	<b>Test</b>	Destroy user proxy.	<b>Expected Outcome</b>	Proxy is destroyed.
<b>Pre-condition</b>	Valid user proxy						
<b>Test</b>	Destroy user proxy.						
<b>Expected Outcome</b>	Proxy is destroyed.						
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Proxy is destroyed, no operations requiring a proxy can be done with it.						
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1]						
<b>Revision Log</b>							

<b>SAML Assertion Support</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>ATTAUTH_IFACE_4</b>
<b>Description</b>	Users should be able to obtain SAML assertions with the VO information.
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	Attribute Authority Appliances with SAML support.
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for generation of SAML assertions for different users, roles and groups. Correct handling of error situations (not registered user, unknown VO, non existing role/group, unreachable server)
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user, user registered in VO/group/role. <b>Test</b> SAML attribute query for user for the VO/group/role <b>Expected Outcome</b> Valid SAML assertion returned with VO information
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user, user not registered in VO <b>Test</b> SAML attribute query for user in the given VO. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Issue a error message stating that the user is unknown to the VO.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Tests for the creation of SAML assertions work as expected. Groups/Roles/Attributes can be included in assertions.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1]
<b>Revision Log</b>	



## 2.2 VO management

<b>VO Creation</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>ATTAUTH_MGMT_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	The service administrator must be able to create new VOs in the service.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Attribute Authority Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for the creation of VOs, correct handling of incorrect input.
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Administrator privileges in VO service. Configured service. <b>Test</b> Create a new VO <b>Expected Outcome</b> New database is created and initialized.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Administrator privileges in VO service. Configured service. Existent VO name <b>Test</b> Create a VO with already existent name. <b>Expected Outcome</b> No action performed, warning message issued.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the administrator is able to create VOs for all the supported underlying databases.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>VO Administrators</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>ATTAUTH_MGMT_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	The service administrator must be able to define who has VO administrator privileges.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Attribute Authority Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for adding VO administrators, managing incorrect input.
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Administrator privileges in VO service. Configured service. User certificate of new admin. <b>Test</b> Define VO administrator with user certificate. <b>Expected Outcome</b> User is added as VO administrator.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Administrator privileges in VO service. Configured service. User certificate of already existent admin. <b>Test</b> Define VO administrator with user certificate. <b>Expected Outcome</b> No action performed, warning message is issued.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Administrator privileges in VO service. Configured service. User certificate of new admin. <b>Test</b> Define VO administrator with user certificate for a nonexistent VO. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Error message stating that the VO is not existent.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the administrator is able to assign administrator privileges to other users.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>VO Role/Group/Attribute Management</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>ATTAUTH_MGMT_3</b>
<b>Description</b>	Authorized users must be able to define roles, groups and attributes and manage the users with those assigned.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Attribute Authority Appliances

<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for creation of roles, groups, attributes and the assignment and de-assignment of users to those.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Authorized user to manage VO role/group/attribute. Role/Group/Attribute name.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Create a new role/group/attribute in the VO.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> New role/group/attribute is created in the VO</p>
	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Authorized user to manage VO role/group/attribute. Already existent Role/Group/Attribute name.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Create role/group/attribute in the VO.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> No action performed; issue warning message about the role/group/attribute already existing.</p>
	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Non-Authorized user to manage VO role/group/attribute. Role/Group/Attribute name.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Create a new role/group/attribute in the VO.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> No action performed, issue error message.</p>
	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Authorized user to manage VO role/group/attribute. Role/Group/Attribute name. VO User to add</p> <p><b>Test</b> Assign role/group/attribute to user.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> User has the role/group/attribute assigned.</p>
	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Non-Authorized user to manage VO role/group/attribute. Role/Group/Attribute name. VO User to add</p> <p><b>Test</b> Assign role/group/attribute to user.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> No action performed, issue error message.</p>
	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Authorized user to manage VO role/group/attribute. Role/Group/Attribute name. User to de-assign</p> <p><b>Test</b> De-assign role/group/attribute to user.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Role/Group/Attribute is de-assigned.</p>

	<p><b>Outcome</b></p> <p><b>Pre-condition</b> Authorized user to manage VO role/group/attribute. Role/Group/Attribute name. User to de-assign without assigned role/group/attribute</p> <p><b>Test</b> De-assign role/group/attribute to user.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> No action performed, warning message issued.</p>
	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Non-Authorized user to manage VO role/group/attribute. Role/Group/Attribute name. User to de-assign</p> <p><b>Test</b> De-assign role/group/attribute to user.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> No action performed, issue error message.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if authorized users are able to manage the role/groups/attributes for a given VO and the users that assigned to them.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>VO User Management</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>ATTAUTH_MGMT_4</b>
<b>Description</b>	Authorized users must be able to add and remove users to the VO
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Attribute Authority Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for adding/removing users to the VO.
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Authorized user to manage VO users. User to add to VO. <b>Test</b> Add user to VO <b>Expected Outcome</b> User is correctly added to the VO.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Non-Authorized user to manage VO users. User to add to VO. <b>Test</b> Add user to VO <b>Expected Outcome</b> No action performed, issue error message.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Authorized user to manage VO users. User to add to VO that already belongs to the VO. <b>Test</b> Add user to VO <b>Expected Outcome</b> No action performed, issue a warning message.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if authorized users are able to add/remove other users for a given VO.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>ACL Management</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>ATTAUTH_MGMT_5</b>
<b>Description</b>	Authorized users must be able to change the different ACLs of the VO.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Attribute Authority Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for changing ACLs of users of the VO.
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Authorized user to manage ACLs. <b>Test</b> Change ACL for a given user. <b>Expected Outcome</b> ACL is correctly changed.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Non-Authorized user to manage ACLs. <b>Test</b> Change ACL for a given user. <b>Expected Outcome</b> No action performed, error message issued.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if authorized users are able to manage the ACLs for other users for a given VO. The following list of ACLs is expected to be managed: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• browse users of VO</li> <li>• management of groups</li> <li>• management of roles</li> <li>• management of attributes</li> <li>• management of ACL</li> <li>• add/remove users</li> </ul>
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>User suspension notification</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>ATTAUTH_MGMT_6</b>
<b>Description</b>	Users must get a notification about the suspension of their membership prior to the suspension
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Attribute Authority Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	<p>The Attribute Authority appliance must send notifications to the users that are going to be suspended according to the EGI policies. This notification should be sent as an email warning about the membership expiration date and how to resign the VO AUP or any extra steps needed to successfully renew their membership.</p> <p>The notification must be sent in a configurable period before the expiration date (default value should be &gt; 24h, e.g. 2 weeks)</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
<b>Related Information</b>	GGUS ticket #77913 RT ticket #3278
<b>Revision Log</b>	

### 2.3 VO Management Web Interface (VOMS-Admin)

VO List View	
<b>ID</b>	ATTAUTH_WEB_1
<b>Description</b>	Users connecting to the web interface should be able to list the VOs handled by the server.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Web Portal for Attribute Authority Appliances management
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Provide a web view with the list of VOs in the server.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> VO Web server running, authorized user</p> <p><b>Test</b> Access VO list page.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Web page with a list of all VOs in supported by the server and browsable by user.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	VO list view is provided and shows only VOs that are viewable by user.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	



<b>VO Membership Request</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>ATTAUTH_WEB_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	Users should be able to request membership to a VO from the web interface.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Web Portal for Attribute Authority Appliances management
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	<p>Provide a page for requesting VO membership and test its functionality. This page must ask for the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Full name</li> <li>• Institution</li> <li>• Contact details (phone, e-mail, address)</li> </ul> <p>Once the information is entered, users receive an email to confirm the membership request. Once confirmed, VO Admins should receive a notification of the new request.</p>
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> VO Web server running, valid credentials of user.</p> <p><b>Test</b> User requests membership from VO.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> User gets an email to confirm the membership request.</p>
	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> VO Web server running, valid credentials of user, membership confirmation link.</p> <p><b>Test</b> User accesses the membership confirmation link.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> VO admin(s) receive a notification of the new request.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the VO membership request page provides the requested functionality.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>VO Membership Authorisation</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>ATTAUTH_WEB_3</b>
<b>Description</b>	VO admins should be able to allow or deny pending membership request from the web interface.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Web Portal for Attribute Authority Appliances management
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Provide a web page for listing pending membership requests and allowing or denying them.
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> VO Web server running, valid admin credentials, membership request.
	<b>Test</b> Admin accepts the membership request.
	<b>Expected Outcome</b> User is added to the VO. Notification email is sent to user.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> VO Web server running, valid admin credentials, membership request.
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Test</b> Admin rejects the membership request.
	<b>Expected Outcome</b> User is not added to the VO.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the admin can accept/reject VO membership requests from users.
<b>Related Information</b>	

<b>VO Administration</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>ATTAUTH_WEB_4</b>
<b>Description</b>	Authorized users should be able to manage VO groups, roles, attributes and ACLs from the web interface.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Web Portal for Attribute Authority Appliances management
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Provide pages for managing the groups, roles, attributes and ACLs of the VO. They must allow the creation of new items, assigning and removing users for those items, deleting items.
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> VO Web server running, valid credentials. <b>Test</b> Create new group/role/attribute using web interface. <b>Expected Outcome</b> The new group/role/attribute is created.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> VO Web server running, valid credentials. <b>Test</b> Remove existing group/role/attribute using web interface. <b>Expected Outcome</b> The group/role/attribute is deleted.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> VO Web server running, valid credentials. <b>Test</b> Assign group/role/attribute to user using web interface. <b>Expected Outcome</b> The group/role/attribute is assigned to user.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> VO Web server running, valid credentials. <b>Test</b> Remove user from group/role/attribute using web interface. <b>Expected Outcome</b> User no longer has group/role/attribute assigned.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the admin can accept/reject VO membership requests from users.
<b>Related Information</b>	

<b>VO Browse</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>ATTAUTH_WEB_5</b>
<b>Description</b>	Authorized user should be able to browse the VO members, groups, roles or attributes.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Web Portal for Attribute Authority Appliances management
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Provide pages for listing the VO members, groups, roles and attributes for a given VO.
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> VO Web server running, valid credentials.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Browse VO members by groups/roles/attributes.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Web pages with list of users for groups/roles/attributes is delivered.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the VO browsing pages are provided and members can be listed by groups, roles and, or attributes.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	

### 3 AUTHORISATION

#### 3.1 Policy Management

Policy Listing	
<b>ID</b>	<b>AUTHZ_ MGMT_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	Administrators must be able to list the policies stored in the service.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Authorisation Appliances with PAP
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for policy listing
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Policy repository available.</p> <p><b>Test</b> List policies</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> List of stored policies.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the test suite passes
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1] Argus [R 35]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>Policy Repositories Management</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>AUTHZ_ MGMT_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	Administrators must be able to manage the remote Policy Repositories to be used by the service.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Authorisation Appliances with PAP

<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for the management of Policy Repositories that will be used in the service.
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Remote policy repository available. <b>Test</b> Add remote policy repository. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Remote repository added; remote policies retrieved.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Configured Remote policy repository. <b>Test</b> Remove remote policy repository. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Remote repository removed, policies no longer available.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Configured Remote policy repository <b>Test</b> Update remote policies. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Remote policies retrieved.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Enabled policy repository. <b>Test</b> Disable policy repository. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Policies from repository no longer used.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Disabled policy repository. <b>Test</b> Enable policy repository. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Policies from repository used.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Several policies repositories configured. <b>Test</b> Show policy repository order. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Policy repository order shown.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Several policies repositories configured. <b>Test</b> Set new policy repository order. <b>Expected Outcome</b> New policy repository is set.



<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the administrator is able to configure the use of (remote) policy repositories: disabling, enabling and establishing an order for them.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1] Argus [R 35]
<b>Revision Log</b>	

## 3.2 Policy Definition

### 3.2.1 Central policy management (Argus)

<b>(un) Banning Policies</b>		
<b>ID</b>	<b>AUTHZ_PCYDEF_1</b>	
<b>Description</b>	Administrators must be able to define policies that ban users or groups of users.	
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES	
<b>Applicability</b>	Authorisation Appliances with PAP	
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for banning different users (defined by a DN) or group of users defined by certain attributes (e.g. role/group attributes, FQANs); also support re-establishing already existing banning.	
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Policy repository available. Banning policy for user/group not defined <b>Test</b> Define ban policy for user/group <b>Expected Outcome</b> Ban policy for user/group stored in policy repository.	
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Policy repository available. Banning policy for user/group defined <b>Test</b> Unban policy for user/group <b>Expected Outcome</b> Ban policy for user/group no longer stored in policy repository.	
	<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the banning policies can be defined (and removed).
	<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1] Argus [R 35]
<b>Revision Log</b>	V4: Removed explicit FQAN references.	



Policy Definition from file	
<b>ID</b>	<b>AUTHZ_PCYDEF_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	Administrators must be able to manage the policies in the service, loading them from a file. File syntax could be XACML or a simplified equivalent.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Authorisation Appliances with PAP
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for policy definitions with different users (usually defined by a DN) or group of users defined by certain attributes (e.g. role/group attributes, FQANs); both <i>allow</i> and <i>deny</i> policies for different resources and actions.
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Policy repository available. Policy file with policies. <b>Test</b> Add policies from file. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Policies from file now stored in repository.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Policy repository available with a policy to update. Update description in policy file. <b>Test</b> Update policy from file. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Update policy stored in repository.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Policy repository available with a policy to remove. <b>Test</b> Remove policy. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Policy no longer stored in repository.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the administrator can add/update/remove policies for users and or groups of users.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1] Argus [R 35]
<b>Revision Log</b>	V4: Removed FQAN references.

### 3.2.2 Service Based Authorisation (Not Using Argus)

<b>Ban User/Group of users</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>AUTHZ_PCYDEF_3</b>
<b>Description</b>	Administrators must be able to define policies that ban users (black list).
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	Authorisation Appliances without PAP (Argus)
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for banning of single user (defined by a DNs) or by a set of users (defined by role/group attributes or FQANs).
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Configured system. <b>Test</b> Ban policy for user/group. Test access for user/group. <b>Expected Outcome</b> Ban policy is correctly enforced.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Configured system. Banning policy for user/group defined <b>Test</b> Unban user/group. Test access for user/group. <b>Expected Outcome</b> User/group is allowed.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the banning policies can be defined and enforced at least for users, ideally support role/groups attributes for defining policies.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	V4: better wording, not mandatory since for some service only white list policies can be defined.

<b>Allowed users definition</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>AUTHZ_PCYDEF_4</b>
<b>Description</b>	Administrators must be determine which users/groups are allowed in the system
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Authorisation Appliances without PAP
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for allowing users/groups of users in the system. Support for defining allowed users (determined by DNs) or groups (defined by a set of role/group attributes or FQANs).
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Configured system.</p> <p><b>Test</b> Allow user/group access into system. Test access for user/group.</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> User/group is allowed in the system.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the banning policies can be defined and enforced at least for individual users, ideally support role/groups attributes for defining policies.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	V2: Restricted policy definition to allowing access (full control of policy is expected in Argus like systems) V4: reviewed wording

### 3.3 Policy Enforcement

<b>User Mapping</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>AUTHZ_PEP_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	The authorisation capability should provide mapping of authorized users to local accounts.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Authorisation Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for mapping of users to local accounts; with/without VOMS attributes (or any other role/group attributes schema agreed), and with/without pool accounts. The preferred mapping mechanism is the gridmap dir using gridmapfiles for defining the mappings.
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Configured system. No previous mapping for user. <b>Test</b> Accepted authorisation. <b>Expected Outcome</b> GID/UID of the mapping returned. Primary group determined by role/group attributes if available. For gridmap based mapping, new entry in grid map is created.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Configured system. Previous mapping for user existing. <b>Test</b> Accepted authorisation. <b>Expected Outcome</b> GID/UID of the previous mapping returned.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Pass if the mapping is performed as defined in the AuthZ appliance (e.g according to a gridmapfile). The use of pool accounts is desirable, although the criteria can pass if not supported. The verifier may accept other mapping mechanisms after discussion within the verification team.
<b>Related Information</b>	UMD Roadmap [R 1] Argus [R 35]
<b>Revision Log</b>	V4: removed FQAN references, relaxed pool account support.

## 4 CREDENTIAL MANAGEMENT

### 4.1 Credential Management Interface

Credential Storage	
<b>ID</b>	<b>CREDMGMT_IFACE_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	Credential Management Appliances must provide an interface for storing user credentials.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Credential Management Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for storing user credentials in the service (with and without VOMS extensions). The service must support storing proxies.
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials (X509 certificate/proxy), user allowed in the service. <b>Test</b> Store user credential in the service <b>Expected Outcome</b> Credential is stored in the system
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials (X509 certificate/proxy), user not allowed in the service. <b>Test</b> Store user credential in the service <b>Expected Outcome</b> Error message is issued; no credentials are stored.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	User can successfully store the credentials in the appliance with and without VOMS extensions.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	V4: added explicitly proxy testing.

<b>Credential Retrieval</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>CREDMGMT_IFACE_2</b>
<b>Description</b>	Credential Management Appliances must provide an interface for retrieving user credentials in the service.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Credential Management Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for retrieving user credentials in the service (with and without VOMS extensions).
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials stored in service, user allowed in the service. <b>Test</b> Retrieve user credential <b>Expected Outcome</b> User credentials returned.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> No valid user credentials stored in the service. <b>Test</b> Retrieve user credential <b>Expected Outcome</b> Error message is issued; no credentials are returned.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	User can successfully retrieve previously store credentials from the appliance with and without VOMS extensions.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	

<b>Credential Renewal</b>	
<b>ID</b>	<b>CREDMGMT_IFACE_3</b>
<b>Description</b>	Credential Management Appliances must provide an interface for renewing user credentials in the service.
<b>Mandatory</b>	YES
<b>Applicability</b>	Credential Management Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for renewing user credentials in the service (with and without VOMS extensions).
<b>Test Description</b>	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials stored in service, host allowed to renew credentials. <b>Test</b> Renew user credential <b>Expected Outcome</b> User credentials renewed.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> Valid user credentials stored in service, host not allowed to renew credentials. <b>Test</b> Renew user credential <b>Expected Outcome</b> Error message is issued; no credentials are renewed.
	<b>Pre-condition</b> No valid user credentials stored in the service. <b>Test</b> Renew user credential <b>Expected Outcome</b> Error message is issued; no credentials are renewed.
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Services/Users can successfully renew previously retrieved credentials from the appliance with and without VOMS extensions.
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	

## 4.2 Institutional Authentication Systems Linking

Institutional Authentication Linking	
<b>ID</b>	<b>CREDMGMT_LINK_1</b>
<b>Description</b>	Users should be able to access grid resources using institutional authentication systems.
<b>Mandatory</b>	NO
<b>Applicability</b>	Credential Management Appliances
<b>Input from Technology Provider</b>	Support for linking institutional authentication system with the Credential Management implementation
<b>Test Description</b>	<p><b>Pre-condition</b> Valid institutional user credentials, user allowed in the service.</p> <p><b>Test</b> User requests grid credentials using his/her institutional credentials</p> <p><b>Expected Outcome</b> Short-lived X.509 credential for used created.</p>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	Short-lived X.509 credentials are created for authorized users. Test should be executed for each of the authentication systems supported (e.g. Kerberos or Shibboleth)
<b>Related Information</b>	
<b>Revision Log</b>	



## 5 REFERENCES

<b>R 1</b>	UMD roadmap: <a href="https://documents.egi.eu/public/ShowDocument?docid=100">https://documents.egi.eu/public/ShowDocument?docid=100</a>
<b>R 2</b>	QC Test Notes: <a href="https://wiki.egi.eu/w/index.php?title=EGI_Quality_Criteria_Testing">https://wiki.egi.eu/w/index.php?title=EGI_Quality_Criteria_Testing</a>
<b>R 3</b>	Web Services Data Access and Integration – The Relational Realisation (WS-DAIR) Specification, Version 1.0
<b>R 4</b>	Web Services Data Access and Integration – The XML Realization (WS-DAIX) Specification, Version 1.0
<b>R 5</b>	OGSA-DAI: <a href="http://www.ogsadai.org.uk/">http://www.ogsadai.org.uk/</a>
<b>R 6</b>	gLite LFC: <a href="https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/EGEE/GliteLFC">https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/EGEE/GliteLFC</a>
<b>R 7</b>	AMGA: <a href="http://amga.web.cern.ch/amga/">http://amga.web.cern.ch/amga/</a>
<b>R 8</b>	AMGA WSDL: <a href="http://amga.web.cern.ch/amga/soap_wsdaire.html">http://amga.web.cern.ch/amga/soap_wsdaire.html</a>
<b>R 9</b>	AMGA streaming API: <a href="http://amga.web.cern.ch/amga/protocol.html">http://amga.web.cern.ch/amga/protocol.html</a>
<b>R 10</b>	AMGA Metadata Queries: <a href="http://amga.web.cern.ch/amga/queries.html">http://amga.web.cern.ch/amga/queries.html</a>
<b>R 11</b>	A. Konstantinov, ARC Computational Job Management Component – A-REX, NORDUGRID-TECH-14
<b>R 12</b>	CREAM: <a href="http://grid.pd.infn.it/cream/">http://grid.pd.infn.it/cream/</a>
<b>R 13</b>	EMI-ES: <a href="https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/EMI/EmiExecutionService">https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/EMI/EmiExecutionService</a>
<b>R 14</b>	GRAM5: <a href="http://www.globus.org/toolkit/docs/latest-stable/execution/gram5/">http://www.globus.org/toolkit/docs/latest-stable/execution/gram5/</a>
<b>R 15</b>	OGF DRMAA: <a href="http://www.drmaa.org/">http://www.drmaa.org/</a>
<b>R 16</b>	OGSA Basic Execution Service v1.0: <a href="http://www.ogf.org/documents/GFD.108.pdf">http://www.ogf.org/documents/GFD.108.pdf</a>
<b>R 17</b>	UNICORE UAS: <a href="http://www.unicore.eu/unicore/architecture/service-layer.php#anchor_uas">http://www.unicore.eu/unicore/architecture/service-layer.php#anchor_uas</a>
<b>R 18</b>	gLite WMS: <a href="http://web.infn.it/gLiteWMS/">http://web.infn.it/gLiteWMS/</a>
<b>R 19</b>	SAGA-CORE-WG: A Simple API for Grid Applications (SAGA) v1.0 (GFD.90)
<b>R 20</b>	SAGA (A Simple API for Grid Applications): <a href="http://saga.cct.lsu.edu/">http://saga.cct.lsu.edu/</a>
<b>R 21</b>	Instrument Element: <a href="http://www.dorii.eu/resources/adaptation:middleware:IE">http://www.dorii.eu/resources/adaptation:middleware:IE</a>
<b>R 22</b>	DORII (Deployment of Remote Instrumentation Infrastructure) Project: <a href="http://www.dorii.eu/">http://www.dorii.eu/</a>

<b>R 23</b>	GlueSchema Specification v1.3: <a href="http://glueschema.forge.cnaf.infn.it/Spec/V13">http://glueschema.forge.cnaf.infn.it/Spec/V13</a>
<b>R 24</b>	GlueSchema Specification v2.0: <a href="http://www.ogf.org/documents/GFD.147.pdf">http://www.ogf.org/documents/GFD.147.pdf</a>
<b>R 25</b>	Glue Validator: <a href="https://tomtools.cern.ch/confluence/display/IS/GLUEValidator">https://tomtools.cern.ch/confluence/display/IS/GLUEValidator</a>
<b>R 26</b>	JMS (Java Message Service Specification) 1.1: <a href="http://www.oracle.com/technetwork/java/jms/index.html">http://www.oracle.com/technetwork/java/jms/index.html</a>
<b>R 27</b>	AMQP (Advanced Message Queuing Protocol): <a href="http://www.amqp.org/confluence/display/AMQP/Advanced+Message+Queuing+Protocol">http://www.amqp.org/confluence/display/AMQP/Advanced+Message+Queuing+Protocol</a>
<b>R 28</b>	Nagios Config Generator: <a href="https://tomtools.cern.ch/confluence/display/SAM/NCG">https://tomtools.cern.ch/confluence/display/SAM/NCG</a>
<b>R 29</b>	My EGI portal: <a href="https://tomtools.cern.ch/confluence/display/SAM/MyEGI">https://tomtools.cern.ch/confluence/display/SAM/MyEGI</a>
<b>R 30</b>	SAM Probes Documentation: <a href="https://tomtools.cern.ch/confluence/display/SAM/Probes">https://tomtools.cern.ch/confluence/display/SAM/Probes</a>
<b>R 31</b>	Accounting Portal: <a href="http://accounting.egi.eu/">http://accounting.egi.eu/</a>
<b>R 32</b>	GridSite Delegation Protocol: <a href="http://www.gridsite.org/wiki/Delegation_protocol">http://www.gridsite.org/wiki/Delegation_protocol</a>
<b>R 33</b>	Globus Delegation Service: <a href="http://www.globus.org/toolkit/docs/4.0/security/delegation/">http://www.globus.org/toolkit/docs/4.0/security/delegation/</a>
<b>R 34</b>	European Policy Management Authority for Grid Authentication (EuGridPMA): <a href="http://www.eugridpma.org/">http://www.eugridpma.org/</a>
<b>R 35</b>	ARGUS Authorization Service: <a href="https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/EGEE/AuthorizationFramework">https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/EGEE/AuthorizationFramework</a>
<b>R 36</b>	XACML: <a href="http://docs.oasis-open.org/xacml/2.0/access_control-xacml-2.0-core-spec-os.pdf">http://docs.oasis-open.org/xacml/2.0/access_control-xacml-2.0-core-spec-os.pdf</a>
<b>R 37</b>	Hydra encrypted file storage: <a href="https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/EGEE/DMEDS">https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/EGEE/DMEDS</a>
<b>R 38</b>	gLite FTS: <a href="https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/EGEE/GLiteFTS">https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/EGEE/GLiteFTS</a>
<b>R 39</b>	SRM v2.2: <a href="http://www.ggf.org/documents/GFD.129.pdf">http://www.ggf.org/documents/GFD.129.pdf</a>
<b>R 40</b>	S2 Test: <a href="http://s-2.sourceforge.net/">http://s-2.sourceforge.net/</a>
<b>R 41</b>	SRM-Tester: <a href="https://sdm.lbl.gov/twiki/bin/view/Software/SRMTester/WebHome">https://sdm.lbl.gov/twiki/bin/view/Software/SRMTester/WebHome</a>
<b>R 42</b>	Lcg-utils: <a href="http://grid-deployment.web.cern.ch/grid-deployment/documentation/LFC_DPM/lcg_util/">http://grid-deployment.web.cern.ch/grid-deployment/documentation/LFC_DPM/lcg_util/</a>
<b>R 43</b>	Lcg-utils test suite: <a href="http://glite.cvs.cern.ch/cgi-bin/glite.cgi/org.glite.testsuites.ctb/UI/tests/test-lcg-utils.sh?view=markup">http://glite.cvs.cern.ch/cgi-bin/glite.cgi/org.glite.testsuites.ctb/UI/tests/test-lcg-utils.sh?view=markup</a>
<b>R 44</b>	Open Cloud Computing Interface WG, OGF, <a href="http://www.ggf.org/gf/group_info/view.php?group=occi-wg">http://www.ggf.org/gf/group_info/view.php?group=occi-wg</a>



<b>R 45</b>	Virtualization Management (VMAN), DMTF <a href="http://www.dmtf.org/standards/vman">http://www.dmtf.org/standards/vman</a>
<b>R 46</b>	StratusLab <a href="http://stratuslab.eu/">http://stratuslab.eu/</a>
<b>R 47</b>	StratusLab MarketPlace Technical Note TN-Marketplace (V3.0)