

# Feedback and recommendations

**Source:** [Science Gateway Primer Virtual Team mailing list](#)

**From:** Gergely Sipos/EGI.eu on [Primer document v0.8](#)

- SCI-BUS provided a lot of input for the document which is fair, given that SCI-BUS is the only portal project in the EGI ecosystem. At the same time the document is rather unbalanced at the moment (also pointed out by other reviewers). This modification needs to be done by EGI.eu, given that we are the technology neutral body in EGI and given that the VT leader is from a SCI-BUS institute.
- The document should be more compact, there are many repetitions in it.
- The content should be more aligned with the EGI platform architecture (core, cloud, collaboration, community platforms), and should stay focussed on how can a community setup and operate a science gateways to access services from these platforms.
- The SCI-BUS project should write a white paper "Recommendations for science gateway developers" which could cover all the recommendations they accumulated over the years for portal developers and operators. Lot of text from the current version of the primer can be reused in such a SCI-BUS white paper.
- I will start improving the document according to this overall recommendation.

**From:** Coen M. Meulenkamp/AMC (Silvia Olabariaga's group) on [Primer document v0.9.1](#)

- A comment on "Open source or cloud service" (bulleted sentence) on page 36. When I read this it seemed to me that they were mutually exclusive. I found this confusing as you can have open source software running on a cloud service. As it is a summary of things which affects the easiness of development I suggest to split it up and use something like 'code availability' or 'licensing' for 'open source', and 'platform' or 'business model' for 'cloud computing'.