



## Scientific Discipline Classification VT (SDC-VT)

---

<b>Meeting:</b>	Teleconference meeting
<b>Date and Time:</b>	Wednesday 12 Dec 2012 at 14:00
<b>Venue:</b>	WebEx
<b>Agenda:</b>	<a href="https://wiki.egi.eu/wiki/VT_Scientific_Discipline_Classification_Meetings">https://wiki.egi.eu/wiki/VT_Scientific_Discipline_Classification_Meetings</a>

---

<b>PARTICIPANTS</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>REVIEW OF PREVIOUS MINUTES AND ACTIONS</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>AGENDA</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>ADDITIONAL POINTS FOR DISCUSSION</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>NOTES</b>	<b>3</b>
1. OVERVIEW OF THE SDC VT	3
2. VT MEMBERS	4
3. VT BACKGROUND MATERIAL REVIEW	4
4. ROUNDTABLE - INDIVIDUAL PERSPECTIVES - WHAT IS NEEDED	4
5. DATE FOR NEXT MEETING	5
6. AOB	5
<b>ACTION SUMMARY</b>	<b>5</b>



## Participants

<b>Name and Surname</b>	<b>Abbr.</b>	<b>Organisation/NGI</b>	<b>Membership</b>
Sy Holsinger	SH	EGI.eu	Chair
Sergio Andreozzi	SA	EGI.eu	Member
Gonçalo Borges	GB	LIP / PT	Member
Marios Chatziangelou	MC	IASA / GR	Member
Cyril L'Orphelin	CO	CNRS / FR	Member
Geneviève Romier	GR	CNRS / FR	Member
Jelena Tamulienė	JT	VU / LT	Member

Apologies:

- Claire Devereux, STFC / UK



## Review of previous minutes and actions

The actions defined during the first call are summarized in action log.

## Agenda

The discussion points for the agenda were accepted as follows:

1. Overview of the SDC VT (rationale, objectives, final output, VT management)
2. Introduction of VT members and addition suggestions
3. Review background material produced in preparation for VT
4. Roundtable for individual perspectives in what is needed from each area
5. List actions/homework
6. Schedule next call
7. Any other business (AOB)

## Additional Points for Discussion

None.

## Notes

### 1. Overview of the SDC VT

SH: Presented an overview of the SDC VT:

#### Rationale

- Too many varying uses across the different EGI tools
- Uses do not accurately reflect current user communities
- Unable to assign future/new communities

#### Objectives

- Define an aggregation of scientific disciplines
- Understand technical implications of changing current uses
- Define an aggregation of scientific disciplines appropriate for EGI

#### Final Output

- Submit an agreed and verified re-classification of scientific disciplines including recommendations and technical requirements to EGI Management and Council for approval

#### VT Management

- Mailing list: [vt-scientific-discipline-classification@mailman.egi.eu](mailto:vt-scientific-discipline-classification@mailman.egi.eu)
- Wiki: [https://wiki.egi.eu/wiki/VT\\_Scientific\\_Discipline\\_Classification](https://wiki.egi.eu/wiki/VT_Scientific_Discipline_Classification)



- Google Spreadsheet: <http://go.egi.eu/lbhrc>
- Meetings:
  - Doodle - for scheduling meetings
  - WebEx conference system – for phone conferences (links and call-in details to be sent via mailing list)

## 2. VT Members

SH: Introduced the current members of the VT.

SH: Any missing representation?

GR: Someone from the Accounting Portal – to generate statistics according to the new disciplines

**Action 01/01 EGI.eu: Identify someone from the Accounting Portal to join the VT.**

## 3. VT Background Material Review

SH: Through screen share, presented the Google Spreadsheet used to conduct initial background research prior to setting up the VT - <http://go.egi.eu/lbhrc>

- Various classifications currently being used by EGI tools and in communications
- Analysis of the different disciplines and frequency/commonalities
- List of different public classifications and studies available
- Comparison and mapping (used 2 of the preferred classifications as an initial exercise)
- Proposal for using “levels” of classification for scaling the detail of disciplines

SH: Any comments?

All: Looks fine.

JT: We use a classification in Lithuania, but need to find the English version – will circulate it to the mailing list.

**Action 01/02 JT: Circulate classification list used in Lithuania.**

**Action 01/03 SH: Review LT classification and include in Google Spreadsheet.**

## 4. Roundtable - Individual Perspectives - What is needed

Each VT member provided their general thoughts on the re-classification, requirements and related implications of integration, which is summarised as:

- CO/GB: Have a clear mapping from old categorisation to the new categorisation for easier migration

- GR: Should cover university/institution uses in order to insert contacts appropriately in CRM
- MC: “Upgrading” the classification should be “silent” with minimum or no effect on “users” of the tools
- All: Difficulty in implementation will depend at which level of detail (1<sup>st</sup> or 2<sup>nd</sup> level should be easy to moderate, the more detailed the list, the more difficult it becomes)

**Action 01/04 Tool Managers: Provide a preliminary assessment of the technical steps that would need to be taken for and impact of implementing a new classification and level of preferred detail prior to the next call.**

**Action 01/05 VT Members: Review and comment on proposed Frascati classification.**

## 5. Date for Next Meeting

First two weeks of January 2013.

To be agreed by Doodle poll.

## 6. AOB

None.

## Action Summary

ID	Resp.	Description	Status <sup>1</sup>
01/01	EGI.eu	Identify someone from the Accounting Portal to join the VT.	NEW
01/02	JT	Circulate classification list used in Lithuania.	DONE
01/03	SH	Review LT classification and include in Google Spreadsheet.	NEW
01/04	Tool Managers	Provide a preliminary assessment of the technical steps that would need to be taken for and impact of implementing a new classification and level of preferred/required detail.	NEW
01/05	All	Review and comment on proposed Frascati classification.	NEW

The meeting concluded at 15:06.

<sup>1</sup> NEW, OPEN, CLOSED, REJECTED



Minutes prepared by: Sergio Andreozzi/Sy Holsinger, 14/12/2012

Minutes Approved: VT Leader Sy Holsinger (upon review by VT members)

---

#### COPYRIGHT NOTICE

Copyright © EGI.eu. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial-NoDerivs 3.0 Unported License. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/> or send a letter to Creative Commons, 171 Second Street, Suite 300, San Francisco, California, 94105, USA.

The work must be attributed by attaching the following reference to the copied elements: "Copyright © EGI.eu (www.egi.eu). Using this document in a way and/or for purposes not foreseen in the license, requires the prior written permission of the copyright holders. The information contained in this document represents the views of the copyright holders as of the date such views are published.