





Scientific Discipline Classification VT (SDC-VT)

Meeting	: I eleconference meeting		
Date and	1 Time: Friday 22 Feb 2013 at 14:00		
Venue:	WebEx		
Agenda:	https://wiki.egi.eu/wiki/VT_Scientific_Discipline_Classification_	_Meetings	
PARTICIPA	NTS	2	
REVIEW OF	PREVIOUS MINUTES AND ACTIONS	3	
AGENDA		3	
ADDITION	AL POINTS FOR DISCUSSION	3	
NOTES		3	
1. Discus	SION ON INITIAL VO ANALYSIS	3	
2. CLASSII	. CLASSIFICATION REFINEMENTS AND FINALISATION FOR EXTERNAL REVIEW		
3. FINAL	/T REPORT	4	
4. DATE F	OR NEXT MEETING	5	
5. AOB		5	
ACTION SI	IMMARY	E	







Participants

Name and Surname	Abbr.	Organisation/NGI	Membership
Sy Holsinger	SH	EGI.eu	Chair
Alvaro Simon	AS	CESGA / ES	Member
Claire Devereux	CD	STFC / UK	Member
lván Díaz	ID	CESGA / ES	Member
Jelena Tamulienė	JT	VU / LT	Member
Marios Chatziangelou	MC	IASA / GR	Member
Maciej Filocha	MF	ICM / PL	Member

Apologies:

- Sergio Andreozzi, EGI.eu
- Gonçalo Borges, LIP
- Cyril L'Orphelin, CNRS
- Geneviève Romier, CNRS







Review of previous minutes and actions

The minutes from the last call were reviewed and accepted. The actions have been updated in action log.

Agenda

The discussion points for the agenda were accepted as follows:

- 1. Discussion on initial VO analysis
- 2. Classification refinements and finalisation for external review
- 3. Final VT report updates and revisions
- 4. Schedule next call
- 5. AOB

Additional Points for Discussion

None.

Notes

1. Discussion on initial VO analysis

SH: MF circulated a document via the mailing list regarding an initial analysis of a few VOs and what they each focus on. Could you give the group a brief overview?

MF: I saw that the list of VOs added into the Google Spreadsheets by SH covers all of the VOs (approx. 200). I specifically looked at the global ones, which covers about 30 VOs. The list provided shows a few scientific disciplines, but also shows how some VOs are used for other things like training, demos, etc.

SH: This is interesting and may show that we need to re-think how we define the "interdisciplinary" category.

MF: Yes, would agree.

SH: So looking through, can we come up with a quick list, like: Analytical Facilities; Training; Demonstrations; Infrastructure Development. What about software?

JT: No, should be included in infrastructure.

MF: I would just combine Training and Demonstrations.

ALL: Yes.

SH: Ok, changes made the Spreadsheet. Please send others via the mailing list if you think of any more.

SH: So, we now need to think of the best way of contacting the VO managers. One, we need them to confirm the discipline and two, we need to know if the VO has expanded to cover other disciplines as well now that there is an option of "multi-select". I still have an action on me to draft a message (action: 03/07).







2. Classification refinements and finalisation for external review

SH: I gave another pass through the classification with my colleague Sara Coelho. We cleaned a bit of the redundancies and noticed something important. Some disciplines listed in Level 3 are actually disciplines that would actually be in "Level 4". Since that level of detail is out of the scope of the VT and for any use in EGI, we have streamlined the list. All comments and changes are in the "running comment" tab. Since our expertise only goes so far, would like to ask support from the VT to make a final pass through the classifications before finalising for "open comments" externally. JT, would you do this?

JT: Yes. Can do this by Friday 1 March.

SH: Great, thanks.

Action 04/01 JT: Review Level 3 and suggest modifications

3. Final VT Report

SH: I have circulated the first draft. Only MC for the AppDB has provided contributions. GB, who sent his apologies, confirmed that he would send the CRM contributions by early next week. MF has sent his, which I will include in the report. I will follow up with CO for the Operations Portal. Could the others on the call provide their timeline for delivery?

CD: Yes, by next Wednesday as well.

ID: By Wednesday is ok.

Action 04/02 GB: Send contribution for CRM by 27 Feb

Action 04/03 CD: Send contribution for TMP by 27 Feb

Action 04/04 ID: Send contribution for AP by 27 Feb

Action 04/05 SH/CO: Follow-up for OP contribution

SH: We have agreed on the "multi-selection" functionality and importance of "ease of use" from a use perspective, but have not discussed specific technology. CD, you said that once we define the classification you could easily implement this. Could you specify what technology will be used?

CD: Yes, the TMP is based on Drupal, so there is already a module that we can use.

MC: AppDB is custom, so ours will need to be coded.

SH: Ok, I think this is important to be included in the technical analysis as well.

SH: We also need to extract specific recommendations from these contributions, which will be used by EGI management in making decisions moving forward.

MC: I would suggest to host the classification centrally (e.g. .xml file)

SH: Yes, this also leads to a suggested by Sergio for coding the classification. Could you please articulate a recommendation?

MC: Sure.







SH: Great. Once contributions are provided and we have a global view, we can start to extract further recommendations.

Action 04/06 MC: Provide recommendation how classification could be centrally hosted and used

SH: Starting Monday 4 March, I will work on integrating all contributions and refining the text and circulate via the mailing list for final comments. The next call we can discuss specific comments to the report, identify any further recommendations and the final steps. This way, the following week we can move to final review and towards closing the VT.

All: Agreed.

Action 04/07 SH: Integrate comments and circulate revised version of final report before next call

4. Date for Next Meeting

Date and time to be agreed via Doodle poll: http://doodle.com/rxmnm9vxn32xxhyx Suggested for late in the week of 4 March.

5. AOB

None.

Action Summary

ID	Resp.	Description	Status ¹
03/01	GB	Complete manual mapping of CRM entries to new classification	NEW
		GB: still in progress – leave open; focus first on report contribution	OPEN
03/02	SH	Separate Ops Portal and AppDB1 mapping in Spreadsheet	NEW
		SH: Split in spreadsheet	DONE
03/03	MC	Articulate AppDB issues and recommendations as part of allocated	NEW
		section in Final Report	DONE
		MC: Contribution sent via mailing list	
03/04	VT Members	Provide a list of disciplines that are "Interdisciplinary"	NEW
		SH: Discussed during call – close action	DONE
03/05	SH	Input list of VOs in Spreadsheet and provide first mapping	NEW
		SH: Has been included in Spreadsheet	DONE
03/06	MF	Provide feedback to VO classification	NEW
		MF: document circulated via email	DONE
03/07	SH	Prepare message for VO managers and circulate via mailing list	NEW

¹ NEW, OPEN, CLOSED, REJECTED

5







		SH: still in progress – leave open	OPEN	
03/08	VT Members	Members Provide contributions to allocated sections of Final Report by 22 Feb		
		SH: Closed action as individual ones created	DONE	
04/01	JT	Review Level 3 and suggest modifications	NEW	
04/02	GB	Send contribution for CRM by 27 Feb	NEW	
04/03	CD	Send contribution for TMP by 27 Feb	NEW	
04/04	ID	Send contribution for AP by 27 Feb	NEW	
04/05	SH/CO	Follow-up for OP contribution	NEW	
04/06	MC	Provide recommendation how classification could be centrally hosted and used	NEW	
04/07	SH	Integrate comments and circulate revised version of final report before next call	NEW	

The meeting concluded at 15:26.







Minutes prepared by: Sy Holsinger, 04/03/2013

Minutes Approved: VT Leader Sy Holsinger (reviewed by VT members)

COPYRIGHT NOTICE

Copyright © EGI.eu. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial-NoDerivs 3.0 Unported License. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/ or send a letter to Creative Commons, 171 Second Street, Suite 300, San Francisco, California, 94105, USA.

The work must be attributed by attaching the following reference to the copied elements: "Copyright © EGI.eu (www.egi.eu). Using this document in a way and/or for purposes not foreseen in the license, requires the prior written permission of the copyright holders. The information contained in this document represents the views of the copyright holders as of the date such views are published.