## EGI-InSPIRE



EGI Unified Middleware Distribution: heterogeneous middleware components for a distributed e-Infrastructure

> T. Ferrari/EGI.eu on behalf of Peter Solagna/EGI.eu



ISGC 2013, Taipei, 21-03-2013

## Current EGI technology ecosystem



ISGC 2013, Taipei, 21-03-2013

EGI-InSPIRE RI-261323



EGI UMD distribution integrating the products released by the Technology Providers (TPs)

- Provides the capabilities required by the infrastructure and user community
- MoUs and SLAs in place with the technology providers
  - User support, critical bugs and security vulnerability



# UMD added value

- UMD does not:
  - Develop patches or new features for the middleware
  - Repackage software in new RPMs
- EGI does:
  - Perform software verification and verification on top of the TP quality assurance
    - New releases tested in the EGI infrastructure, with real use cases
  - Provide RPM and DEB repositories
    - Single set of repositories to be configured
    - Products pushed in the production repository only after the validation and verification
    - Protection from new releases

# Structure of the UMD repositories



ISGC 2013, Taipei, 21-03-2013

5 www.egi.eu

## UMD Software provisioning workflow



ISGC 2013, Taipei, 21-03-2013

EGI-InSPIRE RI-261323



- Dedicated activity defines the criteria to test the middleware capabilities
  - Capability specific criteria (e.g. GLUE and SHA-2 compliance, publishing of service information)
  - General criteria (e.g. documentation or file permissions checks)
- The criteria are published in a <u>public document</u>:
  - Quarterly update cycle
  - Peer reviewed by technology providers representatives
- Criteria are mapped to the products to make more efficient the verification process



- Products deployed in a dedicated testbed
  - Private cloud infrastructure
  - The virtual images are kept as 'golden copy' for future deployment/testing
- The verification team performs checks to verify all the criteria relevant for the software release
  - Some criteria are applicable only to major releases of the software
- Output: report with the results of the checks
  - Critical failures lead to rejection
  - All failures generate high priority GGUS ticket



- Test the products in a production environment
  - Deployed in a small number of Early Adopter (EAs) sites (1~5)
  - Expert site managers
  - Exposed to real users and use cases
- EA produce a SR report with the result of their testing
  - Issues are evaluated, if the impact is critical the product is rejected
  - High priority tickets are opened to notify the developers
    - Often a workaround is provided and the product is released in UDM



- The products that successfully pass verification and staged rollout are released in one of the scheduled updates of UMD
- The reports from verification and staged rollout are parsed as well as the possible GGUS tickets
  - Known issues and workaround are reported in the UMD release notes
- Release candidate is generated to test that the release integrates within the existing UMD production repositories
- After the released is successfully tested it is deployed in the UMD repository



# UMD in numbers

Major release	Number of updates	Number of products	
		EMI	IGE
UMD-1	14	38	9
UMD-2	8	34	7

- Technology providers produced 5 (2+3) major releases and 38 updates over two years
  - 2 UMD major releases, 20 updates
- Median elapsed time between TP release and UMD release: 31 days
  - ~200 software updates tested, 19 rejected



- 19 rejected products (9%)
  - Critical issues affecting one or many use case
- Was the remaining 91% perfect?
  - If verification and staged rollout find non critical issues, or critical issues with a workaround, the product can be released in UMD
  - UMD release notes contain the known issues discovered during software provisioning



 When an issue is discovered during the software provisioning developers are notified with a GGUS tickets

# Number of ggus tickets opened during software provisioning





- After the end of EMI and IGE, UMD needs a new structure to be scalable with more Platform Integrators (Pis) who are less coordinated (more independent) than before
  - UMD software provisioning (verification and staged rollout in a single release)
    - will be applied to products according to requirements
  - UMD quarterly releases
  - Give access to PTs to a subset of the EGI verification testbed to perform integration testing (*new activity*)



- Core products  $\rightarrow$  core infrastructure capabilities
  - accounting, information system or user authentication
  - Software verification and release in UMD performed centrally by EGI
- Contributing products → Platform Integrators integrate a set of products (the platform)
  - contains products certified to work together and integrated with the core platform
  - Software verification is performed by the PI (EGI guidelines)
  - UMD repository different from the core one
  - Platform Integrator will mediate communications between EGI and product teams, acting as contact point
- Community products → user communities will use dedicated repositories (provided as a service by EGI) to distribute community specific components. Release process entirely controlled by the communities



# After EMI/IGE suppliers management process



ISGC 2013, Taipei, 21-03-2013

EGI-InSPIRE RI-261323



# Conclusions

## • UMD

- Quality assurance, stable releases
- One repository for
  - Core
  - Contributing
  - Community
- UMD Release Team
  - Loose coordination of PTs around critical bug fixing, security vulnerabilities, timing of releases
  - Requirements