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| *Project:* | **EGI-Engage** | *Document url:* | <https://documents.egi.eu/document/2644> |
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| **Identification of the reviewer** |
| *Reviewer:* | **Diego Scardaci** | *Activity:* | **WP3 Activity Manager** |

**General comments on the content**

|  |
| --- |
| **Comments from Reviewer:** |
| This deliverable describes an OCCI extension for Resource template changes that will be included in the OCCI V1.2Although the new OCCI feature is well explained I noticed the following critical points to be addressed:* It is not highlighted that this feature has been requested by end-users. This is important to demonstrate that the EGI FedCloud evolution is user driven
* I do not see an analysis on the impact of this new OCCI feature into the EGI FedCloud. What is the effort needed to make it available in the CMFs currently supported? What is the roadmap to implement it? When will be the new feature available in the production infrastructure?
* This deliverable is named “Resource template changes: OCCI extension, final specification”. So, it seems that the outcome of the deliverable should be only a specification. But, looking in the DoA, I don’t see any further deliverable that includes the development of this feature. Should this task produce only specification with no related development? Are you sure that reviewers will not look for the feature implementation (the type of deliverable is “other”)? I think that this incongruity could be noted during our first review.

More comments are directly available in the document. |
| **Response from Author:**  |
| Mention of this being a user requirement was added to the text.Regarding roadmap and effort required for implementation: This task really only dealt with specification. There is an overall plan to adopt OCCI 1.2 in the EGI Federated Cloud, and given that these specifications are a part of OCCI 1.2, implementation is covered there. |
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