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Software

|  |
| --- |
| **Details of the document being reviewed** |
| *Title:* | EGI-Engage D 6.2 Data repository for DARIAH | *Document identifier:* | 2492-v1 |
| *Project:* | **EGI-Engage** | *Document url:* | https://documents.egi.eu/public/ShowDocument?docid=2492 |
| *Author(s):* | **[please fill in]** | *Date:* | 20 Jan 2016 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Identification of the reviewer** |
| *Reviewer:* | Daniele Bailo | *Activity:* | EGI-Engage WP4 |

**General comments on the content**

|  |
| --- |
| **Comments from Reviewer:** |
| The document explains in a clear way the background framework of the DARIAH competence centre and the two use cases (mini-projects) developed within it. The software used, the features and the architecture are outlined and exhaustive references to the software used are reported. Some minor edits have been suggested. The requirement section need to be enlarged and more specific requirements need to be listed in order to outline the features of the system in a more clear way. Apart this minor enhancements, the deliverable is to be considered complete.  |
| **Response from Author:**  |
|  |

**Additional comments**

*(not affecting the document content e.g. recommendations for the future)*

|  |
| --- |
| **From reviewer:** |
|  |

**Detailed comments on the content**

| **N°** | **Page** | **§** | **Observations** | **Reply from author(correction / reject,  …)** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **5** |  | As a consequence two services, which will be presented in this report, were identified.  |  |
|  | **5** |  | gLibrary 2 address the original aim for this mini-project, but at the time of writing the AAS community is currently refining the use case and the repository will be available soon.  | Text has been rephrased and updated according to reviewer’s comments |
|  | **14** |  | **Use numbered list, and “parts” should be referenced to as steps** | Done |
|  | **15** |  | **Figure 3 quality is low** | Done |
|  | **18** |  | **Figure 6 quality is low** | Done |
|  | **19** |  | Maybe some additional requirements/detail would make this section more consistent | Some additional requirements/details have been provided |

**English and other corrections:**

Note: English and typo corrections can be made directly in the document as comments.

# User Story: XXX

## Description

## Structured review

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Is the tool satisfying the use case?** | *Yes* |
| **Are all the sections and links properly working?** | *Yes* |
| **Learnability (how easy is it for users to accomplish basic tasks of the use case?)** | *Not applicable* |
| **Ease in finding the information in the tool** | *Yes* |
| **Ease to keep track of the location in the site** | *Yes* |
| **Is the help section explaining well how to use the tool?** | *yes* |
| **Ease to make mistakes when performing an action** | *No* |
| **Is the interface familiar/intuitive for the users?** | *Yes* |
| **Unexpected or confusing behaviour of the tool, including bugs** | *any* |