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**General comments on the content**

|  |
| --- |
| **Comments from Reviewer:** |
| The document is accompanying the delivery of a software service called the “MoBrain web portal”. From the document I understand that this is a content-oriented portal.The document is missing several key information to understand and evaluate the technical decisions and the outcome of this work, e.g.:* What kind of information will be aggregated and what is the expected size?
* What is the expected user base size?

I would also expect to find:* Description of the information architecture for the portal
* “Fully integrated MoBrain web portal”: what does the “fully integrated” refers to? The portal contains very few information so far; the content is also available in the wiki: <https://wiki.egi.eu/wiki/CC-MoBrain> ; I find difficult to understand why a dedicated VM needs to be used for this service without the understanding of how much this can grow

The language should be improved. |
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*(not affecting the document content e.g. recommendations for the future)*
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| **From reviewer:** |
|  |

**Detailed comments on the content**

| **N°** | **Page** | **§** | **Observations** | **Reply from author(correction / reject,  …)** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **1** | **4** |  | The Executive summary should be written as a stand-alone text not referring the document; It is not background and not an introduction. People who read only the executive summary should get the essence of the document without fine details - As such it should not describe the structure of the document |  |
| **2.1.1** |  |  | EGI.eu Cloud -> EGI Federated CloudCESENT->CESNET |  |
| **2.1.2** | **6** |  | Figure 1: text not much readable, suggest to improve the diagram and to ensure readability |  |
| **2.1.2.4** | **7** |  | Section 2.1.2.4: (To use a more recent OS template or to use a more powerful resource template). Not really clear, please rewrite  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **4** | **13** |  | There is no clear information on the user feedback; is it possible to run some quick interviews and ask for feedback to write down? |  |  |  |  |  |
| **5** | **13** |  | More information would be useful on the expected growth directions for this portal |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**English and other corrections:**

Note: English and typo corrections can be made directly in the document as comments.