

Revising the EGI strategy towards 2025 Survey for the EGI Council

1. Introduction and Purpose

The <u>current EGI strategy</u> was approved in May 2015 after a long consultation process that led to a major revision with new vision and mission statements, 4 strategic goals, 5 strategic themes and 31 major strategic objectives. The implementation update of this strategy is summarised in <u>this report (Feb 2016)</u> with more details in <u>this live document (Oct 2016)</u>.

According to the agreed strategy development process, the EGI strategy is expected to be revised on a 2-year basis, therefore the update should take place during 2017. This action also well aligns with the changed policy landscape and the need to consider the EGI ambitions in the context of the European Open Science Cloud.

With this survey, we wish to collect the viewpoint of the members of the EGI Council. Your input will be used to propose changes to the EGI strategy that will be discussed during the EGI Council meeting scheduled for June 28-30 2017. The revised EGI strategy is expected to be proposed for adoption at the EGI Council in Autumn 2017.

Note for NGIs: please, provide one answer per country representing the agreed viewpoint of the NGI members

Important dates:

- Survey for the EGI Council: 2 May 2017 31 May 2017 5PM CEST
- EGI Council meeting to discuss the strategy revision: 28-30 June 2017
- EGI Council meeting to adopt the EGI strategy: Autumn 2017

You can download a fillable PDF at the following link:https://documents.egi.eu/document/XXXX If you prefer, you can fill the PDF document offline and return to policy@egi.eu.

1. Your contact info

Name	
NGI/EIRO	
Country	



Revising the EGI strategy towards 2025 Survey for the EGI Council

2. Current situation: What works and what could be better?

2. During 2016, we have finalised a <u>document highlighting the values of being an EGI participant</u>. Given your experience within EGI, could you please rank first the benefits that are most relevant to you? (1: most relevant - 10: less relevant)

	No interest	Low	Medium	High	Essential
Influence European- level policy on e- Infrastructure	0		0		0
Improve your transfer of innovation to the private sector			\bigcirc		\bigcirc
Influence EGI strategy to better support your international users		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Improve access to H2020 funding					\bigcirc
Explore innovative revenue generation models		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Improve the skills of your personnel through accessing community knowledge, expertise and training				0	
Access common tools, services and processes to operate a federated infrastructure					
Promote your services to a wider user base	\bigcirc				
Improve your access to requirements from ESFRIs and emerging user communities		0		0	
Connect your local users with international collaborations		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	

3. What could we improve in order to better deliver on the above benefits?	
4. Are there any other benefits that you would	like to receive by being part of EGI?
	Revising the EGI strategy towards 2025 Survey for the EGI Council
3. Specific topics: Positioning within the Euro	opean Open Science Cloud
5. What is the role you foresee for the EGI Fed Science Cloud?	eration in the context of the European Open
6. What are the specific responsibilities that th	ne EGI Foundation should undertake?

7. What are the specific responsibilities that you want to retain as an EGI participant				
•	(NGI/EIRO/ERIC)? (E.g.: coordination of local/regional/national service operations, outreach to			
loc	al or international user communities, promotion of the local/regional/national infrastructure)			
	The new EOSC policy is reinforcing the need for more integrated and easy to access services distinct better coordination of efforts towards international user groups. How do you foresee the			
	olution of EGI in relationship to the other e-Infrastructures? (E.g. GEANT, EUDAT, OpenAIRE)			
\bigcirc	Keep completely independent legal entities with informal coordination			
\bigcirc	Keep separate legal entities, with overarching lightweight legal coordination body			
\bigcirc	Merge of legal entities (potentially with different business units)			
	Other (please specify)			



Revising the EGI strategy towards 2025 Survey for the EGI Council

4. Specific topics: federation-enabling services: governance vs. business models

One of the open questions for EGI is how to ensure that federation-enabling services can be sustained also by consumers who do not want to be part of the EGI governance. For instance:

- There are cases of countries without an NGI, but having resource providers interested in federating with EGI
- The EOSC-hub project proposal submitted under the call EINFRA-12.a includes stakeholders from EGI, EUDAT and more thematic initiatives and some of the core services from EGI will also be used by EUDAT and thematic providers; there are also cases of other resource providers that are interested in using the EGI internal services, nevertheless they are not linked to an NGI.

9. How do you suggest to deal with resource providers based in a country with an active NGI of which they are not yet part, and wanting to consume federation-enabling services from the <u>EGI</u> internal service portfolio?		
The resource provider can be temporarily federated by timeframe, the resource provider needs to join the NGI	paying a yearly fee for a maximum of X years; within this	
The resource provider must complete the NGI affiliation	n before it can be federated to EGI	
Split current membership fees into 'service fee' and 'go governance fee, each resource provider would pay a s	overnance fee'; the national organisation would pay the ervice fee	
Other (please specify)		
10. If you are an NGI, how do you foresee the evolution of the national representativity in the EGI governance in a scenario where resource providers aim to consume EGI federation-enabling services but are either affiliated to other initiatives or are independent?		
	Revising the EGI strategy towards 2025 Survey for the EGI Council	

5. Specific topics: Evolution of the EGI infrastructure

11. The demand for services is shifting towards cloud-like provisioning. On the other side, the capacity available through the EGI Federated Cloud did not grow much as expected over the last two years. What are the barriers or obstacles that have limited the evolution of the capacity linked to the EGI Federated Cloud?		
Lack of resources: my NG	I/EIRO does not have enough capacity to	o serve new users
Lack of incentives: my NG	I/EIRO has available capacity but there is	s no perceived value in offering it via EGI
Technical barriers: it is cor	nplicated to offer the cloud capacity via th	ne EGI Federated Cloud
Other (please specify)		
what types of services ar	tments to increase the capacity a e you focusing the investments (e	
14. What types of access	policies do you foresee to adopt?	?
	Currently supported	Planned for the future
Policy-based		0
Market-based		
Excellence-based		O
Wide-access		
Other (please specify)		

15. Please, provide more details about who car EGI and under what conditions, in particular cl supported, geographical scope and what is the	arify if there is any restriction with the disciplines	
	Revising the EGI strategy towards 2025 Survey for the EGI Council	
6. Looking forward towards 2025		
16. What major challenges does the EGI Federation face?		
17. What has to change to address those challenges?		
18. What stops that happening today?		