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| **General comments:** Although the document is well structured, the core section (Chapter 4) could be integrated with more information about the development plans of key components for the ROC Nagios tool. For instance, while the deployment scenario for the ATP is clear it would be interesting to understand the way NGIs can insert, apart from the GOCDB, new grid services to be monitored by their own Nagios. Concerning the MDDB, it's crucial to envisage the mechanism to insert new metrics both for existent and future services to consequently have them integrated into the Nagios tool. |
| **Response from author:** L LG: a detailed description of the development plans is out of the scope of this milestone and it is foreseen in MS703 (Operational Tools regionalisation work plan). Actually the title of section 4.1 could be misleading… so I changed it to “Operational tools regionalization in EGI-InSPIRE according to JRA1 plans”.Added a sentence to explain the importance of having a clear and well documented procedure to insert new probes (with also new metrics) in the regional Nagios package and to distribute it to the NGIs.A reference to MS703 has also been added. |
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| **Additional comments** *(not affecting the document content) e.g. recommendations for the future ……*It is worth to argument about what will be the scenario for distributing new probes (developed for instance at a given NGI) into the Nagios release. Clarifying the process to integrate new probes coming also from third parties both into the official release and (possibly) just at an NGI instance. LG. See aboveAt the same time, plans for the evolution of the MyEGEE/EGI towards a portal displaying availability and reliability statistics are also reccomanded.LG: It is already part of JRA1 plans and also already mentioned in the document. |
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|  | 4 |  | In TOC at the 3.5 bullet there is a warning stating: ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED | fixed |
|  | 11 |  | Error in caption for figure 1. “Configuration of s fully…”Probably the “s” is a typo | fixed |
|  | 12 |  | The term “Symfony” needs a reference or can be replaced by the term “web programming framework” | Reference added |
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**English and other corrections:**

Note: English and typo corrections can be made directly in the document as comments.