





EGI-InSPIRE

UMD QUALITY CRITERIA v3 DRAFT 1

Document identifier:	EGI-GENERIC-QC-V3-DRAFT-1.doc
Date:	04/08/2011
Document Link:	https://documents.egi.eu/document/?

<u>Abstract</u>

This document describes the Generic Quality Criteria that all software of the UMD distribution must meet.







Copyright notice

Copyright © Members of the EGI-InSPIRE Collaboration, 2010. See www.egi.eu for details of the EGI-InSPIRE project and the collaboration. EGI-InSPIRE ("European Grid Initiative: Integrated Sustainable Pan-European Infrastructure for Researchers in Europe") is a project co-funded by the European Commission as an Integrated Infrastructure Initiative within the 7th Framework Programme. EGI-InSPIRE began in May 2010 and will run for 4 years. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 3.0 License. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/ or send a letter to Creative Commons, 171 Second Street, Suite 300, San Francisco, California, 94105, and USA. The work must be attributed by attaching the following reference to the copied elements: "Copyright © Members of the EGI-InSPIRE Collaboration, 2010. See www.egi.eu for details of the EGI-InSPIRE project and the collaboration". Using this document in a way and/or for purposes not foreseen in the license, requires the prior written permission of the copyright holders. The information contained in this document represents the views of the copyright holders as of the date such views are published.

Issue	Date	Comment	Author/Partner
v0.1	02/11/2010	First draft	Enol Fernández
v1.0 03/11/2010		Changed Management, Traceability and Monitoring section	Enol Fernández
v1.1	03/11/2010	0 Added Probe description in GEN_MON_1 Enol Fernánde	
v1.2	11/11/2010	/11/2010 Some formatting update Enol Fernán	
v1.3	31/01/2011	Better test specification	Enol Fernández
1.4	09/02/2011	Review of criteria	Enol Fernández
2 DRAFT 1 24/06/2011 Preparation of new release Enol Fernár		Enol Fernández	
2 02/08/2011 Reorganisation, added new criteria. Enol Fernánde		Enol Fernández	

Document Log







TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Criteria Template	4
	GENERIC_TEMPLATE	
2	Documentation	
	GENERIC_DOC_1	
	GENERIC_DOC_2	
	GENERIC_DOC_3	
	GENERIC_DOC_4	
	GENERIC_DOC_5	
	GENERIC_DOC_6	
	GENERIC_DOC_7	
	GENERIC_DOC_8	
	GENERIC_DOC_9	
3	Software Distribution	14
3	GENERIC_DIST_1	
	GENERIC_DIST_1	
	GENERIC_DIST_2	
4	Software Features	
	GENERIC_SOFT_1	
5	Service Criteria	18
	5.1 Service Management	
	GENERIC_SERVICE_1	
	5.2 Service logs	
	GENERIC_SERVICE 2	
	5.3 Service Monitoring	
	8	
	GENERIC_SERVICE_3	
	GENERIC_SERVICE_4	
	5.6 Service Configuration	
	GENERIC_SERVICE_4	
6	Security	
	GENERIC_SEC_1	
	GENERIC_SEC_2	
7	Miscellaneous	26
/	GENERIC_MISC_2	
	GENERIC_MI3C_2	
8	References	







1 CRITERIA TEMPLATE

Criterion Name			
ID	GENERIC_TEMPLATE		
Description	Provide a description of the criterion captured in this template.		
Mandatory	YES/NO		
Applicability	Specify which appliances/products must meet this criterion.		
Input from Technology Provider	Describe here what is expected from the TP to fulfil the criterion		
Test	Pre-condition	Describe here the preconditions of the test	
Description	Test	Describe in this field what the actions should the test perform	
	Expected Outcome	Describe the expected outcome of the test execution, including any outputs.	
Pass/Fail	Criteria that will determine whether if passes or not verification.		
Criteria			
Related Information	Resources found elsewhere (e.g. web pages, Wiki entries, publications and papers) which help to describe the requirement in further detail.		
Revision Log	Give the history of the changes in the criterion.		







2 DOCUMENTATION

Services in UMD must include a comprehensive documentation written in a uniform and clear style. All Quality Criteria described below may be met by a single document that contains all the requested sections.

Functional Description			
ID	GENERIC_DOC_1		
Description	All products must provide a document with a brief functional description of the product.		
Mandatory	NO		
Applicability	All products		
Input from	Document (or link) with a general description of the product that includes:		
Technology	• Purpose of the product		
Provider	Capabilities meet by the product		
Pass/Fail	The document should exist and contain the requested information.		
Criteria			
Related			
Information			
Revision Log	V2: clarified the required documentation		







6 / 27

Release Notes		
ID	GENERIC_DOC_2	
Description	All products must provide a document with the release notes.	
Mandatory	YES	
Applicability	All products	
Input from Technology Provider	Document (or link) with release notes of the product. They must include major the changes in the product: bug fixes, new features.	
Pass/Fail Criteria	The document should exist and contain the requested information.	
Related Information		
Revision Log		







7 / 27

User Documentation		
ID	GENERIC_DOC_3	
Description	All products must provide a document describing how to use it.	
Mandatory	NO	
Applicability	All products with end-user tools and services.	
		
Input from Technology Provider	Document (or link) with user guide describing the functionality of the software and how to use it.	
Pass/Fail Criteria	The document should exist and contain the requested information.	
Related Information		
Revision Log		







8 / 27

Online help (man pages)			
ID	GENERIC_DOC_4		
Description	All products with end user command line tools must include man pages or online help.		
Mandatory	NO		
Applicability	All products with command line tools.		
Input from Technology Provider	Man pages with information about the usage of commands. If man pages are not available, comprehensive help options must be included with the command with information about the usage (i.e. $-h/help$ option)		
Pass/Fail	Online help should be available (man pages or command line help)		
Criteria			
Related Information			
Revision Log			







API Documentation			
ID	GENERIC_DOC_5		
Description	Public API of product/appliances must be documented.		
Mandatory	NO		
Applicability	All products with public API.		
Input from	Documentation (or link) of the API of the product. The documentation <i>should</i> cover		
Technology Provider	all the existing public functionality of the API.		
Pass/Fail Criteria	The document should exist and contain the API documentation. If the product implements a well-known or standard API, any missing functionality must be documented.		
Related Information			
Revision Log	V2: review of the description		







Administrator Documentation			
ID	GENERIC_DOC_6		
Description	Products must provide an administrator guide describing installation, configuration and operation of the system.		
Mandatory	NO		
Applicability	All products managed by an administrator.		
Input from Technology Provider	Documentation (or link) with requested documentation.		
Pass/Fail	The document should exist and contain the requested information.		
Criteria			
Related Information			
Revision Log			



Cron

Related Information Revision Log Other information





Service Reference Card			
ID	GENERIC_DOC_7		
Description	For each of the services that a product runs, document its characteristics with a reference card.		
Mandatory	NO		
Applicability	All products that need services for operation.		
Input from Technology Provider	Documentation (or link) with requested documentation.		
Pass/Fail	The document must exist and contain the following information for each service:		
Criteria	ServiceName		
	Description	Description of the service	
	Init scripts	List of init scripts for the service, expected run levels	
	Daemons	List of daemons needed for the service	
	Configuration	List of configuration files used by the service	
	Logs	List of log files used by the service	
	Open ports	List of ports the service uses	

List of crons used by the service

Any other relevant information about the service.







Software License			
ID	GENERIC_DOC_8		
Description	Products must have a compatible license for using them in the EGI Infrastructure		
Mandatory	YES		
Applicability	All products.		
Input from Technology Provider	Product License (link or document).		
Pass/Fail Criteria	 Pass: if the license is available and is compatible with the EGI infrastructure. For Open Source products, compatible licenses are those accepted by the Open Source Initiative and categorized as "Popular and widely used or with strong communities": Apache License, 2.0 (Apache-2.0) BSD 3-Clause "New" or "Revised" license (BSD-3-Clause) BSD 3-Clause "Simplified" or "FreeBSD" license (BSD-2-Clause) GNU General Public License (GPL) GNU Library or "Lesser" General Public License (LGPL) MIT license (MIT) Mozilla Public License 1.1 (MPL-1.1) Common Development and Distribution License (CDDL-1.0) Eclipse Public License (EPL-1.0) Other licenses accepted by the Open Source Initiative and listed as "Special Purpose" are compatible with the infrastructure (when applicable): Educational Community License IPA Font License (IPA) NASA Open Source Agreement 1.3 (NASA-1.3) Open Font License, 1.1 (OFL-1.1) 		
Related	Open Source Initiative Licenses by Category:		
Information	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/category		
Revision Log	V2: Moved from Software Release to documentation.		







Release chan	Release changes testing	
ID	GENERIC_DOC_9	
Description	Changes in a release of a product must be tested.	
Mandatory	YES	
Applicability	All Products.	
r		
Input from Technology Provider	Tests (or documentation for the test results) for relevant changes described in the product release notes, including bug fixes and any new features.	
Pass/Fail Criteria	All the changes in a release <i>should</i> be tested especially bug fixes. The granularity of testing will be determined per release basis.	
Related Information	MS503: Software Provisioning Process	
Revision Log	V2: Better specification of the pass/fail criteria. Moved to documentation criteria	







3 SOFTWARE DISTRIBUTION

Source Code	Source Code Availability	
ID	GENERIC_DIST_1	
Description	Products should provide their source code.	
Mandatory	YES	
Applicability	All Open Source Products.	
r		
Input from Technology Provider	Source code repository or source distribution of product. The source code of each product of the UMD middleware should follow a coherent and clear programming style that helps in the readability of the code and eases maintenance, testing, debugging, fixing, modification and portability of the software.	
Pass/Fail Criteria	Open source products must publicly offer their source code and the license with the binaries.	
Related Information		
Revision Log	V2: Changed ID (previously GENERIC_REL_2)	







Source Distribution	
ID	GENERIC_DIST_2
Description	Technology Providers should provide buildable source distributions of products.
Mandatory	YES
Applicability	All Open Source Products.
Γ	
Input from Technology Provider	Source code distribution (repository or tar.gz/zip or source package) with building documentation. Ideally continuous building server should be in place.
Pass/Fail Criteria	Open source products must publicly offer their source code and the license. Build documentation (or link to it) should be available.
	Ideally, automatic or continuous build procedures exist.
Related Information	
Revision Log	V2: Merged all source related criteria into this one and Changed ID (previously GENERIC_REL_x). Turned into mandatory.







Binary Distri	Binary Distribution	
ID	GENERIC_DIST_3	
Description	Products must be available in the native packaging format of the supported platform.	
Mandatory	YES	
Applicability	All Products.	
Input from Technology Provider	Binary distribution of product in the native packaging format of the supported platform (RPM, DEB,)	
Pass/Fail Criteria	 Binary packages using the standard packaging format of the OS (i.e. RPM, DEB) must be provided for all the supported OS and/or architectures. Packages <i>should</i> follow OS packaging policies (e.g. names of packages, <u>use of filesystem hierarchy</u>, init scripts). Any deviance from the policies must be documented. Second level dependencies (i.e. software not provided by the TP in their repository) must be provided by the OS distribution or standard OS repositories (EPEL in SL5). In the case of needing a different version for a specific package or packages from other repositories, the verifier will decide whether to accept or not the packages depending on the reason given for such dependencies on external packages. 	
Related Information	Verification reports from EMI release 1. #1357: Middleware use standard file locations	
Revision Log	V2: Turn to mandatory, better description.to avoid problems found in verification. Changed ID (previously GENERIC_REL_5)	







4 SOFTWARE FEATURES

Backwards Compatibility	
ID	GENERIC_SOFT_1
Description	Minor/Revision releases of a product must be backwards compatible.
Mandatory	YES
Applicability	All Products.
Input from Technology Provider	Products must maintain backwards compatibility between releases of the same major version. Ideally, TP provides tests to assure the backwards compatibility of the product.
Pass/Fail Criteria	All the changes in a minor or revision release <i>must</i> be backward compatible (test should be done with previous releases of clients within the same major version). Any new features should not introduce changes in the previous features.
Related Information	MS503: Software Provisioning Process IGE QC
Revision Log	







5 SERVICE CRITERIA

5.1 Service Management

UMD products should have mechanisms for managing them, monitoring their status and tracing actions they perform on the system. Ideally, these should be also available remotely, allowing operators to react timely to problems in the infrastructure. This generic criteria for services is the minimum set of service related

Service contr	Service control and status		
ID	GENERIC_SE	RVICE_1	
Description		the product must provide a mechanism for starting, stopping and tus of the services.	
Mandatory	YES		
Applicability	All products that	t use services for operations.	
Input from Technology Provider	Start/stop mechanism for each of the services following OS conventions. Ideally, provide a test suite for the mechanism as described below.		
Test	Pre-condition	Service is started	
Description	Test	Start service	
	Expected Outcome	No action taken, show a message stating the service is already started.	
	Pre-condition	Service is stopped	
	Test	Start service	
	Expected Outcome	Service is started, show a message when it is started.	
	Pre-condition	Service is started	
	Test	Stop service	
	Expected Outcome	Service is stopped, show a message stating the service is stopped.	
	Pre-condition	Service is stopped	
	Test	Stop service	
	Expected Outcome	No action taken, show a message stating the service is already stopped.	
	Pre-condition	Service is stopped	
	Test	Check service status	
	Expected Outcome	Show a message stating the service is started.	







Test	Pre-condition	Service is started
Description	Test	Check service status
	Expected Outcome	Show a message stating the service is stopped.
Pass/Fail	Services run by the product must provide a mechanism for starting, stopping and	
Criteria	querying the status of the services following the OS init scripts conventions (e.g. for Linux Distributions, check <u>http://refspecs.freestandards.org/LSB 3.1.0/LSB-Core-generic/LSB-Core-generic/iniscrptact.html</u>). They must work properly in all the cases described above. If the OS provides tools for configuring the services (chkconfig in RH based distros), these <i>should</i> work out of the box with the init scripts of the services	
Related Information	#2274: Service	under RH following SystemV init system
Revision Log		







5.2 Service logs

Log Files	
ID	GENERIC_SERVICE_2
Description	All services should create log files where the service administrator can trace most relevant actions taken.
Mandatory	YES
Applicability	All products that use services for operations.
Input from Technology Provider	List of logs generated by the service (the reference card of service should already include them)
Pass/Fail Criteria	List of logs is provided. They should follow the OS conventions for location and format so they can be treated with the standard tools of the OS (log rotation, collection with syslog,)
Related Information	This criterion may be further specialized in the specific criteria for each product/capability determining which information must be logged or number/types of logs.
Revision Log	V2. Review of the criteria.

5.3 Service Monitoring

All services in the EGI Infrastructure should provide monitoring probes that can be executed automatically by the EGI monitoring framework (based in Nagios). The probes should check the service responsiveness and correctness (good replies for typical requests).

Particular monitoring probes are defined at the Specific Quality Criteria document for Operations tools [R 2]. The probes that apply to all capabilities (generic probes) are identified as $MON_PROBE_GENERIC_xx$. For specific capabilities there might exist other probes that are described in the same document.

5.4 Service Accounting

All services in the EGI Infrastructure should provide ways of recording the use of resources within the infrastructure. The Accounting Capability described in the Operations Capabilities Criteria document [R 2] specifies the criteria for the different appliances.







5.5 Availability, Reliability and Scalability.

The EGI Infrastructure depends on the uninterrupted performance of the installed software. All products should provide a reliable operation and should be able to handle growing amounts of work in a graceful manner. Specific criteria for the availability, reliability or scalability of appliances may be also defined in the criteria documents for each of the capabilities.

Service Reliability	
ID	GENERIC_SERVICE_3
Description	Services must maintain a good performance and reliability over long periods of time with normal operation.
Mandatory	NO
Applicability	All products that use services for operations.
Input from Technology	Long running unattended operation test measuring performance of the product.
Provider	

Technology		
Provider		
Test	Pre-condition	Product is properly configured.
Description	Test	Start service and measure performance during operations.
	Expected	No significant performance degradation is observed in the system.
	Outcome	
Pass/Fail	Service must not show performance degradation during a 3-day period. The most	
Criteria	important parameters to check are:	
	stable memo	ry usage
	• throughput a	nd/or response times remain stable during the period of activity (they
	should be as g	ood or better than at the beginning of the test for similar requests)
Related		
Information		
Revision Log	V2: detailed pas	s/fail criteria







Service Robustness	
ID	GENERIC_SERVICE_4
Description	Services should not produce unexpected results or become uncontrollable when taxed beyond normal capacity.
Mandatory	NO
Applicability	All products that use services for operations.
Input from Technology Provider	Assure that the services taxed beyond normal capacity do not produce unexpected results or become uncontrollable.
Pass/Fail Criteria	 Services taxed beyond normal capacity: should not become unresponsive to normal start/stop operations must be able to start after a forceful stop must not expose (potentially sensitive) memory contents to other processes must not leave sensitive data in world-readable files must not accept connections that would be refused under normal operating conditions
Related Information	TST_2 from IGE Quality Assurance.
Revision Log	







5.6 Service Configuration

Automatic Co	Automatic Configuration	
ID	GENERIC_SERVICE_4	
Description	Products that provide tools for configuration (yaim) that covers typical deployments must assure tools work as documented.	
Mandatory	NO	
Applicability	Products with automatic configuration tools	
Input from Technology Provider	Tests of the automatic configuration tool (yaim) in typical deployment scenario. The configuration <i>should</i> not remove any previous manual configuration done.	
Pass/Fail Criteria	Pass if the product can be configured as documented with the provided tool. Resulting configuration <i>should not</i> overwrite any previous manual configuration and must prepare the product for operation without extra manual configuration steps (unless clearly documented).	
Related Information	Yaim: https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/EGEE/YAIM UMD 1.0.0 Verification Reports.	
Revision Log		







6 SECURITY

World Writable Files				
ID	GENERIC_SEC_1			
Description	Products must not create world-writable files or directories.			
Mandatory	YES			
Applicability	All products.			
Input from Technology Provider	World-writable files and directories are dangerous since they allows anyone to modify them, several vulnerabilities in recent years have been due to world writable files and directories being present when they should not be Technology Provider must assure that they software do not produce world writable files in order to prevent new vulnerabilities being introduced in the future. Ideally a test that checks that those files do not exist should be provided.			
Test Description	Pre-condition Test Expected Outcome	Service correctly configured and started Check the existence of world writable or unowned files in the system. No world writable or unowned files exist.		
Pass/Fail Criteria	The product does not create world-writable files or directories.			
Related Information	Proposed by the EGI SVG RAT to prevent new vulnerabilities in the future.			
Revision Log	V1.3 Changed test description.			







Directory Traversal Attacks testing			
ID	GENERIC_SEC_2		
Description	Products should assure that directory traversal exploits are not possible using their interfaces. Special care must be taken to products exposing part of the file system (e.g. file access capabilities) and web services.		
Mandatory	YES		
Applicability	All products with previous known Directory Traversal exploits (See list at related information), any other product <i>should</i> also include this kind of testing.		
Input from Technology Provider	A directory traversal (or path traversal) consists in exploiting insufficient security validation/sanitization of user-supplied input file names, so that characters representing "traverse to parent directory" are passed through to the file APIs. The Technology Provider should test that directory traversal attacks are not possible using the product interface. Products that need to run as root user, must have special care in this case of attacks, since they may give access to whole file system.		
Test	Pre-condition	Service correctly configured and started	
Description	Test	Try to exploit directory traversal in product	
	Expected Outcome	No directory traversal succeeds.	
Pass/Fail	Test for directory traversal exploiting do not successfully access the file system.		
Criteria			
Related Information	Advisory-SVG-2011-1569 (https://wiki.egi.eu/wiki/SVG:Advisory-SVG-2011-1569)		
Revision Log			







7 MISCELLANEOUS

Bug Tracking System		
ID	GENERIC_MISC_2	
Description	TP must enrol as 3 rd level support in the EGI Helpdesk.	
Mandatory	YES	
Applicability	All Products.	
Input from Technology Provider	Technology Providers must enrol in GGUS as 3 rd level support for the products verified by the Quality Assurance team of EGI. Any further integration with TP-specific bug tracking software is entirely up to the Technology Provider.	
Pass/Fail Criteria	Pass if Technology Provider enlisted as 3 rd level support in GGUS.	
Related Information	IGE QC	
Revision Log		







8 **REFERENCES**

R 1	UMD roadmap: https://documents.egi.eu/public/ShowDocument?docid=100
R 2	UMD Operations Capabilities Quality Criteria Document